

[WJST] Submission Acknowledgement

External

Inbox



Editor of Walailak J Sci & Tech <journal.wu@gmail.com> Thu, Jul 23, 2020,
1:04 PM

to me

Dear Professor Mohammad Reza Faisal:

Thank you for submitting the manuscript, "Natural Disaster on Twitter: Role of Feature Extraction Method of Word2Vec and Lexicon Based for Determining Direct Eyewitness" to Walailak Journal of Science and Technology (WJST). With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Manuscript

URL: <http://wjst.wu.ac.th/index.php/wjst/authorDashboard/submission/11324>

Username: rezafaisal

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work and hope you will enjoy publishing in this journal, and look forward to receiving articles from you in future.

PS. We encourage authors to submit the names of 5-7 referees suitable to review the work to aid in the peer review process.

Editor of Walailak J Sci & Tech
2018 SCImago Journal Rank (SJR): 0.138

Walailak Journal of Science and Technology (WJST)
<http://wjst.wu.ac.th>
2018 SJR (SCOPUS): 0.138

[WJST] Manuscript Decision ID

External

Inbox



Walailak Journal of Science and Technology <journal.wu@gmail.com> 26 Apr 2021,

12:03 PM

to me, Radityo, Rahmat, Friska, Rudy, Triando

Dear Professor Mohammad Reza Faisal, Radityo Adi Nugroho, Rahmat Ramadhani, Friska Abadi, Rudy Herteno, Triando Hamonangan Saragih:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Walailak Journal of Science and Technology (WJST), "Natural Disaster on Twitter: Role of Feature Extraction Method of Word2Vec and Lexicon Based for Determining Direct Eyewitness". Please revise the manuscript carefully. The manuscript should be resubmitted along with point-by-point explanation according to reviewers' comments. If you disagree with any of the comments, please state your reasons. **All corrections are mandatory and must be differentiated with red colour and submit it.**

Our decision is to: Revise the Manuscript

We request that you send a revised manuscript within 30 days, otherwise it may be considered withdrawn.

PS. Please submit in Microsoft Word version with WJST template, the references should be in WJST format, please recheck. Thank you very much for your kind helps.

STEP for upload revised version: Submissions > My Queue > My Assigned > Review > Revisions > Upload file

Author

Guidelines: <https://wjst.wu.ac.th/index.php/wjst/about/submissions#authorGuidelines>

2019 SCImago Journal Rank (SJR): 0.154

Walailak Journal of Science and Technology,
Walailak University, Nakhon Si Thammarat 80161, Thailand
<https://wjst.wu.ac.th>

Reviewer A:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

Originality of the work

Good

Experimental design and methodology

Adequate

Adequacy of the discussion

Good

Technical accuracy

Good

Suitability of references

Good

Use of Tables and Figures

Good

Standard of English

Good

Clarity and conciseness

Adequate

Referee's Comments-----

Reviewer B:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

Originality of the work

Adequate

Experimental design and methodology

Good

Adequacy of the discussion

Adequate

Technical accuracy

Adequate

Suitability of references

Adequate

Use of Tables and Figures

Adequate

Standard of English

Adequate

Clarity and conciseness

Adequate

Referee's Comments

- Specific discussion results for word2vec and lexicon should be emphasized
- for discussion of the final result, it is best presented in graphical form

Reviewer C:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

Originality of the work

Excellent

Experimental design and methodology

Excellent

Adequacy of the discussion

Excellent

Technical accuracy

Excellent

Suitability of references

Excellent

Use of Tables and Figures

Excellent

Standard of English

Excellent

Clarity and conciseness

Excellent

Referee's Comments

Excellent work

Reviewer F:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

Originality of the work

Good

Experimental design and methodology

Adequate

Adequacy of the discussion

Adequate

Technical accuracy

Adequate

Suitability of references

Good

Use of Tables and Figures

Good

Standard of English

Good

Clarity and conciseness

Good

Referee's Comments

This paper proposed a new feature by combining features from the result of two existing feature extraction techniques. In their study they considered four data sets each having three class labels from twitter data related to natural disasters and analysed the data for improving the performance of the classification algorithm. But the proof for performance comparison with other techniques is not explained clearly. The paper is well written and the contents are relevant to this journal. So I recommend its publication in your respectable journal by adding the proof for that performance comparison.

Reviewer H:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

Originality of the work

Good

Experimental design and methodology

Good

Adequacy of the discussion

Good

Technical accuracy

Good

Suitability of references

Good

Use of Tables and Figures

Adequate

Standard of English

Good

Clarity and conciseness

Good

Referee's Comments

the paper is well written and introduced new idea , need some minor revision
1- Motivation in the introduction is not clear
2- The author should present the results in the form of figures also if possible
3- Conclusions section need to be improved
4- Need some English proofreading in abstract and introduction

Reviewer J:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

Originality of the work

Good

Experimental design and methodology

Adequate

Adequacy of the discussion

Adequate

Technical accuracy

Adequate

Suitability of references

Adequate

Use of Tables and Figures

Good

Standard of English

Good

Clarity and conciseness

Good

Referee's Comments

Why the author didn't apply the normalization form for the normalized dataset.
Texts are repeated more than one time. You can paraphrase it.
Why didn't added the cited references for the equations?
It's not clear that which clustering algorithm is used?
Why author used all these repetitions of the cluster number?
The process is needed more time for each cluster.
Cite the reference of the equation
It required some explanation of the Random Forest algorithm.
I needed to explain the learning and training steps in some detail.
Why didn't you used another performance or more calculation like (MSE, RMSE, Accuracy, and so on)?
It's too short; it's better to present a summary of the whole process.

[Trends in Sciences] Volume 18 (2021) Proofreading Request ID 11324

External
Inbox



Trends in Sciences <journal.wu@gmail.com>

Sun, Nov 7, 2021,
11:56 AM

to me, Radityo

Dear Professor Mohammad Reza Faisal,

Please inspect this proof version of your article and answer the following queries;

- Please inspect this copy-edited version of your article.
- We have highlighted the words and are waiting for you to confirm.
- Please give "Highlights" of the paper 3-5 bullet points (For example <https://tis.wu.ac.th/index.php/tis/article/view/11>).
- Please give "Graphical Abstract" (if available).
- Please sign the "TiS Consent to Publish and Copyright Transfer" in the attached file.
- Please promptly respond to these queries rapidly, otherwise this paper will be published later this year.
- If there are any minor changes, please let us know by the list of changes in the word file or the list of corrections in a reply mail.
- Please revise your paper in the attached file.
- Please return it as soon as you can, possibly within 48 hours.

PS. Walailak Journal of Science and Technology (WJST) has been renamed as Trends in Sciences (TiS). The TiS was launched in October 2021. Please visit our website at <https://tis.wu.ac.th>.

We apologize for any inconvenience this may cause you. Thank you in advance for your cooperation.

Yours sincerely,
Trends in Sciences
<https://tis.wu.ac.th>
2020 SJR (SCOPUS): 0.146

M. Reza Faisal <reza.faisal@ulm.ac.id>

Sun, Nov 14, 2021,
10:21 PM

to Trends, Radityo

Dear Trends in Sciences

Apologies for the delayed response. In this email, I attach the signed TiS Consent to Publish and Copyright Transfer document.

In this email, I also write the highlight of our paper:

- Implementation of text classification is generally only used to perform sentiment analysis, it is still rare to use it to perform text classification for use in determining direct eyewitnesses in cases of natural disasters.
- One of the common problems in text mining research is the extracted features from the vector space representation method generate high dimension data.
- A hybrid approach of word2vec-based and lexicon-based feature extraction experiment was conducted in order to find a method that can generate new features with low dimensions and also improve the classification performance.

Yours sincerely,
Mohammad Reza Faisal

[TiS] Your article has now been published online

External

Inbox



Trends in Sciences (TiS) <journal.wu@gmail.com>

Sat, Nov 20, 2021,
12:30 PM

to me

Dear Author,

We are pleased to inform you that your article has now been published:

The Trends in Sciences

Title: Natural Disaster on Twitter: Role of Feature Extraction Method of Word2Vec and Lexicon Based for Determining Direct Eyewitness

Author(s): Mohammad Reza Faisal, Radityo Adi Nugroho, Rahmat Ramadhani, Friska Abadi, Rudy Herteno , Triando Hamonangan Saragih

Thank you for publishing with the Trends in Sciences. We look forward to your future contributions!

<https://tis.wu.ac.th/index.php/tis/issue/archive>

Best regards,
Editorial Team

[Trends in Sciences](#)

Formerly know as [Walailak Journal of Science and Technology](#)

2020 SJR (SCOPUS): 0.146