BUKTI KORESPONDENSI
ARTIKEL JURNAL INTERNASIONAL BEREPUTASI

Judul Artikel	:	A comparison of soil characteristics from four land covers around a coal mining concession area in South Kalimantan
Link	:	10.15243/jdmlm. 2022.101.3883
Journal	:	Journal of Degraded and Mining Land Management
Volume	:	10
Issue	:	1
Halaman	:	3883-3888
Penulis	:	Yusanto Nugroho, Suyanto, Gusti Syeransyah Rudy, Supandi, Yudha Hardiyanto Eka Saputra, Syamsu Alam, Jeriels Matatula, Pandu Yudha Adi Putra Wirabuana

No.	Perihal	Tanggal
1	Bukti konfirmasi submit artikel dan draft artikel submit	9 Juni 2022
2	Bukti keputusan editor, artikel hasil review, dan artikel perbaikan	8 Juli 2022
3	Bukti accepted	15 Juli 2022

UGM Mail

Pandu Yudha Adi Putra Wirabuana <pandu.yudha.a.p@ugm.ac.id>

[JDMLM] Submission Acknowledgement

1 message

Editorial Team <editor.jdmlm@ub.ac.id> Thu, Jun 9, 2022 at 4:32 AM
To: Mr Pandu Yudha Adi Putra Wirabuana <pandu.yudha.a.p@ugm.ac.id>

Mr Pandu Yudha Adi Putra Wirabuana:

Thank you for submitting the manuscript, "A comparison of soil characteristics from four land covers around coal mining concession area in South Kalimantan" to Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management. With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Manuscript URL:
https://jdmlm.ub.ac.id/index.php/jdmlm/author/submission/1278
Username: wirabuanayudha92

If you have any questions, please contact us. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editorial Team
Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management

---

http://jdmlm.ub.ac.id

JOURNAL OF DEGRADED AND MINING LANDS MANAGEMENT
Volume 9, Number 2 (January 2022):3227-3236, doi:10.15243/jdmlm.2022.092.3227
ISSN: 2339-076X (p); 2502-2458 (e), www.jdmlm.ub.ac.id

Research Article

A comparison of soil characteristics from four land covers around coal mining concession area in South Kalimantan

Yusanto Nugroho[1], Suyanto[1], Gusti Syeransyah Rudy[1] , Supandi[2], Yudha Hardiyanto Eka Saputra[2], Syamsu Alam[3], Jeriels Matatula[4], Pandu Yudha Adi Putra Wirabuana[5,*]

[1] Faculty of Forestry, Universitas Lambung Mangkurat, Jln. Ahmad Yani km 36 Banjarbaru, South Kalimantan, Indonesia
[2] PT Borneo Indobara. Jl. Propinsi Km 180, Angsana, Tanah Bumbu 72275, South Kalimantan, Indonesia
[3] Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Halu Oleo, Jln. HEA Mokodompit, Kendari 93231, Southeast Sulawesi, Indonesia
[4] Politeknik Pertanian Negeri Kupang, Jl. Prof. Herman Johanes, Lasiana, Kupang 85011, East Nusa Tenggara, Indonesia.
[5] Faculty of Forestry, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Jln. Agro No. 1 Bulaksumur, Sleman 55281, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

[*]corresponding author: pandu.yudha.a.p@ugm.ac.id

Article history:
Received Day Month 20xx
Accepted Day Month 20xx
Published Day Month 20xx

Keywords:
Coal mining, land covers, reclamation, soil conservation, texture

Abstract

Understanding soil characteristics is importantly required to determine the alternative strategies of land management, particularly related to the scheme of soil and water conservation. This study investigated soil characteristics from four land covers around coal mining concession area, located in South Kalimantan. Soil suvey was conducted using a purposive sampling method with three replicates in each land cover. The soil sample was taken at depth of 0−10 cm, 11−20 cm, and 21−30 cm. Then, these sample were composited before brought to the laboratory for quantifying their characteristics such as texture and organic carbon content. Data analysis was processed using non-parametric test with a significant level of 5%. Comparison average of soil characteristics between land covers was evaluated using Kruskal-Wallis test and followed by Nemenyi-test. Results found that soil characteristics from four land covers were significantly different in texture and organic carbon content. The highest sand fraction was noted in shrubs (67.23±0.86%) while the greatest silt fraction was recorded in plantation forests (29.71±2.84%). Compared to other land covers, the clay content in plantation forests and reclamation area was relatively equal by around 53−54%. On another side, The highest soil organic carbon was found in plantation forests with ranging of (4.44±0.14%) and followed by natural forests (4.24±0.62%), shrubs (3.38±0.09%) and reclamation area (1.14±0.09%). These findings indicated there were high variation of soil characteristics from different land covers around coal mining concession area. Therefore, we suggest to the managers to apply adaptive strategies in supporting soil conservation efforts based on the soil characteristics in each site.

To cite this article: Nugroho, Y., Suyanto, Syeransyah, G., Supandi, Hes, Y., Alam, S., Matatula, J., Wirabuana, P.Y.A.P. 2022. A comparison of soil characteristics from four land covers around coal mining concession area in South Kalimantan. Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management x(x):xxxx-xxxx, doi:10.15243/jdmlm.2022.xxx.x11W. 5,xx.

Introduction

Soil is a component of natural resources that plays important roles in maintaining environmental stability. Besides supplying water and nutrients for plants (Sadono et al., 2021), soil also has fundamental contributions to support biogeochemical cycles and energy flow in the ecosystems (Smith et al., 2015). Numerous studies also report that soil characteristics directly correlates to the vulnerability of natural disaster like flood and landslide (Djukem et al., 2020). Considering to these strategic positions, it is important to apply soil conservation efforts for minimizing the risk of degradation. This challenge has become the most essential issue in many commercial sectors, one of them is coal mining industry.

During the last periods, the existence of coal mining business has provided high contribution to increase gross domestic product. This industry also gives a lot of work opportunities for people who live around its concession. In fact, some literatures explain the presence of coal mining industry can accelerate the effort of rural development, particularly from corporate social responsibility programs. However, the activity of coal mining exploration also has negative impacts on the environment, mainly related to the soil degradation (Ma et al., 2019). For example, coal mining industry commonly uses open pit-systems wherein it is conducted by removing vegetation cover (Kuzevic et al., 2022). Consequently, the rate of run-off and erosion will occurs more rapidly. This circumstance can reduce soil fertility because amount of top soil layers have been leached (Lulu et al., 2022). Moreover, the use of chemical compounds can also cause soil contamination (Mourinha et al., 2022). Thus, the effort of reclamation will be more difficult to implement because the soil contamination can stimulate plant stress (Li et al., 2018). It will make plants die or demonstrate detrimental growth (Chibuike and Obiora, 2014). Therefore, the integrated soil management is necessary to minimize the impacts of coal mining activity on soil degradation. This scheme is only possible to formulate if there are comprehensive information about soil characteristics around coal mining concession area.

As one of the mining enterprise, PT Borneo Indobara has received a permit to manage coal mining concession area located in South Kalimantan. This site consists of various land covers like natural forests, plantation, shrubs, etc. Even though this company has been operating more than 10 years, but the information about soil characteristics from each land cover is still limited. It is caused by the work priority that focused on coal extraction. Unfortunately, the challenge of soil management is not only in small scale, but also occurs in landscape. The connectivity of each land cover become important aspects that should be considered to find the optimum solution. Therefore, this study aimed to identify the variation of soil characteristics from different land cover around coal mining concession area managed by PT Borneo Indobara. The outcome will provide sufficient information for managers to formulate the adaptative strategies of soil conservation in every land cover.

Materials and Methods

Study Area

This study was conducted in coal mining concession area managed by PT Borneo Indobara. It is located in Tanah Bumbu District, South Kalimantan Province (Figure 1). The geographical coordinates of this site is E115˚54'38" 115˚39'00" and S3˚35'30" 3˚36'30". Topography is predominantly by hilly areas with the slope level of 8−26%. Altitude ranges from 20−52 m dpl. Annual rainfall reaches 2,291.7 mm year^{-1} with the highest rainfall occurs in January by approximately 352.3 mm months^{-1}. The mean daily temperature is 27.7˚C with a minimum of 22.7˚C and a maximum of 35.2˚C. Dry periods are relatively longer than 4 months from Juli to November.



Figure 1. Sketch of study area in coal mining concession managed by PT Borneo Indobara

PT Borneo Indobara had total coal mining concession around 24,100 ha. This area was divided into 4 blocks to facilitate the exploration activities, namely Batulaki, Bunati, Kusan, and Girimulya. Before becoming the coal mining area, this area had various land cover, such as oil palm plantation, natural forests, plantation forests, etc. However, several land covers have removed due to the impact of mining exploration. Among those sites, Girimulya still had land cover variation since it was the last block to mine the coal deposit based on company planning.

Data Collection

Data were collected around 3 months from August to October 2020. It consisted of three important phases, namely site stratification, soil survey, and laboratory analysis. The objective of site stratification was to identify the land cover variation around coal mining concession area. This step was required to design the location for soil sampling. The spatial approach was applied to facilitate site stratification using the most updating image from Google Earth. There were four land covers that found in the study area, including natural forests, plantation forests, shrubs, and reclamation area. Then, sampling points was distributed randomly in every land cover with three replicates. The coordinate of every point was saved to GPS for facilitating the tracking process in the field.

In every sampling location, the soil sample was taken at the depth of 0−10 cm, 0−20 cm, and 0−30 cm (Wirabuana et al., 2021a). Then, these samples were composited for each sampling position before brought to the laboratory for quantifying their characteristics. There were two parameters used to identify soil variation among land cover, i.e. texture and organic carbon content. Soil texture was determined using Pipette (Alam et al., 2020)method while soil organic carbon was quantified using Walkley and Black Method (Estefan et al., 2013).

Statistical Analysis

Data analysis was processed using R software version 4.1.2 with a significant level of 5%. The *dplyr* and *agricolae* packages were used to support the data processing (De Mendiburu and Simon, 2015). The first stage was started by descriptive analysis to identify the range of data distribution, including mean, standard deviation, and standard error (Wirabuana et al., 2021b). This step was also carried out to asses the coefficient of variation and the coefficient of precision (Table 1). Both parameters was generally used to assess the accuracy and precision from data obtained by sampling method (Santos and Dias, 2021).

Then, the second stage was focused on assumption tests. There were two assumptions tests that applied for data evaluation, namely normality tests and homogeneity variance tests (Beyene, 2016; Ghasemi and Zahediasl, 2012). These tests was executed twice wherein the first round was conducted using actual data and the second round was undertaken using logarithmic natural transformation from data. However, the second round was only processed if the actual data did not follow normal distribution nor has heterogeneous variance. Based on the preliminary test, it has been confirmed that data did not fulfill both assumptions. Therefore, this study used non-parametric test to get a conclusion from data. In this context, comparison mean of soil characteristics among land covers were examined using Kruskall-Walis test and followed by Nemenyi test (Alam et al., 2020).

Tabel 1. Summary statistics of soil variation from four land cover types

Land cover	Parameter	Unit	Summary Statistics			
			Mean	SE	CV (%)	P (%)
NF	Sa	%	55.86	2.40	7.43	4.29
	Si	%	12.65	0.71	9.70	5.60
	Cl	%	31.48	1.78	9.80	5.66
	C-org	%	4.24	0.62	25.21	14.55
PF	Sa	%	15.97	1.95	21.16	12.22
	Si	%	29.71	2.84	16.53	9.54
	Cl	%	54.33	1.75	5.59	3.23
	C-org	%	4.44	0.14	5.30	3.06
RA	Sa	%	35.39	0.93	4.55	2.63
	Si	%	11.00	0.64	10.07	5.81
	Cl	%	53.61	1.56	5.05	2.91
	C-org	%	1.14	0.09	13.27	7.66
SH	Sa	%	67.23	0.86	2.21	1.28
	Si	%	5.11	0.27	14.76	5.25
	Cl	%	27.66	1.21	7.56	4.36
	C-org	%	3.38	0.09	4.55	2.63

Note: NF (natural forests), PF (plantation forests), RA (recalamation area), SH (shrubs), Sa (sand), Si (silt), Cl (clay), C-org (soil organic carbon)

Results and Discussion

Summarized results of the observation demonstrated that soil characteristics among land covers relatively varied (Table 1) wherein there was a significant different of particle-size distribution and soil organic carbon (Figure 2). The highest sand fraction was recorded in shrubs (67.23±0.86%) while the greatest silt fraction was discovered in plantation forests (29.71±2.84%). Compared to others, the clay content was relatively equal in plantation forests and reclamation area by around 53−54%. These were substantially higher around 30% than the proportion of clay fraction in natural forests and shrubs. On another side, this study noted the highest soil organic carbon was found in plantation forests (4.44±0.14%), and followed by natural forests (4.24±0.62%), shrubs (3.38±0.09%), as well as reclamation area (1.14±0.09%).

The presence of soil variation from different land cover indicated there was an interaction between vegetation and soil around coal mining concession area. This finding was also confirmed by previous studies that documented the influence of vegetation on soil properties (Silva et al., 2018; Toru and Kibret, 2019; Wei et al., 2019). In this context, there were two processes which accomodated the relationship between vegetation and soil, including nutrients cycle and erosion. For explanation, soil with dense vegetation would have better fertility than soil with low vegetation density since there were higher litterfall accumulation sourced from vegetation above it (Duan et al., 2019; Lei et al., 2019). Several literatures explained that litterfall was classified as natural organic matters from plants that composed of nutrients such as N, P, and K (Castellanos-Barliza et al., 2018; Tongkaemkaew et al., 2018; Wongprom et al., 2022). Other studies also recorded around 50% of litterfall was composed of carbon elements (Park et al., 2020; Sadono et al., 2020;Wirabuana et al., 2021b). When litterfall was decomposed, its nutrients content, especially its carbon, would release into soil (Giweta, 2020). Therefore, it could be understood why the soil organic carbon in natural and plantation forests were considerably higher than shrubs and reclamation area.



Figure 2 Comparison mean of soil characteristics among different land cover

The vegetation above soil could also minimize erosion because it reduced the direct contact between rainfall and soil through their canopy layer (Moisa et al., 2021). The occurrence of soil erosion could decline soil fertility because it leached top soil layer which contained a lot of nutrients (Novara et al., 2018). This explanation also supported our finding wherein the soil fertility in shrubs and reclamation area was relatively lower than natural and plantation forests. However, the soil erosion was a natural process that contributed to weathering process. Soil having high weathering intensity, generally dominated by clay content and also had low fertility (Alam et al., 2020). Interestingly, this study found the soil fertility in plantation forests was equal statistically with natural forests even though the clay content was substantially higher (Figure 2). This condition could be happened because before converting into mining concession area, this site was managed as a commercial plantation forests wherein there were intensive silvicultural treatments, mainly related to fertilization. The application of fertilization could significantly improves soil fertility even though the nutrient availability was naturally limited (Purwanto and Alam, 2020). This effort was generally conducted in plantation forests, especially that located in mature soil (Amezquita et al., 2018; Halomoan et al., 2015; Wirabuana et al., 2020).

Overall, this study realized the different type of vegetation and its density become the main factor that caused the soil variation around coal mining concession area. Despite this site would be excavated for coal exploration, it would be better for managers to formulate soil conservation strategies to minimize the impact of mining activities on the environmental condition. These efforts would reduce the risk of soil degradation after mine closure and also had a potential to accelerate the reclamation activities for ecosystems restoration.

Conclusion

This study concluded there were high variation of soil characteristics from different land covers around coal mining concession area. Soil texture indicated greater variation than soil organic carbon wherein the composition of sand, silt, and clay was significantly different between land covers. The highest soil organic carbon was recorded in the plantation forests while the lowest was found in reclamation area. It indicated the implementation of soil and water conservation strategies should be determined carefully based on the specific condition in every land cover.

Acknowledgements

The authors deliver our gratitude to PT Borneo Indobara that allows and supports this study in their coal mining concession area. We are also grateful to Mr. Kinanto Prabu and Mr. Chairul Anwar who facilitate the process of data collection. In addition, the authors also thankful to the reviewer for their suggestions to improve this article.

References

Alam, S., Purwanto, B.H., Hanudin, E.K.O., Tarwaca, E.K.A., Putra, S., 2020. Soil diversity influences on oil palm productivity in ultramafic ecosystems , Southeast Sulawesi , Indonesia. Biodiversitas J. Biol. Divers. 21, 5521–5530. https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d211161

Amezquita, P.S.M., Rubiano, J.A.M., Filho, N.F.D.B., Cipriani, H.N., 2018. Fertilization effects on Eucalyptus pellita F. Muell productivity in the Colombian Orinoco Region. Rev. Arvore 42, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1590/1806-9088201800050002

Beyene, K., 2016. Assessing Univariate and Multivariate Homogeneity of Variance: A Guide For Practitioners. J. Math. Theory Model. 6, 13–17.

Castellanos-Barliza, J., León-Peláez, J.D., Armenta-Martínez, R., Barranco-Pérez, W., Caicedo-Ruíz, W., 2018. Contributions of organic matter and nutrients via leaf litter in an urban tropical dry forest fragment. Rev. Biol. Trop. 66, 571–585. https://doi.org/10.15517/rbt.v66i2.33381

Chibuike, G.U., Obiora, S.C., 2014. Heavy metal polluted soils: Effect on plants and bioremediation methods. Appl. Environ. Soil Sci. 1, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/752708

De Mendiburu, F., Simon, R., 2015. Agricolae - Ten years of an open source statistical tool for experiments in breeding, agriculture and biology. PeerJ 3, 1–18.

Djukem, W.D.L., Braun, A., Wouatong, A.S.L., Guedjeo, C., Dohmen, K., Wotchoko, P., Fernandez-Steeger, T.M., Havenith, H.B., 2020. Effect of soil geomechanical properties and geo-environmental factors on landslide predisposition at Mount Oku, Cameroon. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 17, 1–28. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17186795

Duan, A., Lei, J., Hu, X., Zhang, J., Du, H., Zhang, X., Guo, W., Sun, J., 2019. Effects of planting density on soil bulk density, pH and nutrients of unthinned Chinese fir mature stands in south subtropical region of China. Forests 10, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.3390/f10040351

Estefan, G., Sommer, R., Ryan, J., 2013. Methods of soil, plant, and water analysis. International Center for Agriculture Research in the Dry Areas.

Ghasemi, A., Zahediasl, S., 2012. Normality tests for statistical analysis: A guide for non-statisticians. Int. J. Endocrinol. Metab. 10, 486–489. https://doi.org/10.5812/ijem.3505

Giweta, M., 2020. Role of litter production and its decomposition, and factors affecting the processes in a tropical forest ecosystem: A review. J. Ecol. Environ. 44, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41610-020-0151-2

Halomoan, S.S.T., Wawan, Adiwirman, 2015. Effect of fertilization on the growth and biomass of Acacia mangium and Eucalyptus hybrid (E . grandis x E . pellita). J. Trop. Soils 20, 157–166. https://doi.org/10.5400/jts.2015.20.3.157

Kuzevic, S., Bobikova, D., Kuzevicova, Z., 2022. Land Cover and Vegetation Coverage Changes in the Mining Area—A Case Study from Slovakia. Sustainability 14, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14031180

Lei, J., Du, H., Duan, A., Zhang, J., 2019. Effect of stand density and soil layer on soil nutrients of a 37-year-old Cunninghamia lanceolata plantation in Naxi, Sichuan Province, China. Sustainability 11, 1–20. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11195410

Li, F., Li, X., Hou, L., Shao, A., 2018. Impact of the Coal Mining on the Spatial Distribution of Potentially Toxic Metals in Farmland Tillage Soil. Sci. Rep. 8, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-33132-4

Lulu, Y., Hermansyah, H., Eddy, I., Marsi, M., 2022. Analysis on the Characteristics of Ex-Mining Soil After 5 Years and 10 Years of Revegetation. Media Konserv. 26, 239–247. https://doi.org/10.29244/medkon.26.3.239-247

Ma, K., Zhang, Y., Ruan, M., Guo, J., Chai, T., 2019. Land subsidence in a coal mining area reduced soil fertility and led to soil degradation in arid and semi-arid regions. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 16, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16203929

Moisa, M.B., Negash, D.A., Merga, B.B., Gemeda, D.O., 2021. Impact of land-use and land-cover change on soil erosion using the rusle model and the geographic information system: A case of temeji watershed, western ethiopia. J. Water Clim. Chang. 12, 3404–3420. https://doi.org/10.2166/wcc.2021.131

Mourinha, C., Palma, P., Alexandre, C., Cruz, N., Rodrigues, S.M., Alvarenga, P., 2022. Potentially Toxic Elements' Contamination of Soils Affected by Mining Activities in the Portuguese Sector of the Iberian Pyrite Belt and Optional Remediation Actions: A Review. Environments 9, 1–35. https://doi.org/10.3390/environments9010011

Novara, A., Pisciotta, A., Minacapilli, M., Maltese, A., Capodici, F., Cerdà, A., Gristina, L., 2018. The impact of soil erosion on soil fertility and vine vigor. A multidisciplinary approach based on field, laboratory and remote sensing approaches. Sci. Total Environ. 622–623, 474–480. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.272

Park, B.B., Rahman, A., Han, S.H., Youn, W. Bin, Hyun, H.J., Hernandez, J., An, J.Y., 2020. Carbon and nutrient inputs by litterfall in evergreen and deciduous forests in Korea. Forests 11, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.3390/f11020143

Purwanto, B.H., Alam, S., 2020. Impact of intensive agricultural management on carbon and nitrogen dynamics in the humid tropics. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 66, 50–59. https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2019.1705182

Sadono, R., Wardhana, W., Wirabuana, P.Y.A.P., Idris, 2021. Soil chemical properties influences on the growth performance of Eucalyptus urophylla planted in dryland ecosystems, East Nusa Tenggara. J. Degrad. Min. Lands Manag. 8, 2635–2642. https://doi.org/10.15243/jdmlm.2021.082.2635

Sadono, R., Wardhana, W., Wirabuana, P.Y.A.P., Idris, F., 2020. Productivity evaluation of Eucalyptus urophylla plantation established in dryland ecosystems, East Nusa Tenggara. J. Degrad. Min. L. Manag. 8, 2502–2458. https://doi.org/10.15243/jdmlm. 2020.081.2461

Santos, C., Dias, C., 2021. Note on the coefficient of variation properties 1 Nota sobre as propriedades do coeficiente de variação. Brazilian Electron. J. Math. 2, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.14393/BEJOM-v2-n4-2021-58062

Silva, R.A., Siqueira, G.M., Costa, M.K.L., Guedes Filho, O., e Silva, Ê.F. de F., 2018. Spatial variability of soil fauna under different land use and managements. Rev. Bras. Cienc. do Solo 42, 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1590/18069657rbcs20170121

Smith, P., Cotrufo, M.F., Rumpel, C., Paustian, K., Kuikman, P.J., Elliott, J.A., McDowell, R., Griffiths, R.I., Asakawa, S., Bustamante, M., House, J.I., Sobocká, J., Harper, R., Pan, G., West, P.C., Gerber, J.S., Clark, J.M., Adhya, T., Scholes, R.J., Scholes, M.C., 2015. Biogeochemical cycles and biodiversity as key drivers of ecosystem services provided by soils. Soil 1, 665–685. https://doi.org/10.5194/soil-1-665-2015

Tongkaemkaew, U., Sukkul, J., Sumkhan, N., Panklang, P., Brauman, A., Ismail, R., 2018. Litterfall, litter decomposition, soil macrofauna, and nutrient content in rubber monoculture and rubberbased agroforestry plantations. For. Soc. 2, 138–149. https://doi.org/10.24259/fs.v2i2.4431

Toru, T., Kibret, K., 2019. Carbon stock under major land use/land cover types of Hades sub-watershed, eastern Ethiopia. Carbon Balance Manag. 14, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13021-019-0122-z

Wei, W., Feng, X., Yang, L., Chen, L., Feng, T., Chen, D., 2019. The effects of terracing and vegetation on soil moisture retention in a dry hilly catchment in China. Sci. Total Environ. 647, 1323–1332. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.08.037

Wirabuana, P.Y.A.P., Alam, S., Matatula, J., Harahap, M.M., Nugroho, Y., Idris, F., Meinata, A., Sekar, D.A., 2021a. The growth, aboveground biomass, crown development, and leaf characteristics of three eucalyptus species at initial stage of planting in Jepara, Indonesia. Biodiversitas 22, 2859–2869. https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d220550

Wirabuana, P.Y.A.P., Sadono, R., Juniarso, S., Idris, F., 2020. Interaction of fertilization and weed control influences on growth , biomass , and carbon in eucalyptus hybrid (E. pellita × E. brassiana). J. Manaj. Hutan Trop. 26, 144–154. https://doi.org/10.7226/jtfm.26.2.144

Wirabuana, P.Y.A.P., Setiahadi, R., Sadono, R., Lukito, M., Martono, D.S., 2021b. The influence of stand density and species diversity into timber production and carbon stock in community forest. Indones. J. For. Res. 8, 13–22. https://doi.org/10.20886/ijfr.2021.8.1.13-22

Wongprom, J., Poolsiri, R., DilokSumpun, S., Ngernsaengsaruay, C., Tansakul, S., Chandaeng, W., 2022. Litterfall, Litter Decomposition and Nutrient Return of Rehabilitated Mining Areas and Natural Forest in Phangnga Forestry Research Station, Southern Thailand. Biotropia (Bogor). 29, 74–85. https://doi.org/10.11598/btb.2022.29.1.1627

UGM Mail **Pandu Yudha Adi Putra Wirabuana <pandu.yudha.a.p@ugm.ac.id>**

[JDMLM] Reviewer Comments

3 messages

Editorial Team <editor.jdmlm@ub.ac.id> Fri, Jul 8, 2022 at 1:45 AM
Reply-To: Eko Handayanto <editor.jdmlm@ub.ac.id>
To: Mr Pandu Yudha Adi Putra Wirabuana <pandu.yudha.a.p@ugm.ac.id>

Mr Pandu Yudha Adi Putra Wirabuana:

Your manuscript entitled "A comparison of soil characteristics from four land covers around coal mining concession area in South Kalimantan" has been reviewed by the Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management reviewers. Based on the reviewer comments (attached), your manuscript needs REVISIONS.

You may revise your manuscript accordingly, and send the revised version back to us through this email address (editor.jdmlm@ub.ac.id).

All the best

Prof Eko Handayanto PhD
Editor in Chief
https://www.scopus.com/sourceid/21100979353
https://www.scimagojr.com/journalsearch.php?q=21100979353&tip=sid&exact=no
https://sinta.kemdikbud.go.id/journals/detail?id=920

---

http://jdmlm.ub.ac.id

1278, NUGROHO et al (reviewed)HNG.docx
344K

Pandu Yudha Adi Putra Wirabuana <pandu.yudha.a.p@ugm.ac.id> Fri, Jul 8, 2022 at 3:20 PM
To: Eko Handayanto <editor.jdmlm@ub.ac.id>

Dear Editor of Journal Degraded and Mining Lands Management,

Thank you for giving us an opportunity to revise our article entitled "A comparison of soil characteristics from four land covers around coal mining concession area in South Kalimantan". The suggestions from the Editor and Reviewer are immensely helpful to improve our article.
We have made revision following suggestions and it has been approved by all authors. Enclosed, we send the revised manuscript. We hope the revised version is more suitable to Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management. Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely yours,
Pandu
[Quoted text hidden]
--
Pandu Yudha Adi Putra Wirabuana, M.Sc.
Department of Forest Management
Universitas Gadjah Mada
Indonesia

1278, NUGROHO et al (reviewed)HNG_Revised.docx
283K

JDMLM Editorial Office <editor.jdmlm@ub.ac.id> Fri, Jul 8, 2022 at 4:13 PM
To: Pandu Yudha Adi Putra Wirabuana <pandu.yudha.a.p@ugm.ac.id>

Received with thanks

Reni

[Quoted text hidden]

JOURNAL OF DEGRADED AND MINING LANDS MANAGEMENT
Volume 9, Number 2 (January 2022):3227-3236, doi:10.15243/jdmlm.2022.092.3227
ISSN: 2339-076X (p); 2502-2458 (e), www.jdmlm.ub.ac.id

Research Article

A comparison of soil characteristics from four land covers around coal mining concession area in South Kalimantan

Yusanto Nugroho[1], Suyanto[1], Gusti Syeransyah Rudy[1] , Supandi[2], Yudha Hardiyanto Eka Saputra[2], Syamsu Alam[3], Jeriels Matatula[4], Pandu Yudha Adi Putra Wirabuana[5,*]

[1] Faculty of Forestry, Universitas Lambung Mangkurat, Jln. Jend. A.~~hmad~~ Yani km 36 Banjarbaru, South Kalimantan, Indonesia
[2] PT Borneo Indobara. Jl. Propinsi Km 180, Angsana, Tanah Bumbu 72275, South Kalimantan, Indonesia
[3] Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Halu Oleo, Jln. HEA Mokodompit, Kendari 93231, Southeast Sulawesi, Indonesia
[4] Politeknik Pertanian Negeri Kupang, Jl. Prof. Herman Johanes, Lasiana, Kupang 85011, East Nusa Tenggara, Indonesia.
[5] Faculty of Forestry, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Jln. Agro No. 1 Bulaksumur, Sleman 55281, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

*corresponding author: pandu.yudha.a.p@ugm.ac.id

Article history:
Received Day Month 20xx
Accepted Day Month 20xx
Published Day Month 20xx

Keywords:
Coal mining, land covers, reclamation, soil conservation, texture

Abstract

Understanding soil characteristics is importantly required to determine the alternative strategies of land management, particularly related to the scheme of soil and water conservation. This study investigated soil characteristics from four land covers around the coal mining concession area~~,~~ located in South Kalimantan. Soil survey was conducted using a purposive sampling method with three replicates in each land cover. The soil samples ~~was~~ were taken at depths of 0−10 cm, 11−20 cm, and 21−30 cm. Then, these samples were composited before being brought to the laboratory for quantifying their characteristics, such as texture and organic carbon content. Data analysis was processed using non-parametric test with a significant level of 5%. Comparison average of soil characteristics between land covers was evaluated using Kruskal-Wallis test and followed by Nemenyi-test. Results found that soil characteristics from four land covers were significantly different in texture and organic carbon content. The highest sand fraction was noted in shrubs (67.23±0.86%) while the greatest silt fraction was recorded in plantation forests (29.71±2.84%). Compared to other land covers, the clay content in plantation forests and reclamation area was relatively equal by around 53−54%. On another side, The highest soil organic carbon was found in plantation forests with ranging of (4.44±0.14%) and followed by natural forests (4.24±0.62%), shrubs (3.38±0.09%) and reclamation area (1.14±0.09%). These findings indicated there were high variations of soil characteristics from different land covers around the coal mining concession area. Therefore, we suggest ~~to~~ that the managers to apply adaptive strategies in supporting soil conservation efforts based on the soil characteristics in each site.

Commented [ASUS1]: Therefore, it is recommended for managers to apply...etc.

To cite this article: Nugroho, Y., Suyanto, Syeransyah, G., Supandi, Hes, Y., Alam, S., Matatula, J., Wirabuana, P.Y.A.P. 2022. A comparison of soil characteristics from four land covers around coal mining concession area in South Kalimantan. Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management x(x):xxxx-xxxx, doi:10.15243/jdmlm.2022.xxx.x11W. 5,xx.

Introduction

Soil is a component of natural resources that plays important roles in maintaining environmental stability. Besides supplying water and nutrients for plants (Sadono et al., 2021), soil also has fundamental contributions to support biogeochemical cycles and energy flow in the ecosystems (Smith et al., 2015). Numerous studies also report that soil characteristics directly correlates to the vulnerability ~~of~~ to natural disaster like flood and landslide (Djukem et al., 2020). Considering to these strategic positions, it is important to apply soil conservation efforts for minimizing the risk of degradation. This challenge has become the most essential issue in many commercial sectors, one of them is the coal mining industry.

During the last periods, the existence of the coal mining business has provided a high contribution to increase gross domestic product. This industry also gives a lot of work opportunities for people who live around its concession. In fact, some literature~~s~~ explain the presence of coal mining ~~industry~~ industries can accelerate the effort of rural development, particularly from corporate social responsibility programs. However, the activity of coal mining exploration also has negative impacts on the environment, mainly related to ~~the~~ soil degradation (Ma et al., 2019). For example, the coal mining industry commonly uses open-~~-~~pit-systems wherein it is conducted by removing vegetation cover (Kuzevic et al., 2022). Consequently, the rate of run-off and erosion will occur~~s~~ more rapidly. This circumstance can reduce soil fertility because the ~~amount~~ number of top-soil layers have been leached (Lulu et al., 2022). Moreover, the use of chemical compounds can also cause soil contamination (Mourinha et al., 2022). Thus, the effort of reclamation will be more difficult to implement because ~~the~~ soil contamination can stimulate plant stress (Li et al., 2018). It will make plants die or demonstrate detrimental growth (Chibuike and Obiora, 2014). Therefore, ~~the~~ integrated soil management is necessary to minimize the impacts of coal mining activity on soil degradation. This scheme ~~is only possible to formulate if there are~~ can only be formulated if there is comprehensive information about soil characteristics around the coal mining concession area.

As one of the mining enterprises, PT Borneo Indobara has received a permit to manage a coal mining concession area located in South Kalimantan. This site ~~consists of~~ comprises various land covers like natural forests, plantations, shrubs, etc. Even though this company has been operating for more than 10 years, ~~but~~ the information about soil characteristics from each land cover is still limited. It is caused by the work priority that ~~focused~~ focuses on coal extraction. Unfortunately, the challenge of soil management is not only in a small scale, but also occurs in the landscape. The connectivity of each land cover becomes important aspects that should be considered to find the optimum solution. Therefore, this study aimed to identify the variation of soil characteristics from different land cover around the coal mining concession area managed by PT Borneo Indobara. The outcome will provide sufficient information for managers to formulate the adaptative strategies ~~of~~ for soil conservation in every land cover.

Materials and Methods

Study Area

This study was conducted in a coal mining concession area managed by PT Borneo Indobara that~~. It~~ is located in Tanah Bumbu District, South Kalimantan Province (Figure 1). The geographical coordinates of this site is E115˚54'38" 115˚39'00" and S3˚35'30" 3˚36'30". Topography is predominantly by hilly areas with ~~the~~ a slope level of 8−26%. Altitude ranges from 20~~–~~ to 52 m ~~dpl~~ above sea level (asl). Annual rainfall reaches 2,291.7 mm year^{-1}~~.~~ with the highest rainfall ~~occurs~~ occurring in January by approximately 352.3 mm months^{-1}. The mean daily temperature is 27.7˚C with a minimum of 22.7 ˚C and a maximum of 35.2 ˚C. Dry periods are relatively longer than 4 months, from ~~Juli~~ July to November.



Figure 1. Sketch of the study area in coal mining concession managed by PT Borneo Indobara

Commented [lr2]: It would be better using Times New Roman font for the legends (as used for the manuscript text)

PT Borneo Indobara had a total coal mining concession of around 24,100 ha. This area ~~was~~ is divided into 4 blocks to facilitate ~~the~~ exploration activities, namely Batulaki, Bunati, Kusan, and Girimulya. Before becoming the coal mining area, this area had various land cover, such as oil palm plantations, natural forests, plantation forests, etc. However, several land covers have been removed due to the impact of mining exploration. Among those sites, Girimulya still had land cover variation since it ~~was~~ is the last block to mine the coal deposit based on company planning.

Data Collection

Data were collected around 3 months from August to October 2020. ~~It~~ This study consisted of three important phases, namely site stratification, soil survey, and laboratory analysis. The objective of site stratification was to identify the land cover variation around the coal mining concession area. This step was required to design the location for soil sampling. The spatial approach was applied to facilitate site stratification using the most updating image from Google Earth. There were four land covers ~~that~~ found in the study area, including natural forests, plantation forests, shrubs, and reclamation areas. Then, sampling points ~~was~~ were distributed randomly in every land cover with three replicates. The coordinate of every point was saved to GPS for facilitating the tracking process in the field.

In every sampling location, the soil samples ~~was~~ were taken ~~at~~ the depths of 0−10 cm, 0−20 cm, and 0−30 cm (Wirabuana et al., 2021a). Then, these samples were composited for each sampling position before being brought to the laboratory for quantifying their characteristics. There were two parameters used to identify soil variation among land cover, i.e. texture and organic carbon content. Soil texture was determined using the Pipette method (Alam et al., 2020) ~~method~~ while soil organic carbon was quantified using Walkley and Black ~~Method~~ method (Estefan et al., 2013).

Statistical Analysis

Data analysis was processed using R software version 4.1.2 with a significant level of 5%. The *dplyr* and *agricolae* packages were used to support the data processing (De Mendiburu and Simon, 2015). The first stage ~~was~~ started ~~by~~ with descriptive analysis to identify the range of data distribution, including mean, standard deviation, and standard error (Wirabuana et al., 2021b). This step was also carried out to assess the coefficient of variation and the coefficient of precision (Table 1). Both parameters ~~was~~ were generally used to assess the accuracy and precision ~~from~~ of data obtained by the sampling method (Santos and Dias, 2021).

Then, the second stage was focused on assumption tests. There were two assumption~~s~~ tests that were applied for data evaluation, namely normality tests and homogeneity variance tests (~~Beyene, 2016;~~ Ghasemi and Zahediasl, 2012; Beyene, 2016). These tests ~~was~~ were executed twice, wherein the first round was conducted using actual data, and the second round was undertaken using ~~logarithmic natural~~ natural logarithmic transformation from data.

However, the second round was only processed if the actual data did not follow normal distribution nor ~~has~~ had heterogeneous variance. Based on the preliminary test, it has been confirmed that the data did not fulfi~~l~~l both assumptions. Therefore, this study used non-parametric test to get a conclusion from the data. In this context, the comparison means of soil characteristics among land covers ~~were~~ was examined using Kruskall-Walis test and followed by the Nemenyi test (Alam et al., 2020).

Tabel 1. Summary statistics of soil variation from four land cover types

Land covers	Parameters	Unit	Summary of Statistics			
			Mean	SE	CV (%)	P (%)
NF	Sa	%	55.86	2.40	7.43	4.29
	Si	%	12.65	0.71	9.70	5.60
	Cl	%	31.48	1.78	9.80	5.66
	~~C-org~~ Organic C	%	4.24	0.62	25.21	14.55
PF	Sa	%	15.97	1.95	21.16	12.22
	Si	%	29.71	2.84	16.53	9.54
	Cl	%	54.33	1.75	5.59	3.23
	~~C-org~~ Organic C	%	4.44	0.14	5.30	3.06
RA	Sa	%	35.39	0.93	4.55	2.63
	Si	%	11.00	0.64	10.07	5.81
	Cl	%	53.61	1.56	5.05	2.91
	~~C-org~~ Organic C	%	1.14	0.09	13.27	7.66
SH	Sa	%	67.23	0.86	2.21	1.28
	Si	%	5.11	0.27	14.76	5.25
	Cl	%	27.66	1.21	7.56	4.36
	~~C-org~~Organic C	%	3.38	0.09	4.55	2.63

Note: NF (natural forests), PF (plantation forests), RA (recalamation area), SH (shrubs), Sa (sand), Si (silt), Cl (clay), C-org (soil organic carbon), SE =….?, CV = ….?, P = ….?

Formatted: Font: 9 pt

Results and Discussion

Summarized results of the observation demonstrated that soil characteristics among land covers relatively varied (Table 1), wherein there was a significant ~~different~~ difference ~~of~~ in particle-size distribution and soil organic carbon (Figure 2). The highest sand fraction was recorded in shrubs (67.23±0.86%), while the greatest silt fraction was discovered in plantation forests (29.71±2.84%). Compared to others, the clay content was relatively equal in plantation forests and reclamation areas by around 53−54%. These were substantially higher, around 30%, than the proportion of clay fraction in natural forests and shrubs. On another side, this study noted the highest soil organic carbon was found in plantation forests (4.44±0.14%)~~, and~~ followed by natural forests (4.24±0.62%), shrubs (3.38±0.09%), as well as reclamation area (1.14±0.09%).

The presence of soil variation from different land cover indicated there was an interaction between vegetation and soil around the coal mining concession area. This finding was also confirmed by previous studies that documented the influence of vegetation on soil properties (Silva et al., 2018; Toru and Kibret, 2019; Wei et al., 2019). In this context, there were two processes which accommodated the relationship between vegetation and soil, including nutrient~~s~~ cycle and erosion. For explanation, soil with dense vegetation would have better fertility than soil with low vegetation density since there ~~were~~ was higher litterfall accumulation sourced from vegetation above it (Duan et al., 2019; Lei et al., 2019). Several ~~literatures~~ kinds of literature explained that litterfall was classified as natural organic matter~~s~~ from plants that are composed of nutrients such as N, P, and K (Castellanos-Barliza et al., 2018; Tongkaemkaew et al., 2018; Wongprom et al., 2022). Other studies also recorded around 50% of litterfall was composed of carbon elements (Park et al., 2020; Sadono et al., 2020; Wirabuana et al., 2021b). When litterfall was decomposed, its nutrient~~s~~ content, especially its carbon, would release into the soil (Giweta, 2020). Therefore, it could be understood why the soil organic carbon in natural and plantation forests were considerably higher than in shrubs and reclamation area.



Figure 2 Comparison mean of soil characteristics among different land cover

Commented [lr3]: Please provide with editable graphs.

Commented [ASUS4]: Please write the remarks of the symbol in the Figure and acronym of landcovers (NF, PF, RA, SH); what are C-org (%) and Sa (%)?

The vegetation above the soil ~~could~~ can also minimize erosion because it ~~reduced~~ reduces the direct contact between rainfall and soil through their canopy layer (Moisa et al., 2021). The occurrence of soil erosion ~~could~~ can decline soil fertility because it ~~leached~~ leaches top soil layer, which ~~contained~~ contains ~~a lot of~~ nutrients (Novara et al., 2018). This explanation also ~~supported~~ supports our finding wherein the soil fertility in shrubs and reclamation areas was relatively lower than in natural and plantation forests. However, ~~the~~ soil erosion ~~was~~ is a natural process that ~~contributed~~ contributes to weathering process. Soils that have ~~having~~ high weathering intensity, are generally dominated by clay content and also ~~had~~ have low fertility (Alam et al., 2020). Interestingly, this study found ~~the~~ that soil fertility in plantation forests was equal statistically ~~with~~ to natural forests even though the clay content was substantially higher (Figure 2). This condition could ~~be~~ have happened because before converting into a mining concession area, this site was managed as a commercial plantation forest~~s~~ wherein there were intensive silvicultural treatments, mainly related to fertilization. The application of fertilization ~~could~~ can significantly improve~~s~~ soil fertility even though the nutrient availability ~~was~~ is naturally limited (Purwanto and Alam, 2020). This effort ~~was~~ is generally conducted in plantation forests, especially those that are located in mature soil (Halomoan et al., 2015; Amezquita et al., 2018; ~~Halomoan et al., 2015;~~ Wirabuana et al., 2020).

Overall, this study realized the different types of vegetation and ~~its~~ their density become the main factor that caused the soil variation around coal mining concession areas. Despite the fact that this site would be excavated for coal exploration, it would be better for managers to formulate soil conservation strategies to minimize the impact of mining activities on the environmental condition. These efforts ~~would~~ will reduce the risk of soil degradation after mine closure and also ~~had~~ have ~~a~~ the potential to accelerate the reclamation activities for ecosystems restoration.

Conclusions

This study concluded there were high variations of soil characteristics from different land covers around coal mining concession areas. Soil texture indicated greater variation than soil organic carbon, wherein the composition of sand, silt, and clay was significantly different between land covers. The highest soil organic carbon was recorded in the plantation forests, while the lowest was found in the reclamation area. It indicated the implementation of soil and water conservation strategies should be determined carefully based on the specific condition ~~in~~ of every land cover.

Acknowledgements

The authors deliver ~~our~~ their gratitude to PT Borneo Indobara ~~that~~ which allows and supports this study in their coal mining concession area. The authors ~~We~~ are also grateful to Mr. Kinanto Prabu and Mr. Chairul Anwar, who facilitate the process of data collection. In addition, the authors are also thankful to the reviewers for their suggestions to improve this article.

References

Alam, S., Purwanto, B.H., Hanudin, E.~~K.O.~~, Tarwaca, E.K.A. and~~,~~ Putra, S.~~,~~ 2020. Soil diversity influences on oil palm productivity in ultramafic ecosystems~~,~~, Southeast Sulawesi, Indonesia. Biodiversitas Journasl of~~.~~ Biological~~.~~ Diversity~~.~~ 21, 5521–5530. https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d211161

Amezquita, P.S.M., Rubiano, J.A.M., Filho, N.F.D.B. and~~,~~ Cipriani, H.N.~~,~~ 2018. Fertilization effects on Eucalyptus pellita F. Muell productivity in the Colombian Orinoco Region. Revista~~.~~ Arvore 42, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1590/1806-9088201800050002

Beyene, K.~~,~~ 2016. Assessing Univariate and Multivariate Homogeneity of Variance: A Guide For Practitioners. ~~J.~~ Mathematical~~.~~ Theory and Modeling~~.~~ 6, 13–17.

Castellanos-Barliza, J., León-Peláez, J.D., Armenta-Martínez, R., Barranco-Pérez, W. and~~,~~ Caicedo-Ruíz, W.~~,~~ 2018. Contributions of organic matter and nutrients via leaf litter in an urban tropical dry forest fragment. Revista~~.~~ de Biologia~~.~~ Tropical~~.~~ 66, 571–585. https://doi.org/10.15517/rbt.v66i2.33381

Chibuike, G.U. and~~,~~ Obiora, S.C.~~,~~ 2014. Heavy metal polluted soils: Effect on plants and bioremediation methods. Appl. Environ. Soil Science~~.~~ 1, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/752708

De Mendiburu, F. and~~,~~ Simon, R.~~,~~ 2015. Agricolae - Ten years of an open source statistical tool for experiments in breeding, agriculture and biology. PeerJ 3, 1–18.

Djukem, W.D.L., Braun, A., Wouatong, A.S.L., Guedjeo, C., Dohmen, K., Wotchoko, P., Fernandez-Steeger, T.M. and~~,~~ Havenith, H.B.~~,~~ 2020. Effect of soil geomechanical properties and geo-environmental factors on landslide predisposition at Mount Oku, Cameroon. International~~.~~ Journal of~~.~~ Environmental~~.~~ Research~~.~~ And Public Health 17, 1–28. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17186795

Duan, A., Lei, J., Hu, X., Zhang, J., Du, H., Zhang, X., Guo, W. and~~,~~ Sun, J.~~,~~ 2019. Effects of planting density on soil bulk density, pH and nutrients of unthinned Chinese fir mature stands in south subtropical region of China. Forests 10, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.3390/f10040351

Estefan, G., Sommer, R. and~~,~~ Ryan, J.~~,~~ 2013. Methods of soil, plant, and water analysis. International Center for Agriculture Research in the Dry Areas.

Ghasemi, A. and~~,~~ Zahediasl, S.~~,~~ 2012. Normality tests for statistical analysis: A guide for non-statisticians. International~~.~~ Journal of~~.~~ Endocrinology and~~.~~ Metabolism. 10, 486–489. https://doi.org/10.5812/ijem.3505

Giweta, M.~~,~~ 2020. Role of litter production and its decomposition, and factors affecting the processes in a tropical forest ecosystem: A review. Journal of~~.~~ Ecology and~~.~~ Environment~~.~~ 44, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41610-020-0151-2

Halomoan, S.S.T., Wawan, and Adiwirman~~.,~~ 2015. Effect of fertilization on the growth and biomass of *Acacia mangium* and Eucalyptus hybrid (*E . grandis* x *E . pellita*). Journal of~~.~~ Tropical~~.~~ Soils 20, 157–166. https://doi.org/10.5400/jts.2015.20.3.157

Kuzevic, S., Bobikova, D. and~~,~~ Kuzevicova, Z.~~,~~ 2022. Land cover and vegetation coverage changes in the mining area—a case study from Slovakia. Sustainability 14, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14031180

Lei, J., Du, H., Duan, A. and~~,~~ Zhang, J.~~,~~ 2019. Effect of stand density and soil layer on soil nutrients of a 37-year-old *Cunninghamia lanceolata* plantation in Naxi, Sichuan Province, China. Sustainability 11, 1–20. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11195410

Li, F., Li, X., Hou, L. and~~,~~ Shao, A.~~,~~ 2018. Impact of the coal mining on the spatial distribution of potentially toxic metals in farmland tillage soil. Scientific~~.~~ Reports~~.~~ 8, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-33132-4

Lulu, Y., Hermansyah, H., Eddy, I. and~~,~~ Marsi, M.~~,~~ 2022. Analysis on the characteristics of ex-mining soil after 5 years and 10 years of revegetation. Media Konservasi~~.~~ 26, 239–247. https://doi.org/10.29244/medkon.26.3.239-247

Ma, K., Zhang, Y., Ruan, M., Guo, J. and~~,~~ Chai, T.~~,~~ 2019. Land subsidence in a coal mining area reduced soil fertility and led to soil degradation in arid and semi-arid regions. International~~.~~ Journal of~~.~~ Environmental~~.~~ Research~~.~~ and Public Health 16, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16203929

Moisa, M.B., Negash, D.A., Merga, B.B. and~~,~~ Gemeda, D.O.~~,~~ 2021. Impact of land-use and land-cover change on soil erosion using the RUSLE model and the geographic information system: A case of ~~temeji~~ Temeji

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

watershed, ~~western~~ Western ~~ethiopia~~Ethiopia. Journal of Water and Climate Change 12, 3404–3420. https://doi.org/10.2166/wcc.2021.131

Mourinha, C., Palma, P., Alexandre, C., Cruz, N., Rodrigues, S.M. and Alvarenga, P. 2022. Potentially toxic elements' contamination of soils affected by mining activities in the Portuguese Sector of the Iberian pyrite belt and optional remediation actions: a review. Environments 9, 1–35. https://doi.org/10.3390/environments9010011

Novara, A., Pisciotta, A., Minacapilli, M., Maltese, A., Capodici, F., Cerdà, A. and Gristina, L. 2018. The impact of soil erosion on soil fertility and vine vigor. A multidisciplinary approach based on field, laboratory and remote sensing approaches. Science of The Total Environment 622–623, 474–480. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.272

Park, B.B., Rahman, A., Han, S.H., Youn, W. Bin, Hyun, H.J., Hernandez, J. and An, J.Y. 2020. Carbon and nutrient inputs by litterfall in evergreen and deciduous forests in Korea. Forests 11, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.3390/f11020143

Purwanto, B.H. and Alam, S. 2020. Impact of intensive agricultural management on carbon and nitrogen dynamics in the humid tropics. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 66, 50–59. https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2019.1705182

Sadono, R., Wardhana, W., Wirabuana, P.Y.A.P. and Idris. 2021. Soil chemical properties influences on the growth performance of Eucalyptus urophylla planted in dryland ecosystems, East Nusa Tenggara. Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management 8, 2635–2642. https://doi.org/10.15243/jdmlm.2021.082.2635

Sadono, R., Wardhana, W., Wirabuana, P.Y.A.P. and Idris, F. 2020. Productivity evaluation of Eucalyptus urophylla plantation established in dryland ecosystems, East Nusa Tenggara. Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management 8, 2502–2458. https://doi.org/10.15243/jdmlm. 2020.081.2461

Santos, C. and Dias, C. 2021. Note on the coefficient of variation properties 1 Nota sobre as propriedades do coeficiente de variação. Brazilian Electron. Journal of Mathematics 2, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.14393/BEJOM-v2-n4-2021-58062

Silva, R.A., Siqueira, G.M., Costa, M.K.L., Guedes Filho, O. and e Silva, Ê.F. de F. 2018. Spatial variability of soil fauna under different land use and managements. Revista Brasileira de Ciencia do Solo 42, 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1590/18069657rbcs20170121

Smith, P., Cotrufo, M.F., Rumpel, C., Paustian, K., Kuikman, P.J., Elliott, J.A., McDowell, R., Griffiths, R.I., Asakawa, S., Bustamante, M., House, J.I., Sobocká, J., Harper, R., Pan, G., West, P.C., Gerber, J.S., Clark, J.M., Adhya, T., Scholes, R.J. and Scholes, M.C. 2015. Biogeochemical cycles and biodiversity as key drivers of ecosystem services provided by soils. Soil 1, 665–685. https://doi.org/10.5194/soil-1-665-2015

Tongkaemkaew, U., Sukkul, J., Sumkhan, N., Panklang, P., Brauman, A. and Ismail, R. 2018. Litterfall, litter decomposition, soil macrofauna, and nutrient content in rubber monoculture and rubberbased agroforestry plantations. Forest and Society 2, 138–149. https://doi.org/10.24259/fs.v2i2.4431

Toru, T. and Kibret, K. 2019. Carbon stock under major land use/land cover types of Hades sub-watershed, eastern Ethiopia. Carbon Balance and Management 14, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13021-019-0122-z

Wei, W., Feng, X., Yang, L., Chen, L., Feng, T. and Chen, D. 2019. The effects of terracing and vegetation on soil moisture retention in a dry hilly catchment in China. Science of The Total Environment 647, 1323–1332. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.08.037

Wirabuana, P.Y.A.P., Alam, S., Matatula, J., Harahap, M.M., Nugroho, Y., Idris, F., Meinata, A. and Sekar, D.A. 2021a. The growth, aboveground biomass, crown development, and leaf characteristics of three eucalyptus species at initial stage of planting in Jepara, Indonesia. Biodiversitas 22, 2859–2869. https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d220550

Wirabuana, P.Y.A.P., Sadono, R., Juniarso, S. and Idris, F. 2020. Interaction of fertilization and weed control influences on growth , biomass , and carbon in eucalyptus hybrid (*E. pellita* × *E. brassiana*). Jurnal Manajemen Hutan Tropika 26, 144–154. https://doi.org/10.7226/jtfm.26.2.144

Wirabuana, P.Y.A.P., Setiahadi, R., Sadono, R., Lukito, M. and Martono, D.S. 2021b. The influence of stand density and species diversity into timber production and carbon stock in community forest. Indonesian Journal of Forestry Research 8, 13–22. https://doi.org/10.20886/ijfr.2021.8.1.13-22

Wongprom, J., Poolsiri, R., DilokSumpun, S., Ngernsaengsaruay, C., Tansakul, S. and Chandaeng, W. 2022. Litterfall, litter decomposition and nutrient return of rehabilitated mining areas and natural forest in Phangnga Forestry Research Station, Southern Thailand. Biotropia (Bogor). 29, 74–85. https://doi.org/10.11598/btb.2022.29.1.1627

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

JOURNAL OF DEGRADED AND MINING LANDS MANAGEMENT
Volume 10, Number 1 (October 2022):0000-0000, doi:10.15243/jdmlm.2022.101.0000
ISSN: 2339-076X (p); 2502-2458 (e), www.jdmlm.ub.ac.id

Research Article

A comparison of soil characteristics from four land covers around a coal mining concession area in South Kalimantan

Yusanto Nugroho[1], Suyanto[1], Gusti Syeransyah Rudy[1], Supandi[2], Yudha Hardiyanto Eka Saputra[2], Syamsu Alam[3], Jeriels Matatula[4], Pandu Yudha Adi Putra Wirabuana[5*]

[1] Faculty of Forestry, Universitas Lambung Mangkurat, Jl. Jend. A. Yani Km 36 Banjarbaru, South Kalimantan, Indonesia
[2] PT Borneo Indobara. Jl. Propinsi Km 180, Angsana, Tanah Bumbu 72275, South Kalimantan, Indonesia
[3] Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Halu Oleo, Jl. HEA Mokodompit, Kendari 93231, Southeast Sulawesi, Indonesia
[4] Politeknik Pertanian Negeri Kupang, Jl. Prof. Herman Johanes, Lasiana, Kupang 85011, East Nusa Tenggara, Indonesia
[5] Faculty of Forestry, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Jl. Agro No. 1 Bulaksumur, Sleman 55281, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

[*]corresponding author: pandu.yudha.a.p@ugm.ac.id

Article history:
Received 5 June 2022
Accepted ………. 2022
Published 1 October 2022

Keywords:
coal mining
land covers
reclamation
soil conservation
texture

Abstract

Understanding soil characteristics is important to determine the alternative strategies of land management, particularly those related to the scheme of soil and water conservation. This study investigated soil characteristics from four land covers around the coal mining concession area located in South Kalimantan. A soil survey was conducted using a purposive sampling method with three replicates in each land cover. Soil samples that were taken at depths of 0-10 cm, 11-20 cm, and 21-30 cm, were composited before being brought to the laboratory to quantify their characteristics, such as texture and organic carbon content. Data analysis was processed using a non-parametric test with a significant level of 5%. Comparison average of soil characteristics between land covers was evaluated using the Kruskal-Wallis test and followed by Nemenyi-test. Results found that soil characteristics from four land covers significantly differed in texture and organic carbon content. The highest sand fraction was noted in shrubs (67.23±0.86%), while the greatest silt fraction was recorded in plantation forests (29.71±2.84%). Compared to other land covers, the clay content in plantation forests and reclamation area was relatively equal by around 53-54%. On another side, The highest soil organic carbon was found in plantation forests with ranging of (4.44±0.14%) followed by natural forests (4.24±0.62%), shrubs (3.38±0.09%), and reclamation area (1.14±0.09%). These findings indicated there were high variations of soil characteristics from different land covers around the coal mining concession area. Therefore, it is recommended for managers to apply adaptive strategies in supporting soil conservation efforts based on the soil characteristics in each site.

To cite this article: Nugroho,Y., Suyanto, Rudy, G.S., Supandi, Saputra, Y.H.E., Alam, S., Matatula, J. and Wirabuana, P.Y.A.P. 2022. A comparison of soil characteristics from four land covers around a coal mining concession area in South Kalimantan. Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management 10(1):0000-0000, doi:10.15243/jdmlm. 2022.101.0000.

Introduction

Soil is a component of natural resources that plays important roles in maintaining environmental stability. Besides supplying water and nutrients for plants (Sadono et al., 2021), soil also has fundamental contributions to support biogeochemical cycles and energy flow in the ecosystems (Smith et al., 2015). Numerous studies also report that soil characteristics directly correlates to the vulnerability to natural disasters like flood and landslide (Djukem et al., 2020). Considering to these strategic positions, it is important to apply soil conservation efforts to minimize the risk of degradation. This challenge has become the most essential issue in many commercial sectors, one of them is the coal mining industry.

During the last periods, the existence of the coal mining business has provided a high contribution to increase gross domestic product. This industry also gives a lot of work opportunities for people who live around its concession. In fact, some literature explains the presence of coal mining industries can accelerate the effort of rural development, particularly from corporate social responsibility programs. However, the activity of coal mining exploration also has negative impacts on the environment, mainly related to soil degradation (Ma et al., 2019). For example, the coal mining industry commonly uses open-pit systems, wherein it is conducted by removing vegetation cover (Kuzevic et al., 2022). Consequently, the rate of run-off and erosion will occur more rapidly. This circumstance can reduce soil fertility because the number of topsoil layers has been leached (Lulu et al., 2022). Moreover, the use of chemical compounds can also cause soil contamination (Mourinha et al., 2022). Thus, the effort of reclamation will be more difficult to implement because soil contamination can stimulate plant stress (Li et al., 2018). It will make plants die or demonstrate detrimental growth (Chibuike and Obiora, 2014). Therefore, integrated soil management is necessary to minimize the impacts of coal mining activity on soil degradation. This scheme can only be formulated if there is comprehensive information about soil characteristics around the coal mining concession area.

As one of the mining enterprises, PT Borneo Indobara has received a permit to manage a coal mining concession area located in South Kalimantan. This site comprises various land covers like natural forests, plantations, shrubs, etc. Even though this company has been operating for more than 10 years, the information about soil characteristics from each land cover is still limited. It is caused by the work priority that focuses on coal extraction. Unfortunately, the challenge of soil management is not only on a small scale but also occurs in the landscape. The connectivity of each land cover becomes an important aspect that should be considered to find the optimum solution. Therefore, this study aimed to identify the variation of soil characteristics from different land cover around the coal mining concession area managed by PT Borneo Indobara. The outcome will provide sufficient information for managers to formulate adaptative strategies for soil conservation in every land cover.

Materials and Methods

Study area

This study was conducted in a coal mining concession area managed by PT Borneo Indobara which is located in Tanah Bumbu District, South Kalimantan Province (Figure 1). The geographical coordinates of this site are E115˚54'38” 115˚39'00” and S3˚35'30” 3˚36'30”. Topography is predominantly by hilly areas with a slope level of 8−26%. Altitude ranges from 20 to 52 m above sea level (asl). Annual rainfall reaches 2,291.7 mm year$^{-1,}$ with the highest rainfall occurring in January by approximately 352.3 mm months^{-1}. The mean daily temperature is 27.7˚C with a minimum of 22.7 ˚C and a maximum of 35.2 ˚C. Dry periods are relatively longer than 4 months, from July to November. PT Borneo Indobara had a total coal mining concession of around 24,100 ha. This area is divided into 4 blocks to facilitate exploration activities, namely Batulaki, Bunati, Kusan, and Girimulya.



Figure 1. Sketch of the study area in coal mining concession managed by PT Borneo Indobara.

Before becoming the coal mining area, this area had a various land cover, such as oil palm plantations, natural forests, plantation forests, etc. However, several land covers have been removed due to the impact of mining exploration. Among those sites, Girimulya still had land cover variation since it is the last block to mine the coal deposit based on company planning.

Data collection

Data were collected around 3 months from August to October 2020. This study consisted of three important phases, namely site stratification, soil survey, and laboratory analysis. The objective of site stratification was to identify the land cover variation around the coal mining concession area. This step was required to design the location for soil sampling. The spatial approach was applied to facilitate site stratification using the most updating image from Google Earth. There were four land covers found in the study area, including natural forests, plantation forests, shrubs, and reclamation areas. Then, sampling points were distributed randomly in every land cover with three replicates. The coordinate of every point was saved to GPS to facilitate the tracking process in the field.

In every sampling location, the soil samples were taken at depths of 0-10 cm, 0-20 cm, and 0-30 cm (Wirabuana et al., 2021a). Then, these samples were composited for each sampling position before being brought to the laboratory for quantifying their characteristics. There were two parameters used to identify soil variation among land cover, i.e. texture and organic carbon content. Soil texture was determined using the Pipette method (Alam et al., 2020), while soil organic carbon was quantified using Walkley and Black method (Estefan et al., 2013).

Statistical analysis

Data analysis was processed using R software version 4.1.2 with a significant level of 5%. The *dplyr* and *agricolae* packages were used to support the data processing (De Mendiburu and Simon, 2015). The first stage started with descriptive analysis to identify the range of data distribution, including mean, standard deviation, and standard error (Wirabuana et al., 2021b). This step was also carried out to assess the coefficient of variation and the coefficient of precision (Table 1). Both parameters were generally used to assess the accuracy and precision of data obtained by the sampling method (Santos and Dias, 2021). Then, the second stage was focused on assumption tests. There were two assumption tests that were applied for data evaluation, namely normality tests and homogeneity variance tests (Ghasemi and Zahediasl, 2012; Beyene, 2016). These tests were executed twice, wherein the first round was conducted using actual data, and the second round was undertaken using natural logarithmic transformation from data. However, the second round was only processed if the actual data did not follow normal distribution nor had heterogeneous variance. Based on the preliminary test, it has been confirmed that the data did not fulfil both assumptions. Therefore, this study used a non-parametric test to get a conclusion from the data. In this context, the comparison means of soil characteristics among land covers was examined using Kruskall-Walis test and followed by the Nemenyi test (Alam et al., 2020).

Table 1. Summary statistics of soil variation from four land cover types.

Land covers	Parameters	Unit	Summary of Statistics			
			Mean	SE	CV (%)	P (%)
NF	Sa	%	55.86	2.40	7.43	4.29
	Si	%	12.65	0.71	9.70	5.60
	Cl	%	31.48	1.78	9.80	5.66
	Organic C	%	4.24	0.62	25.21	14.55
PF	Sa	%	15.97	1.95	21.16	12.22
	Si	%	29.71	2.84	16.53	9.54
	Cl	%	54.33	1.75	5.59	3.23
	Organic C	%	4.44	0.14	5.30	3.06
RA	Sa	%	35.39	0.93	4.55	2.63
	Si	%	11.00	0.64	10.07	5.81
	Cl	%	53.61	1.56	5.05	2.91
	Organic C	%	1.14	0.09	13.27	7.66
SH	Sa	%	67.23	0.86	2.21	1.28
	Si	%	5.11	0.27	14.76	5.25
	Cl	%	27.66	1.21	7.56	4.36
	Organic C	%	3.38	0.09	4.55	2.63

Note: NF (natural forests), PF (plantation forests), RA (recalamation area), SH (shrubs), Sa (sand), Si (silt), Cl (clay), Organic C (soil organic carbon), SE (standard error), CV (coefficient of variation), P (coefficient of precision).

Results and Discussion

Summarized results of the observation demonstrated that soil characteristics among land covers relatively varied (Table 1), wherein there was a significant difference in particle-size distribution and soil organic carbon (Figure 2). The highest sand fraction was recorded in shrubs (67.23±0.86%), while the greatest silt fraction was discovered in plantation forests (29.71±2.84%). Compared to others, the clay content was relatively equal in plantation forests and reclamation areas by around 53−54%. These were substantially higher, around 30% than the proportion of clay fraction in natural forests and shrubs. On another side, this study noted the highest soil organic carbon was found in plantation forests (4.44±0.14%), followed by natural forests (4.24±0.62%), shrubs (3.38±0.09%), as well as reclamation areas (1.14±0.09%). The presence of soil variation from different land cover indicated there was an interaction between vegetation and soil around the coal mining concession area. This finding was also confirmed by previous studies that documented the influence of vegetation on soil properties (Silva et al., 2018; Toru and Kibret, 2019; Wei et al., 2019).



Figure 2 Comparison mean of soil characteristics among NF (natural forests), PF (planted forests), RA (reclamation area), and SH (shrubs). The different letters above the graph indicate a significant difference based on the results of the statistical analysis.

In this context, there were two processes that accommodated the relationship between vegetation and soil, including the nutrient cycle and erosion. For explanation, soil with dense vegetation would have better fertility than soil with low vegetation density since there was higher litterfall accumulation sourced from vegetation above it (Duan et al., 2019; Lei et al., 2019). Several kinds of literature explained that litterfall was classified as natural organic matter from plants that are composed of nutrients such as N, P, and K (Castellanos-Barliza et al., 2018; Tongkaemkaew et al., 2018; Wongprom et al., 2022). Other studies also recorded around 50% of litterfall was composed of carbon elements (Park et al., 2020; Sadono et al., 2020; Wirabuana et al., 2021b). When litterfall was decomposed, its nutrient content, especially its carbon, would release into the soil (Giweta, 2020). Therefore, it could be understood why the soil organic carbon in natural and plantation forests were considerably higher than in shrubs and reclamation area.

The vegetation above the soil can also minimize erosion because it reduces the direct contact between rainfall and soil through their canopy layer (Moisa et al., 2021). The occurrence of soil erosion can decline soil fertility because it leaches the top soil layer, which contains nutrients (Novara et al., 2018). This explanation also supports our finding wherein the soil fertility in shrubs and reclamation areas was relatively lower than in natural and plantation forests. However, soil erosion is a natural process that contributes to weathering process. Soils that have high weathering intensity are generally dominated by clay content and also have low fertility (Alam et al., 2020). Interestingly, this study found that soil fertility in plantation forests was equal statistically to natural forests even though the clay content was substantially higher (Figure 2). This condition could have happened because before converting into a mining concession area, this site was managed as a commercial plantation forest wherein there were intensive silvicultural treatments, mainly related to fertilization. The application of fertilization can significantly improve soil fertility even though nutrient availability is naturally limited (Purwanto and Alam, 2020). This effort is generally conducted in plantation forests, especially those that are located in mature soil (Halomoan et al., 2015; Amezquita et al., 2018; Wirabuana et al., 2020).

Overall, this study realized the different types of vegetation and their density become the main factor that caused the soil variation around coal mining concession areas. Despite the fact that this site would be excavated for coal exploration, it would be better for managers to formulate soil conservation strategies to minimize the impact of mining activities on the environmental condition. These efforts will reduce the risk of soil degradation after mine closure and also have the potential to accelerate the reclamation activities for ecosystem restoration.

Conclusions

This study concluded there were high variations of soil characteristics from different land covers around coal mining concession areas. Soil texture indicated greater variation than soil organic carbon, wherein the composition of sand, silt, and clay was significantly different between land covers. The highest soil organic carbon was recorded in the plantation forests, while the lowest was found in the reclamation area. It indicated the implementation of soil and water conservation strategies should be determined carefully based on the specific condition of every land cover.

Acknowledgements

The authors deliver their gratitude to PT Borneo Indobara, which allows and supports this study in their coal mining concession area. The authors are also grateful to Mr. Kinanto Prabu and Mr. Chairul Anwar, who facilitate the process of data collection. In addition, the authors are also thankful to the reviewers for their suggestions to improve this article.

References

Alam, S., Purwanto, B.H., Hanudin, E., Tarwaca, E.K.A. and Putra, S. 2020. Soil diversity influences oil palm productivity in ultramafic ecosystems in Southeast Sulawesi, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity* 21:5521-5530, doi:10.13057/biodiv/d211161.

Amezquita, P.S.M., Rubiano, J.A.M., Filho, N.F.D.B. and Cipriani, H.N. 2018. Fertilization effects on *Eucalyptus pellita* F. Muell productivity in the Colombian Orinoco Region. *Revista Arvore* 42:1-8, doi:10.1590/1806-9088201800050002.

Beyene, K. 2016. Assessing univariate and multivariate homogeneity of variance: a guide for practitioners. *Mathematical Theory and Modeling* 6:13-17.

Castellanos-Barliza, J., León-Peláez, J.D., Armenta-Martínez, R., Barranco-Pérez, W. and Caicedo-Ruíz, W. 2018. Contributions of organic matter and nutrients via leaf litter in an urban tropical dry forest fragment. *Revista de Biologia Tropical* 66:571-585, doi:10.15517/rbt.v66i2.33381.

Chibuike, G.U. and Obiora, S.C. 2014. Heavy metal polluted soils: Effect on plants and bioremediation methods. *Applied and Environmental Soil Science* 1:1-14, doi:10.1155/2014/752708.

De Mendiburu, F. and Simon, R. 2015. Agricolae - Ten years of an open source statistical tool for experiments in breeding, agriculture and biology. *PeerJ* 3:1-18.

Djukem, W.D.L., Braun, A., Wouatong, A.S.L., Guedjeo, C., Dohmen, K., Wotchoko, P., Fernandez-Steeger, T.M. and Havenith, H.B. 2020. Effect of soil geomechanical properties and geo-environmental factors on landslide predisposition at Mount Oku, Cameroon. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 17:1-28, doi:10.3390/ijerph17186795.

Duan, A., Lei, J., Hu, X., Zhang, J., Du, H., Zhang, X., Guo, W. and Sun, J. 2019. Effects of planting density on soil bulk density, pH and nutrients of unthinned Chinese fir mature stands in south subtropical region of China. *Forests* 10:1-17, doi:10.3390/f10040351.

Estefan, G., Sommer, R. and Ryan, J. 2013. Methods of soil, plant, and water analysis. International Center for Agriculture Research in the Dry Areas.

Ghasemi, A. and Zahediasl, S. 2012. Normality tests for statistical analysis: A guide for non-statisticians. *International Journal of Endocrinology and Metabolism* 10:486-489, doi:10.5812/ijem.3505.

Giweta, M. 2020. Role of litter production and its decomposition, and factors affecting the processes in a tropical forest ecosystem: A review. *Journal of Ecology and Environment* 44:1-9, doi:10.1186/s41610-020-0151-2.

Halomoan, S.S.T., Wawan, and Adiwirman. 2015. Effect of fertilization on the growth and biomass of *Acacia mangium* and Eucalyptus hybrid (*E. grandis* x *E. pellita*). *Journal of Tropical Soils* 20:157-166, doi:10.5400/jts.2015.20.3.157.

Kuzevic, S., Bobikova, D. and Kuzevicova, Z. 2022. Land cover and vegetation coverage changes in the mining area-a case study from Slovakia. *Sustainability* 14:1-14, doi:10.3390/su14031180.

Lei, J., Du, H., Duan, A. and Zhang, J. 2019. Effect of stand density and soil layer on soil nutrients of a 37-year-old *Cunninghamia lanceolata* plantation in Naxi, Sichuan Province, China. *Sustainability* 11:1-20, doi:10.3390/su11195410.

Li, F., Li, X., Hou, L. and Shao, A. 2018. Impact of the coal mining on the spatial distribution of potentially toxic metals in farmland tillage soil. *Scientific Reports* 8:1-10, doi:10.1038/s41598-018-33132-4.

Lulu, Y., Hermansyah, H., Eddy, I. and Marsi, M. 2022. Analysis on the characteristics of ex-mining soil after 5 years and 10 years of revegetation. *Media Konservasi* 26:239-247, doi:10.29244/medkon.26.3.239-247.

Ma, K., Zhang, Y., Ruan, M., Guo, J. and Chai, T. 2019. Land subsidence in a coal mining area reduced soil fertility and led to soil degradation in arid and semi-arid regions. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 16:1-4, doi:10.3390/ijerph16203929.

Moisa, M.B., Negash, D.A., Merga, B.B. and Gemeda, D.O. 2021. Impact of land-use and land-cover change on soil erosion using the RUSLE model and the geographic information system: A case of Temeji watershed, Western Ethiopia. *Journal of Water and Climate Change* 12:3404-3420, doi:10.2166/wcc.2021.131.

Mourinha, C., Palma, P., Alexandre, C., Cruz, N., Rodrigues, S.M. and Alvarenga, P. 2022. Potentially toxic elements' contamination of soils affected by mining activities in the Portuguese Sector of the Iberian pyrite belt and optional remediation actions: a review. *Environments* 9:1-35, doi:10.3390/environments9010011.

Novara, A., Pisciotta, A., Minacapilli, M., Maltese, A., Capodici, F., Cerdà, A. and Gristina, L. 2018. The impact of soil erosion on soil fertility and vine vigor. A multidisciplinary approach based on field, laboratory and remote sensing approaches. *Science of The Total Environment* 622-623:474-480, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.272.

Park, B.B., Rahman, A., Han, S.H., Youn, W. Bin, Hyun, H.J., Hernandez, J. and An, J.Y. 2020. Carbon and nutrient inputs by litterfall in evergreen and deciduous forests in Korea. *Forests* 11:1-15, doi:10.3390/f11020143.

Purwanto, B.H. and Alam, S. 2020. Impact of intensive agricultural management on carbon and nitrogen dynamics in the humid tropics. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* 66:50-59, doi:10.1080/00380768.2019.1705182.

Sadono, R., Wardhana, W., Wirabuana, P.Y.A.P. and Idris. 2021. Soil chemical properties influences on the growth performance of *Eucalyptus urophylla* planted in dryland ecosystems, East Nusa Tenggara. *Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management* 8(2):2635-2642, doi:10.15243/jdmlm.2021.082.2635.

Sadono, R., Wardhana, W., Wirabuana, P.Y.A.P. and Idris, F. 2020. Productivity evaluation of *Eucalyptus urophylla* plantation established in dryland ecosystems, East Nusa Tenggara. *Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management* 8(1):2502-2458, doi:10.15243/jdmlm.2020.081.2461.

Santos, C. and Dias, C. 2021. Note on the coefficient of variation properties. *Brazilian Electronic Journal of Mathematics* 2:1-12, doi:10.14393/BEJOM-v2-n4-2021-58062.

Silva, R.A., Siqueira, G.M., Costa, M.K.L., Guedes Filho, O. and e Silva, Ê.F. de F. 2018. Spatial variability of soil fauna under different land use and managements. *Revista Brasileira de Ciencia do Solo* 42:1-18, doi:10.1590/18069657rbcs20170121.

Smith, P., Cotrufo, M.F., Rumpel, C., Paustian, K., Kuikman, P.J., Elliott, J.A., McDowell, R., Griffiths, R.I., Asakawa, S., Bustamante, M., House, J.I., Sobocká, J., Harper, R., Pan, G., West, P.C., Gerber, J.S., Clark, J.M., Adhya, T., Scholes, R.J. and Scholes, M.C. 2015. Biogeochemical cycles and biodiversity as key drivers of ecosystem services provided by soils. *Soil* 1:665-685, doi:10.5194/soil-1-665-2015.

Tongkaemkaew, U., Sukkul, J., Sumkhan, N., Panklang, P., Brauman, A. and Ismail, R. 2018. Litterfall, litter decomposition, soil macrofauna, and nutrient content in rubber monoculture and rubber-based agroforestry plantations. *Forest and Society* 2:138-149, doi:10.24259/fs.v2i2.4431.

Toru, T. and Kibret, K. 2019. Carbon stock under major land use/land cover types of Hades sub-watershed, eastern Ethiopia. *Carbon Balance and Management* 14:1-14, doi:10.1186/s13021-019-0122-z.

Wei, W., Feng, X., Yang, L., Chen, L., Feng, T. and Chen, D. 2019. The effects of terracing and vegetation on soil moisture retention in a dry hilly catchment in China. *Science of The Total Environment* 647:1323-1332, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.08.037.

Wirabuana, P.Y.A.P., Alam, S., Matatula, J., Harahap, M.M., Nugroho, Y., Idris, F., Meinata, A. and Sekar, D.A. 2021a. The growth, aboveground biomass, crown development, and leaf characteristics of three eucalyptus species at initial stage of planting in Jepara, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas* 22:2859-2869, doi:10.13057/biodiv/d220550.

Wirabuana, P.Y.A.P., Sadono, R., Juniarso, S. and Idris, F. 2020. Interaction of fertilization and weed control influences on growth, biomass , and carbon in eucalyptus hybrid (*E. pellita* × *E. brassiana*). *Jurnal Manajemen Hutan Tropika* 26:144-154, doi:10.7226/jtfm.26.2.144.

Wirabuana, P.Y.A.P., Setiahadi, R., Sadono, R., Lukito, M. and Martono, D.S. 2021b. The influence of stand density and species diversity into timber production and carbon stock in community forest. *Indonesian Journal of Forestry Research* 8:13-22, doi:10.20886/ijfr.2021.8.1.13-22.

Wongprom, J., Poolsiri, R., DilokSumpun, S., Ngernsaengsaruay, C., Tansakul, S. and Chandaeng, W. 2022. Litterfall, litter decomposition and nutrient return of rehabilitated mining areas and natural forest in Phangnga Forestry Research Station, Southern Thailand. *Biotropia (Bogor)* 29:74-85, doi:10.11598/btb.2022.29.1.1627.

UGM Mail **Pandu Yudha Adi Putra Wirabuana <pandu.yudha.a.p@ugm.ac.id>**

[JDMLM] Acceptance Letter and Galley Proof: 1278-3293-1-SM

5 messages

JDMLM Editorial Office <editor.jdmlm@ub.ac.id> Fri, Jul 15, 2022 at 8:55 PM
To: Pandu Yudha Adi Putra Wirabuana <pandu.yudha.a.p@ugm.ac.id>

Dear Authors,

We are pleased to inform you that your revised manuscript entitled **"A comparison of soil characteristics from four land covers around a coal mining concession area in South Kalimantan"** has been **accepted** for publication in the Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management.

We are sending herewith the Acceptance Letter and the Galley Proof of your article for proofreading. The article is likely to come in Vol. 10. No. 1 (October 2022). Please kindly go through it keenly and point out any mistakes. After this stage, the authors will be responsible for any errors in the final manuscript. Kindly send the corrections (if any) back to us through this email address **before 23 July 2022**.

We would like to inform you that to maintain memberships of CrossRef-DOI, DOAJ, other international indexing services, and partnerships, starting from 1 July 2022 Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management applies APC (article processing charge) of US$ 100 for an article of no more than 12 pages (10-point font, including figures, tables, and references). Regarding this, you are kindly requested to deposit US$ 100 (IDR 1,500,000) to the following Bank account: [**Name: Eko Handayanto, Bank: BRI Malang Kawi, Account No: 005 101 140 930 500], before 23 July 2022** to process your article further. *Please notify us when you have made the payment.*

Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Sincerely yours

Achmad Riyanto
JDMLM Technical Editor
https://www.scopus.com/sourceid/21100979353
https://www.scimagojr.com/journalsearch.php?q=21100979353&tip=sid&exact=no
https://sinta.kemdikbud.go.id/journals?q=journal+of+degraded+and+mining+lands+management&search=1&sinta=1&pub=&city=&issn=

2 attachments

Acceptance Letter (NUGROHO et al).pdf
552K

1278, NUGROHO et al-GP.pdf
883K

Pandu Yudha Adi Putra Wirabuana <pandu.yudha.a.p@ugm.ac.id> Sun, Jul 24, 2022 at 1:39 PM
To: JDMLM Editorial Office <editor.jdmlm@ub.ac.id>

Dear Editor,

We apologize for not providing the prompt response because we have returned from the remote area just now.
Since July 15, we conducted a research about plant monitoring in the mining reclamation area and this activity has finished yesterday.
Therefore, would you mind giving us additional time to us for reading the galley proof and to paying our APC?

Thank you

Sincerely yours,
Pandu
[Quoted text hidden]
--
Pandu Yudha Adi Putra Wirabuana, M.Sc.
Department of Forest Management
Universitas Gadjah Mada
Indonesia

JDMLM Editorial Office <editor.jdmlm@ub.ac.id> Sun, Jul 24, 2022 at 2:43 PM
To: Pandu Yudha Adi Putra Wirabuana <pandu.yudha.a.p@ugm.ac.id>

No problem, take your time

JDMLM Editorial Office
[Quoted text hidden]

Pandu Yudha Adi Putra Wirabuana <pandu.yudha.a.p@ugm.ac.id> Tue, Aug 2, 2022 at 9:36 PM
To: JDMLM Editorial Office <editor.jdmlm@ub.ac.id>

Dear Editor,

Thank you for giving us additional time to read the galley proof.
We totally agree with the last version. We have enclosed the proof of payment for APC below.
Hopefully to submit other manuscripts again in the JDMLM.

Sincerely yours,
Pandu

BNI

Transfer Berhasil

Rp1.502.500,-

Nomor Referensi	16540236
BIZ ID	20220803BNINIDJA0100021 6540236
Bank Tujuan	BANK BRI
Nama Penerima	EKO HANDAYANTO
No. Rekening	005101140930500
Waktu Transaksi	14:29:31 WIB
Nama Pengirim	PANDU YUDHA ADI PUTRA WIRABUANA
Rekening Pengirim	0649783460
Tujuan Transaksi	
Nominal	Rp1.500.000,-
Biaya Layanan	Rp2.500,-
Berita	APC JDMLM PAPER 1278-3293-1-SM

[Quoted text hidden]

JDMLM Editorial Office <editor.jdmlm@ub.ac.id> Wed, Aug 3, 2022 at 5:02 PM
To: Pandu Yudha Adi Putra Wirabuana <pandu.yudha.a.p@ugm.ac.id>

Dear Authors,

We would like to inform you that the APC of Rp. 1.500.000 has been received by our finance officer. We will send the hard copy of Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management vol 10 no 1 (October 2022) to your postal address along with the payment receipt.

Thank you very much for the payment to support the Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management

Kindest regards

JDMLM Editorial Team

[Quoted text hidden]

Scopus Preview

Source details

Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management

Open Access

Scopus coverage years: from 2019 to Present

Publisher: Brawijaya University

ISSN: 2339-076X E-ISSN: 2502-2458

Subject area: Social Sciences: Geography, Planning and Development; Environmental Science: Nature and Landscape Conservation; Environmental Science: Pollution; Environmental Science: Management, Monitoring, Policy and Law

Source type: Journal

View all documents > | Set document alert | Save to source list | Source Homepage

CiteScore 2021
0.9

SJR 2021
0.197

SNIP 2021
0.741

CiteScore | CiteScore rank & trend | Scopus content coverage

Export content for category

CiteScore rank 2021

In category: Nature and Landscape Conser...

#139/192	Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management	0.9	27th percentile

Rank	Source title	CiteScore 2021	Percentile
#1	Nature Sustainability	30.7	99th percentile
#2	Conservation Letters	18.7	99th percentile
#3	Current Environmental Health Reports	14.8	98th percentile
#4	Landscape and Urban Planning	12.7	98th percentile
#5	Nature Reviews Earth and Environment	11.8	97th percentile
#6	Ecosystem Services	11.7	97th percentile
#7	Current Forestry Reports	11.2	96th percentile
#8	Sustainability Science	11.0	96th percentile
#9	Conservation Biology	10.5	95th percentile
#10	International Soil and Water Conservation Research	10.1	95th percentile
#11	Biological Conservation	9.9	94th percentile
#12	Remote Sensing in Ecology and Conservation	9.9	94th percentile
#13	Land Use Policy	9.9	93rd percentile
#14	Geology, Ecology, and Landscapes	8.0	92nd percentile
#15	Metabarcoding and Metagenomics	7.9	92nd percentile

CiteScore trend



☆	Rank	Source title	CiteScore 2021	Percentile
☆	#16	Journal of the Association of Environmental and Resource Economists	7.8	91st percentile
☆	#17	Ecological Engineering	7.7	91st percentile
☆	#18	Geography and Sustainability	7.4	90th percentile
☆	#19	Perspectives in Ecology and Conservation	7.2	90th percentile
☆	#20	Ecological Engineering: X	7.1	89th percentile
☆	#21	One Ecosystem	7.0	89th percentile
☆	#22	Resources	6.4	88th percentile
☆	#23	Landscape Ecology	6.3	88th percentile
☆	#24	Zoological research	6.2	87th percentile
☆	#25	Wildlife Monographs	6.2	87th percentile
☆	#26	Forest Ecology and Management	6.2	86th percentile
☆	#27	Animal Conservation	5.9	86th percentile
☆	#28	Ecosystems and People	5.8	85th percentile
☆	#29	International Journal of Tourism Research	5.7	85th percentile
☆	#30	Restoration Ecology	5.7	84th percentile
☆	#31	Biodiversity and Conservation	5.7	84th percentile
☆	#32	Forest Ecosystems	5.5	83rd percentile
☆	#33	Environment and Society: Advances in Research	5.4	83rd percentile
☆	#34	Environment and Planning B: Urban Analytics and City Science	5.2	82nd percentile
☆	#35	Conservation and Society	5.1	82nd percentile
☆	#36	Journal of Tourism Futures	5.1	81st percentile
☆	#37	Applied Vegetation Science	5.1	80th percentile
☆	#38	Geoheritage	4.7	80th percentile
☆	#39	Oryx	4.6	79th percentile
☆	#40	Nature Conservation	4.5	79th percentile
☆	#41	Global Ecology and Conservation	4.5	78th percentile
☆	#42	Journal of Mammalogy	4.5	78th percentile
☆	#43	Environmental Conservation	4.5	77th percentile
☆	#44	Endangered Species Research	4.4	77th percentile

☆	Rank	Source title	CiteScore 2021	Percentile
☆	#45	Journal of Wildlife Management	4.4	76th percentile
☆	#46	Conservation Physiology	4.4	76th percentile
☆	#47	Ecology and Evolution	4.4	75th percentile
☆	#48	Environmental Geotechnics	4.4	75th percentile
☆	#49	Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems	4.3	74th percentile
☆	#50	Bird Conservation International	4.3	74th percentile
☆	#51	Journal of Soils and Water Conservation	4.2	73rd percentile
☆	#52	Journal for Nature Conservation	4.2	73rd percentile
☆	#53	Journal of Coastal Conservation	3.9	72nd percentile
☆	#54	Anthropocene Coasts	3.9	72nd percentile
☆	#55	Rangeland Ecology and Management	3.9	71st percentile
☆	#56	Area Development and Policy	3.9	71st percentile
☆	#57	Journal of Insect Conservation	3.9	70th percentile
☆	#58	Landscape Research	3.7	70th percentile
☆	#59	Tropical Conservation Science	3.6	69th percentile
☆	#60	Frontiers in Forests and Global Change	3.6	69th percentile
☆	#61	Human Dimensions of Wildlife	3.6	68th percentile
☆	#62	Journal of Mountain Science	3.5	67th percentile
☆	#63	Forest and Society	3.5	67th percentile
☆	#64	Knowledge and Management of Aquatic Ecosystems	3.2	66th percentile
☆	#65	Land	3.2	66th percentile
☆	#66	International Zoo Yearbook	3.2	65th percentile
☆	#67	IForest	3.2	65th percentile
☆	#68	European Journal of Wildlife Research	3.1	64th percentile
☆	#69	Landscape and Ecological Engineering	3.1	64th percentile
☆	#70	Parks	3.1	63rd percentile
☆	#71	Natural Resource Management and Policy	3.0	63rd percentile
☆	#72	Fisheries	3.0	62nd percentile
☆	#73	Journal of Tourism and Cultural Change	3.0	62nd percentile

☆	Rank	Source title	CiteScore 2021	Percentile
☆	#74	Acta Oecologica	3.0	61st percentile
☆	#75	Nature Conservation Research	2.9	61st percentile
☆	#76	Diversity	2.9	60th percentile
☆	#77	Ecological Management and Restoration	2.8	60th percentile
☆	#78	Mires and Peat	2.6	59th percentile
☆	#79	International Journal of Geoheritage and Parks	2.5	59th percentile
☆	#80	European Countryside	2.5	58th percentile
☆	#81	Pacific Conservation Biology	2.5	58th percentile
☆	#82	Aquatic Mammals	2.4	57th percentile
☆	#83	Tuexenia	2.4	57th percentile
☆	#84	Emu	2.3	56th percentile
☆	#85	Journal of Landscape Ecology(Czech Republic)	2.3	55th percentile
☆	#86	Human-Wildlife Interactions	2.3	55th percentile
☆	#87	CAB Reviews: Perspectives in Agriculture, Veterinary Science, Nutrition and Natural Resources	2.3	54th percentile
☆	#88	Avian Conservation and Ecology	2.3	54th percentile
☆	#89	Wildlife Biology	2.3	53rd percentile
☆	#90	Wildlife Society Bulletin	2.2	53rd percentile
☆	#91	Subterranean Biology	2.1	52nd percentile
☆	#92	Amphibian and Reptile Conservation	2.0	52nd percentile
☆	#93	Journal of Environmental Engineering and Landscape Management	2.0	51st percentile
☆	#94	Animal Biodiversity and Conservation	2.0	51st percentile
☆	#95	Biodiversity	2.0	50th percentile
☆	#96	Ethnobiology and Conservation	2.0	50th percentile
☆	#97	Herpetological Journal	1.9	49th percentile
☆	#98	Landscape Online	1.9	49th percentile
☆	#99	Journal of Green Building	1.9	48th percentile
☆	#100	Bird Study	1.8	48th percentile
☆	#101	Annales Zoologici Fennici	1.8	47th percentile
☆	#102	Aestimum	1.8	47th percentile

☆	Rank	Source title	CiteScore 2021	Percentile
☆	#103	Conservation Science and Practice	1.8	46th percentile
☆	#104	Biodiversity Science	1.8	46th percentile
☆	#105	Journal of Design and Built Environment	1.6	45th percentile
☆	#106	Journal of Agro-Environment Science	1.6	45th percentile
☆	#107	Austrian Journal of Forest Science	1.6	44th percentile
☆	#108	Challenges in Sustainability	1.6	43rd percentile
☆	#108	Rural Landscapes	1.6	43rd percentile
☆	#110	Ethnobotany Research and Applications	1.5	42nd percentile
☆	#111	Wildfowl	1.5	42nd percentile
☆	#112	Pirineos	1.5	41st percentile
☆	#113	Natural Areas Journal	1.5	41st percentile
☆	#114	Coastal Research Library	1.4	40th percentile
☆	#115	European Journal of Environmental Sciences	1.4	40th percentile
☆	#116	Ursus	1.4	39th percentile
☆	#117	GeoScape	1.4	39th percentile
☆	#118	Journal of Fish and Wildlife Management	1.4	38th percentile
☆	#119	Conservation Evidence	1.4	38th percentile
☆	#120	Environmental and Socio-Economic Studies	1.4	37th percentile
☆	#121	Ecological Restoration	1.4	37th percentile
☆	#122	International Journal of Forestry Research	1.3	36th percentile
☆	#123	Eco.mont	1.3	36th percentile
☆	#124	Primate Conservation	1.2	35th percentile
☆	#125	Raptor Journal	1.2	35th percentile
☆	#126	Colombia Forestal	1.1	34th percentile
☆	#127	Neotropical Biology and Conservation	1.1	34th percentile
☆	#128	Agriculture and Forestry	1.1	33rd percentile
☆	#129	Acta Prataculturae Sinica	1.0	33rd percentile
☆	#130	International Journal of Conservation Science	1.0	32nd percentile
☆	#131	Natur und Landschaft	1.0	32nd percentile
☆	#132	Journal of Rangeland Science	1.0	31st percentile

☆	Rank	Source title	CiteScore 2021	Percentile
☆	#133	Journal of Digital Landscape Architecture	1.0	30th percentile
☆	#134	Journal of Environmental Protection and Ecology	1.0	30th percentile
☆	#135	Journal of New Zealand Grasslands	0.9	29th percentile
☆	#136	e-Review of Tourism Research	0.9	29th percentile
☆	#137	Progress in Geography	0.9	28th percentile
☆	#138	Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society	0.9	28th percentile
☆	#139	Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management	0.9	27th percentile
☆	#140	Journal of Sustainable Real Estate	0.8	27th percentile
☆	#141	Landscapes (United Kingdom)	0.8	26th percentile
☆	#142	Landscape History	0.8	26th percentile
☆	#143	Journal of Threatened Taxa	0.8	25th percentile
☆	#144	Journal of Park and Recreation Administration	0.8	25th percentile
☆	#145	Floresta	0.8	24th percentile
☆	#146	Acta Biologica Sibirica	0.8	24th percentile
☆	#147	Cuadernos de Turismo	0.7	23rd percentile
☆	#148	World Agriculture	0.7	23rd percentile
☆	#149	Agraarteadus	0.7	22nd percentile
☆	#149	Revista de Urbanismo	0.7	22nd percentile
☆	#151	Zpravy Lesnickeho Vyzkumu	0.7	21st percentile
☆	#152	Journal of Marine and Island Cultures	0.7	21st percentile
☆	#153	Landscape Journal	0.6	20th percentile
☆	#154	Malayan Nature Journal	0.6	20th percentile
☆	#155	Biota Colombiana	0.6	19th percentile
☆	#156	Asian Journal of Conservation Biology	0.6	19th percentile
☆	#157	Alam Cipta	0.6	18th percentile
☆	#158	Forestry Ideas	0.6	17th percentile
☆	#158	Sylvia	0.6	17th percentile
☆	#160	Russian Journal of Forest Science	0.6	16th percentile
☆	#161	Landscape Architecture and Art	0.5	16th percentile

☆	Rank	Source title	CiteScore 2021	Percentile
☆	#162	Environment and Ecology Research	0.5	15th percentile
☆	#163	Change Over Time	0.5	15th percentile
☆	#164	Sociologia Urbana e Rurale	0.4	14th percentile
☆	#165	Springer Geography	0.4	14th percentile
☆	#166	Asia-Pacific Journal of Innovation in Hospitality and Tourism	0.4	13th percentile
☆	#167	Acta Scientiarum Polonorum, Administratio Locorum	0.4	13th percentile
☆	#168	Journal of People, Plants, and Environment	0.4	12th percentile
☆	#169	ArchiDOCT	0.4	12th percentile
☆	#170	Journal of Landscape Ecology	0.4	11th percentile
☆	#171	Silva Gabreta	0.4	11th percentile
☆	#172	Ecologia Balkanica	0.4	10th percentile
☆	#172	Neilreichia	0.4	10th percentile
☆	#174	Changjiang Liuyu Ziyuan Yu Huanjing/Resources and Environment in the Yangtze Valley	0.3	9th percentile
☆	#175	Advances in Asian Human-Environmental Research	0.3	9th percentile
☆	#176	Landschap	0.3	8th percentile
☆	#177	Landscapes	0.3	8th percentile
☆	#178	Ri-Vista	0.3	7th percentile
☆	#179	Nakhara: Journal of Environmental Design and Planning	0.3	7th percentile
☆	#180	British Wildlife	0.2	6th percentile
☆	#181	Studies in the History of Gardens and Designed Landscapes	0.2	5th percentile
☆	#182	Acta Geographica Universitatis Comenianae	0.1	5th percentile
☆	#183	Atelie Geografico	0.1	4th percentile
☆	#184	Banko Janakari	0.1	4th percentile
☆	#185	Sustainable Mediterranean Construction	0.1	3rd percentile
☆	#186	Architecture and Urban Planning	0.1	3rd percentile
☆	#187	Journal of Nanjing Forestry University (Natural Sciences Edition)	0.1	2nd percentile
☆	#188	Ecology, Economy and Society	0.1	2nd percentile

☆	Rank	Source title	CiteScore 2021	Percentile
☆	#189	Revista Mexicana de Ciencias Forestales	0.1	1st percentile
☆	#190	Folia Malacologica	0.0	0th percentile
☆	#190	Ochrona Zabytkow	0.0	0th percentile
☆	#190	Staleta Praha	0.0	0th percentile

Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management

Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management

Accredited by Ministry of Education, Culture, Research and Technology of Indonesia

Accepted for inclusion in Scopus on 2 December 2019

p-ISSN : 2339-076X | e-ISSN : 2502-2458

Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management is managed by the International Research Centre for the Management of Degraded and Mining Lands (**IRC-MEDMIND**), research collaboration between Brawijaya University, Mataram University, Massey University, and Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences-China.

Papers dealing with results of original research, and critical reviews on aspects directed to the management of degraded and mining lands covering topography of a landscape, soil and water quality, biogeochemistry, ecosystem structure and function, and environmental, economic, social and health impacts are welcome. Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management is published in a single volume every year. Each volume consists of four issues, normally published in October, January, April and July.

Welcome to http://jdmlm.ub.ac.id, the online submission and editorial system of the Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management. To submit an article, go to Online Submissions. New authors (first time in this journal) intending to submit articles for publication may contact the editor for free registration. If authors have any difficulty using the online submission system, please kindly contact the editor via this email: editor.jdmlm@ub.ac.id.



Announcements

Article Processing Charge JDMLM

Starting from July 2022, Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management (JDMLM) applies a new Article Processing Charge (APC).

Posted: 2022-06-06 More...

Inclusion in Scopus

The Content Selection & Advisory Board (CSAB) has advised that Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management (JDMLM) is accepted for inclusion in Scopus starting from 2 December 2019

Posted: 2019-12-02 More...

Indexed By

Scopus
S1 sinta Science and Technology Index
DOAJ Directory of Open Access Journals
ProQuest
CABI
Google scholar
Index Copernicus International
ASEAN Citation Index
Crossref

SJR Rank

Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management
Q3 Geography, Planning and Development best quartile
SJR 2021 0.2
powered by scimagojr.com

About JDMLM

- Online Submissions
- Aim and Scope
- Editorial Board
- Publication Ethics
- Abstracting and Indexing
- Visitor Statistic

User

More Announcements...

Vol 10, No 1 (2022)

Table of Contents

Articles

Assessment of soil fertility using the soil fertility index method on several land uses in Tutur District, Pasuruan Regency of East Java
Purnomo Edi Sasongko, Purwanto Purwanto, Widyatmani Sih Dewi, Ramdan Hidayat
J. Degrade. Min. Land Manage., *Vol 10, No 1 (2022)*, pp. 3787-3794
DOI https://doi.org/10.15243/jdmlm.2022.101.3787

Abstract | References | Current | PDF | Cover Page Viewed : 34 times

Effect of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on nutrients and heavy metals uptake by Pennisetum purpureum cv Mott in phytoremediation of gold mine tailings
Bela Putra, Lili Warly, Evitayani Evitayani, Bopalion Pedi Utama
J. Degrade. Min. Land Manage., *Vol 10, No 1 (2022)*, pp. 3795-3802
DOI https://doi.org/10.15243/jdmlm.2022.101.3795

Abstract | References | Current | PDF | Cover Page Viewed : 26 times

Improvement of post-nickel mining soil fertility with biochar and calcite
Muhammad Jayadi, Kadar Wahid, Risma Neswati, Andri Andriansyah
J. Degrade. Min. Land Manage., *Vol 10, No 1 (2022)*, pp. 3803-3808
DOI https://doi.org/10.15243/jdmlm.2022.101.3803

Abstract | References | Current | PDF | Cover Page Viewed : 19 times

Analysis of physical dimensions in tsunami disaster resilience in Tanjung Lesung Special Economic Zone, Indonesia
Yoanna Ristya, Hayati Sari Hasibuan, Rissalwan Habdy Lubis
J. Degrade. Min. Land Manage., *Vol 10, No 1 (2022)*, pp. 3809-3819
DOI https://doi.org/10.15243/jdmlm.2022.101.3809

Abstract | References | Current | PDF | Cover Page Viewed : 18 times

Disposal slope design based on low-plasticity rock's shear strength in coal mining activities
Harjuni Hasan, Revia Oktaviani, Tommy Trides, Dionisyus Fransiskus Sinaga
J. Degrade. Min. Land Manage., *Vol 10, No 1 (2022)*, pp. 3821-3827
DOI https://doi.org/10.15243/jdmlm.2022.101.3821

Abstract | References | Current | PDF | Cover Page Viewed : 28 times

Screening and identification of fungi isolated from batik wastewaters for decolorization of Remazol Black B dye and batik effluent
Yasinta Swastika Ayu, Rina Sri Kasiamdari
J. Degrade. Min. Land Manage., *Vol 10, No 1 (2022)*, pp. 3829-3839
DOI https://doi.org/10.15243/jdmlm.2022.101.3829

Abstract | References | Current | PDF | Cover Page Viewed : 18 times

Evaluation and improvement of rice field quality in Seririt District, Buleleng Regency, Bali Province, Indonesia
Made Sri Sumarniasih, Michael Haganta Ginting, Ida Bagus Putu Bhayunagiri
J. Degrade. Min. Land Manage., *Vol 10, No 1 (2022)*, pp. 3841-3848
DOI https://doi.org/10.15243/jdmlm.2022.101.3841

Abstract | References | Current | PDF | Cover Page Viewed : 20 times

Characteristics and factors affecting surface and shallow landslides in West Java, Indonesia
Yulia Amirul Fata, Hendrayanto Hendrayanto, Budi Kuncahyo, Erizal Erizal, Suria Darma Tarigan
J. Degrade. Min. Land Manage., *Vol 10, No 1 (2022)*, pp. 3849-3859
DOI https://doi.org/10.15243/jdmlm.2022.101.3849

Abstract | References | Current | PDF | Cover Page Viewed : 33 times

Analysis of shoreline changes along the coastal area of Biak Island (Biak Numfor Regency, Indonesia) using multitemporal Landsat images
Basa T Rumahorbo, Maklon Warpur, Baigo Hamuna, Rosye H.R. Tanjung
J. Degrade. Min. Land Manage., *Vol 10, No 1 (2022)*, pp. 3861-3870
DOI https://doi.org/10.15243/jdmlm.2022.101.3861

Abstract | References | Current | PDF | Cover Page Viewed : 22 times

Land use change and baseflow recession modelling in Wuryantoro Watershed, Wonogiri Regency, Central Java Province, Indonesia
Bokiraiya Latuamury, Mersiana Sahureka, Wilma Nancy Imlabla, Miranda H Hadijah, John F Sahusilawane, Husain Marasabessy, Moda Talaohu
J. Degrade. Min. Land Manage., *Vol 10, No 1 (2022)*, pp. 3871-3882

DOI https://doi.org/10.15243/jdmlm.2022.101.3871

Abstract | References | Current | PDF | Cover Page Viewed : 40 times

A comparison of soil characteristics from four land covers around a coal mining concession area in South Kalimantan
Yusanto Nugroho, Suyanto Suyanto, Gusti Syeransyah Rudy, Supandi Supandi, Yudha Hardiyanto Eka Saputra, Syamsu Alam, Jeriels Matatula, Pandu Yudha Adi Putra Wirabuana
J. Degrade. Min. Land Manage., *Vol 10, No 1 (2022)*, pp. 3883-3888
DOI https://doi.org/10.15243/jdmlm.2022.101.3883

Abstract | References | Current | PDF | Cover Page Viewed : 31 times

Declined peat heterotrophic respiration as consequences from zeolite amendment simulation: coupling descriptive and predictive modelling approaches
Heru Bagus Pulunggono, Nabila Hanifah, Desi Nadalia, Moh Zulfajrin, Lina Lathifah Nurazizah, Husni Mubarok, Nizam Tambusai, Syaiful Anwar, Supiandi Sabiham
J. Degrade. Min. Land Manage., *Vol 10, No 1 (2022)*, pp. 3889-3904
DOI https://doi.org/10.15243/jdmlm.2022.101.3889

Abstract | References | Current | PDF | Cover Page Viewed : 38 times

The impact of soil and rock mining on freshwater provisioning services in Peniraman Village, Mempawah Regency, West Kalimantan
Boy Rangga, Aji Ali Akbar, Henny Herawati
J. Degrade. Min. Land Manage., *Vol 10, No 1 (2022)*, pp. 3905-3919
DOI https://doi.org/10.15243/jdmlm.2022.101.3905

Abstract | References | Current | PDF | Cover Page Viewed : 20 times

Ecosystem-based management of riparian forest resources: a five-year participatory forest management plan for Volta River in Nandom Municipality, Ghana
Kenneth Peprah, Raymond Aabeyir, Paul Kwame Nkegbe
J. Degrade. Min. Land Manage., *Vol 10, No 1 (2022)*, pp. 3921-3932
DOI https://doi.org/10.15243/jdmlm.2022.101.3921

Abstract | References | Current | PDF | Cover Page Viewed : 23 times

The conversion of monoculture sugarcane to a tree-based agroforestry system increases total carbon sequestration and soil macrofauna population
Cahyo Prayogo, Novi Arfarita
J. Degrade. Min. Land Manage., *Vol 10, No 1 (2022)*, pp. 3933-3944
DOI https://doi.org/10.15243/jdmlm.2022.101.3933

Abstract | References | Current | PDF | Cover Page Viewed : 19 times

Macronutrients (NPK) balance in rice field and dryland maize cropping systems
Sugeng Winarso, Rendy Anggriawan, IGM Subiksa, Rakhmaghfiroh Geonina Ganestri, Salsabila Regina Intansari, Dedik Budianta
J. Degrade. Min. Land Manage., *Vol 10, No 1 (2022)*, pp. 3945-3951
DOI https://doi.org/10.15243/jdmlm.2022.101.3945

Abstract | References | Current | PDF | Cover Page Viewed : 40 times

Removal of chromium from chromium-contaminated soil and physiological response of shallot (Allium ascalonicum L.) on treatments of biochar and mycorrhizae
Okti Herliana, Yugi R Ahadiyat, Wilis Cahyani, A H Syaeful Anwar
J. Degrade. Min. Land Manage., *Vol 10, No 1 (2022)*, pp. 3953-3960
DOI https://doi.org/10.15243/jdmlm.2022.101.3953

Abstract | References | Current | PDF | Cover Page Viewed : 38 times

Assessment of groundwater leakage source using hydrochemical data and isotopes in the Pandanduri dam tunnel, Lombok Island, Indonesia
Heru Hendrayana, Doni Prakasa Eka Putra, Hendy Setiawan, I Gde Budi Indrawan, Wawan Budianta, Wahyu Wilopo
J. Degrade. Min. Land Manage., *Vol 10, No 1 (2022)*, pp. 3961-3970
DOI https://doi.org/10.15243/jdmlm.2022.101.3961

Abstract | References | Current | PDF | Cover Page Viewed : 30 times

Land management and crop cover effect on soil erosion in the humid lowlands of Beles River Sub-Basin, North-Western Ethiopia
Getnet Asfawesen Molla, Gizaw Desta
J. Degrade. Min. Land Manage., *Vol 10, No 1 (2022)*, pp. 3971-3979
DOI https://doi.org/10.15243/jdmlm.2022.101.3971

Abstract | References | Current | PDF | Cover Page Viewed : 28 times

Minimizing river pollution by batik dye wastewater using palm oil fuel ash (POFA) as an environmentally friendly, low-cost adsorbent alternative
Ahmad Riduan, Rainiyati Rainiyati, Sarah Fiebrina Heraningsih, Badariah Badariah
J. Degrade. Min. Land Manage., *Vol 10, No 1 (2022)*, pp. 3981-3989
DOI https://doi.org/10.15243/jdmlm.2022.101.3981

Abstract | References | Current | PDF | Cover Page Viewed : 36 times

Vegetation dynamics of Sangkub watershed in North Sulawesi Province indicated by NDVI of Landsat data
Veybi Djoharam, Widiatmaka Widiatmaka, Marimin Marimin, Dyah Retno Panuju, Suria Darma Tarigan
J. Degrade. Min. Land Manage., *Vol 10, No 1 (2022)*, pp. 3991-4000
DOI https://doi.org/10.15243/jdmlm.2022.101.3991

Abstract | References | Current | PDF | Cover Page **Viewed :** 23 times

The potential use of humic acid-coated biochar for reducing Pb and Cu in the soil to improve plant growth
Amir Hamzah, Rossyda Priyadarshini, Astuti Astuti
J. Degrade. Min. Land Manage., *Vol 10, No 1 (2022)*, pp. 4001-4009
DOI https://doi.org/10.15243/jdmlm.2022.101.4001

Abstract | References | Current | PDF | Cover Page **Viewed :** 33 times

Response of selected chemical properties of extremely acidic soils on the application of limes, rice husk biochar and zeolite
Gina Aliya Sopha, Catur Hermanto, Huub Kerckhoffs, Julian A Heyes, James Hanly
J. Degrade. Min. Land Manage., *Vol 10, No 1 (2022)*, pp. 4011-4017
DOI https://doi.org/10.15243/jdmlm.2022.101.4011

Abstract | References | Current | PDF | Cover Page **Viewed :** 37 times

Assessing the effects of water flow patterns on dam construction in degraded tropical peatlands
Adi Jaya, Franssico H.R.H. Baru, Alderina Rosalia Nahan, Salampak Dohong
J. Degrade. Min. Land Manage., *Vol 10, No 1 (2022)*, pp. 4019-4033
DOI https://doi.org/10.15243/jdmlm.2022.101.4019

Abstract | References | Current | PDF | Cover Page **Viewed :** 36 times

Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management
Universitas Brawijaya Journal - © 2022
p-ISSN : 2339-076X | e-ISSN : 2502-2458
DOI : 10.15243/jdmlm
Powered by Open Journal System 2.4.7.1

Official UB BITS UB Mail UB Prasetya UB

Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management

ABOUT LOGIN REGISTER SEARCH CURRENT ARCHIVES ANNOUNCEMENTS SITE MAP CONTACT

Home > About the Journal > Editorial Team

Editorial Team

Indexed By

Scopus

S1 sinta Science and Technology Index

DOAJ DIRECTORY OF OPEN ACCESS JOURNALS

ProQuest Start here.

CABI

Google scholar

INDEX COPERNICUS INTERNATIONAL

ASEAN CITATION INDEX

Crossref

SJR Rank

Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management

Q3 Geography, Planning and Development best quartile

SJR 2021 0.2

powered by scimagojr.com

About JDMLM

Online Submissions

Aim and Scope

Editorial Board

Publication Ethics

Abstracting and Indexing

Visitor Statistic

User

Username

Password

Remember me

Login

Author Guidelines

Author Guidelines

Visitor Statistic

Information

- For Readers
- For Authors
- For Librarians

Keywords

Ethiopia GIS Indonesia acid soil agroforestry ameliorant biochar bioremediation heavy metals land degradation land suitability land use maize mercury mining organic fertilizer organic matter phosphorus phytoremediation soil quality soybean

Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management
Universitas Brawijaya Journal - © 2022
p-ISSN : 2339-076X | e-ISSN : 2502-2458
DOI : 10.15243/jdmlm
Powered by Open Journal System 2.4.7.1