

Behavior of Modified Castellated Beam with Addition of Angle Profile for Building Structures on Soft Soil, Especially in South Kalimantan

by Ahmad Saiful Haqqi

Submission date: 27-Jun-2023 02:37PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 2123374291

File name: ding_Structures_on_Soft_Soil,_Especially_in_South_Kalimantan.pdf (2.25M)

Word count: 3481

Character count: 18223

Behavior of Modified Castellated Beam with Addition of Angle Profile for Building Structures on Soft Soil, Especially in South Kalimantan

Ida Barkiah¹, Arya Rizki Darmawan², Yuslena Sari³

^{1,2} Department of Civil Engineering, Universitas Lambung Mangkurat, Banjarmasin, Indonesia

³ Department of Information Technology, Universitas Lambung Mangkurat, Banjarmasin, Indonesia

³Corresponding Author: yuzlena@ulm.ac.id

Received:

Revised:

Accepted:

Published: (Size9)

Abstract - To increase the capacity of WF profile, it can be modified by changing its geometry into a castellated beam. Castellated beams have several variations of opening shapes. In 2020, the author obtained the bending behavior of hexagonal opening castellated beam, which resulted in optimum bending capacity at a hexagonal opening angle of 45°. In this study, the difference in bending strength produced by the hexagonal opening castellated beam and modified opening shape will be investigated. ANSYS numeric testing was conducted to determine the behavior of the castellated beam. The test model used in this study was a modified opening castellated beam with several variations of shapes (M1 to M5). Based on the results of the ANSYS numeric testing, the optimum castellated beam from the modified opening shape was found to be a diagonal Warren type opening (M2) with $P_{yield}=110.8kN$ and $P_{ultimate}=170.5kN$. The bending capacity of the modified opening shape castellated beam was then compared to the hexagonal opening castellated beam. The modified opening shape produced better results than the hexagonal opening shape. The hexagonal opening castellated beam had $P_{yield}=61.7kN$ and $P_{ultimate}=95kN$. The deformation experienced by the modified opening shape castellated beam was smaller than the hexagonal opening shape, indicating that the modified opening shape had a greater moment of inertia than the hexagonal opening shape.

Keywords - Castellated modification, Flexural beam, Steel structure, Materials, ANSYS.

1. Introduction

In steel structures, WF profiles are commonly used as beam elements. However, WF profiles have limited capacity for supporting loads over certain long spans. If a beam element needs to support a heavy load, a larger WF profile is required, which leads to increased weight and cost of the structure. Therefore, efforts are needed to enhance the load-carrying capacity of WF beams [1]–[5]. One approach to improve their capacity is by modifying the geometry of the structure. In conventional WF steel beams, the geometry can be modified by creating a castellated beam. A castellated beam is created by cutting a WF profile into two pieces with various cutting patterns and then rejoining them into a single unified beam element to support loads [6]–[11]. The height of the beam will increase, resulting in an increase in the moment of inertia of the beam [12], [13]. Castellated beams aim to increase the bending capacity of the beam element. In addition to enhancing the bending capacity, castellated beams can also produce lightweight structures. With the increased bending capacity, the resulting structure will be lighter than a conventional WF beam [14]–[20].

In 2020, the author conducted a study on the flexural capacity comparison between conventional WF beams and hexagonal opening Castellated beams. The study involved empirical and numeric ANSYS analytical testing with hexagonal opening angles of 0° (conventional WF beam) [21], 20°, 30°, 45°, 50°, and 60°. The study found an increase in the flexural capacity with the addition of castellated beam geometry with hexagonal openings [22]–[24]. The larger the opening angle, the greater the increase in flexural capacity, but the optimum opening angle occurred at 45°-50° degrees.

Based on the previous research results, the author wants to compare the flexural capacity between hexagonal castellated beams and a modification with the addition of angle profiles. In this stage, the development is carried out by cutting the conventional WF beam into two parts and adding L angle profiles to connect the two parts to form a complete beam in carrying the load. Then, the development of the beam is compared with the castellated beam that was studied in the previous stage to obtain the most efficient geometry.



2. Method

2.1. Material Properties

The method used in this research is numerical testing using ANSYS software. The material used for ANSYS modeling in this research is steel sheet material from Malang City, which has been previously studied by Arya in 2017 in the research titled "Behavior of Corrugated Web Plate Girder".




Fig. 1 Stress-strain curve of the steel material used in the study

Tabel 1 Material Properties

Properties	Value	Units
Yield strength, f_y	240	Mpa
Ultimate Strength, f_u	370	Mpa
Modulus Elastic, E_s	200000	Mpa
Yield strain, e_y	0.0012	
Plateau strain, $e_{sh} = 6 * e_y$	0.0072	
Ultimate strain, e_{su}	0.05	

The material will be inputted into the ANSYS software using the Structural Steel Nonlinear material data with a multilinear isotropic system. The selection of the nonlinear material data is intended to achieve the fracture phase in the ANSYS numeric running results, so as to reflect the actual behavior of the structure [25]–[28]. Then, with the multilinear system, the stress-strain relationship points shown in Figure 1 can be inputted into ANSYS. hexagonal opening Castellated beam with an optimum angle of 45 degrees as shown in Figure 2.





Fig. 2 Castellated beam model with 45 degree opening angle [29]

In this study, a modified Castellated beam will be created from a WF 150x75x5x7 steel profile with a span of 2000mm, with the following geometric variations:

Tabel 2 List of Specimens in the Study

No	Model	The Total Profile Height (mm)	The L-shaped profile	The position of the angle profile	model volume (mm ³)
1	M1	300	2L 25 25 5	Vertikal	4512700
2	M2	300	2L 25 25 5	Diagonal Warren	4622294.155
3	M3	300	2L 25 25 5	Diagonal Howe	4622294.155
4	M4	300	2L 25 25 5	Diagonal Pratt	4622294.155
5	M5	300	2L 25 25 5	Diagonal Bowstring	4622294.155



2.2. The Test Setup

This research was conducted through computer numerical testing using ANSYS. The test object is a beam with a span length of 2000mm, supported on two simple supports, namely a hinge and a roller. The load given is in the form of two-point loads at the center of the span with a distance of 200mm between the load points. The load is gradually applied to the beam until it reaches failure. To measure deflection, a nodal deformation direction is given on the bottom side of the beam precisely in the middle of the span. And for measuring the value of bending strain, nodal strain is given on the top flens side, middle web side, and bottom flens side in the middle of the span.




Fig. 3 Illustration of Test Setup

3. Result

3.1. The Flexural Capacity of Castellated Beam with 45° Hexagonal Opening

In 2020, the author conducted research on the bending behavior of castellated beams with hexagonal openings. In the study, both manual mathematical analytical and numerical analytical tests were performed on several models with varying hexagonal opening angles. The opening angle

variations included 0 degrees (conventional beam), 20, 30, 45, 50, and 60 degrees. Among the various angles tested, the optimal opening angle was found to be 45 degrees, with a yield load (P_y) of 61.7 kN and an ultimate load (P_u) capacity of 95 kN




Fig. 4 Testing setup for hexagonal castellated beam




Fig. 5 Deformation shape of castellated beam with 450 Hexagonal opening angle




Fig. 6 Load-deformation curve of Castellated beam with 450 hexagonal opening angle

The Castellated beam with a 450 hexagonal opening angle obtained the highest bending capacity compared to several other opening angle variations. It can be said that by converting the conventional WF beam into a Castellated beam with a 450 hexagonal opening angle, it can provide an increase in bending capacity. The increase in moment of inertia of the hexagonal opening Castellated beam makes the bending capacity higher than that of the conventional WF beam. According to Hayder Wafi, the Castellated beam can increase ultimate strength by about 50% compared to the original conventional profile. Based on these results, the Castellated beam with a 450 hexagonal opening angle will

be compared to the modified Castellated beam in terms of bending capacity.

3.2. Bending Capacity of Modified Castellated Beam

To obtain a comparison of the flexural capacity between the hexagonal castellated beam and modified castellated beam, a similar testing setup was conducted with the same beam span and load position for the hexagonal castellated beam. There were 5 variations of modified models tested, and the deformation contour for each model is shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Deformation Pattern of Modified Castellated Beam





Fig. 7. Load-deflection curve of modified Castellated Beam model

In this study, the ultimate load capacity achieved by each model was determined. The variation in these models was only in the placement direction of the angle bars, with uniform steel volume. The most optimal variation was Model M2 with diagonal Warren angle bars, as the angle bars can serve as both tension and compression members. Meanwhile, the least optimal was Model M4, where the

angle bars were placed in a state of tension only, causing no bracing member to support the load at the load-bearing point. This weakness caused Model M4 to have the lowest load capacity and the largest deflection at the load-bearing point, where there was no plate or angle bar support

3.3. Comparison of Flexural Capacity between Hexagonal Castellated Beam and Modified Versions

To obtain the most optimum opening geometry for castellated beam in terms of increased flexural capacity, a comparison was made between the results of castellated beam with 45° hexagonal opening angle and modified castellated beam M2. The comparison results can be seen in the following figure.




Fig. 8. The load-deflection curve comparison between the hexagonal Castellated beam and the modified Castellated beam model M2

Table 4. Comparison of Capacity/Volume Ratio

	Yield Load (Py), kN	Ultimate Load (Pu), kN	Volume (mm ³)	Ratio (Capacity/Volume)	Note: Ratio to Hexagonal Opening
Castellated Hexagonal Opening 45 deg	61.7	95	3590200	1.00	
Castellated Modification M2	110.8	170.5	4622294	1.39	

The bending capacity value of the modified Castellated beam is able to provide $P_y=110\text{kN}$ and $P_u=170\text{kN}$, while the bending capacity that can be provided by the hexagonal Castellated beam is only $P_y=61.7\text{kN}$ and $P_u=95\text{kN}$. Although the modified Castellated beam requires more steel volume, it can provide a much higher capacity than the hexagonal Castellated beam. Therefore, if the ratio of capacity/volume is considered, the modified Castellated beam is far superior. Thus, if the modified Castellated beam is designed to have the same capacity as the hexagonal Castellated beam, it only requires a lighter weight

6

4. Conclusion

Based on the results of the testing and discussion, several conclusions can be drawn:

1. Based on the test results and discussions, it can be concluded that the Castellated Beam with modification has the most optimum bending capacity compared to the hexagonal opening. The

bending capacity of the modified Castellated Beam can reach $P_y=110\text{kN}$ and $P_u=170\text{kN}$, while the bending capacity of the Castellated Beam with hexagonal opening only reaches $P_y=61.7\text{kN}$ and $P_u=95\text{kN}$. Although the modified Castellated Beam requires more volume of steel, it can provide much higher capacity than the hexagonal opening. Therefore, based on the ratio of capacity to volume, the modified Castellated Beam is more superior. Thus, if the modified Castellated Beam is designed to have the same capacity as the hexagonal opening, it will only require a lighter weight.

2. The most optimal variation is the M2 model with diagonal Warren angle section. This is because the angle section can serve as both tension and compression members. Meanwhile, the least optimal variation is the M4 model, where the angle section is placed in a state of tension only, so there is no supporting member at the point where the load is applied. This causes the M4 model to be the weakest in carrying loads, with the weakest condition being at the point where the load is not supported by the plate or the section (the largest deflection occurs at the load point).

References

- [1] P. Doung and E. Sasaki, "Load-deformation characteristics and performance of internal diaphragm connections to box columns," *Thin-Walled Struct.*, vol. 143, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.tws.2019.106221.
- [2] N. Miki, S. Kishiki, S. Yamada, T. Hasegawa, and Y. Jiao, "Evaluation of seismic performance of steel frame consisted of wf beam and RHS column with low joint efficiency in beam web," *J. Struct. Constr. Eng.*, vol. 82, no. 737, 2017, doi: 10.3130/aajs.82.1113.
- [3] R. A. Herrera, T. Muhumud, J. M. Ricles, and R. Sause, "Modeling of composite MRFs with CFT columns and WF beams," *Steel Compos. Struct.*, vol. 43, no. 3, 2022, doi: 10.12989/scs.2022.43.3.327.
- [4] Y. Yu, Z. Chen, and X. Wang, "Effect of column flange flexibility on WF-beam to rectangular CFT column connections," *J. Constr. Steel Res.*, vol. 106, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.jcsr.2014.12.008.
- [5] P. Doung, S. Leelataviwat, and E. Sasaki, "Tensile strength and failure mechanism of internal diaphragms in wide flange beam-to-box column connections with concrete filling," *J. Build. Eng.*, vol. 34, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.jobe.2020.102037.
- [6] S. Shrestha, S. R. Lee, and D. Y. Choi, "A new fractal-based miniaturized dual band patch antenna for RF energy harvesting," *Int. J. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 2014, 2014, doi: 10.1155/2014/805052.
- [7] S. M. Kozlov, F. Viñes, and A. Görling, "Bandgap engineering of graphene by physisorbed adsorbates," *Adv. Mater.*, vol. 23, no. 22–23, 2011, doi: 10.1002/adma.201100171.
- [8] C.-W. Cheng et al., "Angle-independent plasmonic infrared band-stop reflective filter based on the Ag/SiO₂/Ag T-shaped array," *Opt. Lett.*, vol. 36, no. 8, 2011, doi: 10.1364/ol.36.001440.
- [9] D. B. Djarot, F. Gapsari, O. B. Lobo, and F. M. Simanjuntak, "Stress corrosion cracking threshold for dissimilar capacitive discharge welding joint with varied surface geometry," *Appl. Sci.*, vol. 10, no. 6, 2020, doi: 10.3390/app10062180.
- [10] R. M. Pavón et al., "New Use of BIM-Origami-Based Techniques for Energy Optimisation of Buildings," *Appl. Sci.*, vol. 12, no. 3, 2022, doi: 10.3390/app12031496.
- [11] S. K. K. Raju and P. S. Onkar, "Lattice_Karak: Lattice structure generator for tissue engineering, lightweighting and heat exchanger applications [Formula presented]," *Softw. Impacts*, vol. 14, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.simpa.2022.100425.
- [12] H. W. Al-Thabhawee and M. A. A. Al-Kannoon, "Experimental study of the behaviour and failure modes of tapered castellated steel beams," *Open Eng.*, vol. 12, no. 1, 2022, doi: 10.1515/eng-2022-0028.
- [13] N. Rana and S. Rana, "Structural Forms Systems for Tall Building Structures," *Int. J. Civ. Eng.*, vol. 1, no. 4, 2014, doi: 10.14445/23488352/ijce-v1i4p106.
- [14] H. Du, X. Hu, D. Shi, and W. Xue, "Flexural Performance of Composite Beams Using High-Strength Steel and High-Strength Concrete," *Int. J. Steel Struct.*, vol. 22, no. 1, 2022, doi: 10.1007/s13296-021-00558-y.
- [15] K. P. Garikapati and P. Sadeghian, "Mechanical behavior of flax-lime concrete blocks made of waste flax shives and lime binder reinforced with jute fabric," *J. Build. Eng.*, vol. 29, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.jobe.2020.101187.
- [16] Y. Yu, Z. Hang, W. Zhao, and X. Zhao, "Fire-Resistance Mechanism and Residual Bearing Capacity of Prestressed Concrete Beams after Fire Exposure," *J. Struct. Eng.*, vol. 147, no. 8, 2021, doi: 10.1061/(asce)st.1943-541x.0003070.
- [17] N. Moshiri et al., "Flexural strengthening of RC slabs with nonprestressed and prestressed CFRP strips using EBROG method," *Compos. Part B Eng.*, vol. 201, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.compositesb.2020.108359.
- [18] A. W. Al Zand, M. F. Alghaeb, M. C. Liejy, A. A. Mutalib, and R. Al-Ameri, "Stiffening Performance of Cold-Formed C-Section Beam Filled with Lightweight-Recycled Concrete Mixture," *Materials (Basel)*, vol. 15, no. 9, 2022, doi: 10.3390/ma15092982.
- [19] H. Suprpto, S. Tudjono, and R. M. R. Susilorini, "Study of the role of CFRP shear on increased bending capacity of reinforced concrete beams," in *Engineering, Information and Agricultural Technology in the Global Digital Revolution*, 2020, doi: 10.1201/9780429322235-28.
- [20] Y. J. Song, S. Y. Baek, S. H. Yu, D. H. Kim, and S. Il Hong, "Evaluation of the Bending Performance of Glued CLT-Concrete Composite Floors Based on the CFRP Reinforcement Ratio," *BioResources*, vol. 17, no. 2, 2022, doi: 10.15376/biores.17.2.2243-2258.
- [21] M. S. D. Mahmud, "On the plastic moment-shear interaction curves of steel sections under fire," *J. Struct. Fire Eng.*, vol. 7, no. 2, 2016, doi: 10.1108/JSFE-06-2016-008.
- [22] A. H. Khan and R. A. Chaudhuri, "Fan-beam geometry based inversion algorithm in computed tomography (CT) for imaging of composite materials," *Compos. Struct.*, vol. 110, no. 1, 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.compstruct.2013.11.019.
- [23] S. Safdar, N. Li, M. A. Sheikh, and Z. Liu, "The effect of nonconventional laser beam geometries on stress distribution and distortions in laser bending of tubes," *J. Manuf. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 129, no. 3, 2007, doi: 10.1115/1.2716715.
- [24] S. Nisar, M. A. Sheikh, L. Li, A. J. Pinkerton, and S. Safdar, "The effect of laser beam geometry on cut path deviation in diode laser chip-free cutting of glass," *J. Manuf. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 132, no. 1, 2010, doi: 10.1115/1.4000695.
- [25] N. Andriya, V. Dutta, and V. V. Vani, "Study on 3D printed auxetic structure-based non-pneumatic tyres (NPT'S)," *Mater. Manuf. Process.*, vol. 37, no. 11, 2022, doi: 10.1080/10426914.2022.2039692.
- [26] A. J. Mehetre and R. S. Talikoti, "Prediction of ultimate load carrying capacity of castellated beams by experimental and analytical investigation," *Int. J. Struct. Eng.*, vol. 11, no. 2, 2021, doi: 10.1504/IJSTRUCTE.2021.114259.
- [27] D. S. Mintonoro, A. Budhiyanto, F. Elsiana, F. D. Suprianto, and Sutrisno, "Harvesting renewable energies through innovative kinetic honeycomb architectural facades: the mathematical & CFD modeling for wind turbine design optimization," *J. Asian Archit. Build. Eng.*, vol. 21, no. 6, 2022, doi: 10.1080/13467581.2021.2007102.
- [28] A. M. Alshoaibi and Y. Ali Fageehi, "3D modelling of fatigue crack growth and life predictions using ANSYS," *Ain Shams Eng. J.*, vol. 13, no. 4, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.asej.2021.11.005.
- [29] I. Barkiah and A. R. Darmawan, "Comparison Behavior Of Flexural Capacity Castellated Beam Of Hexagonal Opening With Circle Opening," *Int. J. Civ. Eng. Technol.*, vol. 12, no. 8, 2021, doi: 10.34218/ijciet.12.8.2021.003.



Acceptance Letter No.: 2023/ETT/JUN/119

Date: 21/06/2023

Official Acceptance of Research Paper

To:

Dr. Ir. Yuslena Sari, S.Kom., M.Kom.

Lecturer and Head of Department of Information Technology,
Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Lambung Mangkurat (ULM)
Gedung Fakultas Teknik ULM, Jl. Brig. Hasan Basry Kayutangi
Banjarmasin 70123 Kalsel, Indonesia

Mobile: +62 85247175500. Telp/fax: +62 (511) 4773858/4773868

Dear Yuslena Sari,

Your article entitled “**Behavior of Modified Castellated Beam with Addition of Angle Profile for Building Structures on Soft Soil, Especially in South Kalimantan**” has been accepted for publication in SSRG International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology – **SSRG-IJETT, Volume 71 Issue 07** (July 2023) issue, based on the recommendation of the Editorial Board and a group of expert reviewers.

This letter is the official confirmation of acceptance of your research paper. And Your research work will be licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 (International) License. (CC BY-NC 4.0).

With Regards and Thanks,



Executive Editor

SSRG International Journals

Gmail interface showing an email thread about a manuscript submission to SSRG-IJETT. The thread includes several messages from Yulsena Sari and SSRG-IJETT regarding the submission process, payment details, and the final publication status.

Message 1 (Yulsena Sari): Dear Editor's IJETT, we are writing to submit our manuscript entitled, "Behavior of Modified Castellated Beam with Addition of Angle Profile for Building Struct

Message 2 (SSRG IJETT): Dear Author, Your submission has been received, and the article id is ETT23JUN119. We evaluate all manuscript submissions as expeditiously as possible and appreciate your patience throughout the double-blind peer-review process (Approximately 50 Working days). [If you wish to opt for a comparatively low APC and in fast-track mode of this article, please visit our SSRG-IJETT -Our recent Scopus-indexed journals, accepted by CSAJ of Scopus in March 2023. Link: https://www.internationaljournal.org/IJETT_index.html]. Thanking You and Best Regards, Editorial Team-IJETT.

Message 3 (SSRG IJETT): Dear Sir/Ma'am, We are delighted to inform you that your manuscript "ID: ETT23JUN119, Title: Behavior of Modified Castellated Beam with Addition of Angle Profile for Building Structures on Soft Soil, Especially in South Kalimantan", has been "Accepted for Publication" in the SSRG-International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology (IJETT)" ISSN: 2231-5381. Please take a look at the attached reviewer comments for additional details about necessary revisions.

Message 4 (SSRG IJETT): Dear Sir/Ma'am, We are delighted to inform you that your manuscript "ID: ETT23JUN119, Title: Behavior of Modified Castellated Beam with Addition of Angle Profile for Building Structures on Soft Soil, Especially in South Kalimantan", has been "Accepted for Publication" in the SSRG-International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology (IJETT)" ISSN: 2231-5381. Please take a look at the attached reviewer comments for additional details about necessary revisions.

Registration Process

Article Processing Charges: 5975/597€
Exceeding Author Charges: 05/0€

Note: Charges for additional authors (i.e., more than 4 authors) will be an extra 300/30€ per head, and no additional authors will be allowed after acceptance. Kindly try to pay the Article Processing Charges within 4 working days.

Authors can use any one of the following payment options:

- You can use your debit card/credit card to pay the publication fee through PayPal from our website, Payment Gateway: <http://www.ijettjournal.org/mode-of-payment>
- Account Details for Bank / Wire Transfer

Account Holder Name:	Sureshkan R
Address of A.C Holder:	Gie Puri Plus Apartment, Muthia's Nagar, Muthaasalanaiu, Tiruchy, 620101.
Account Number:	30100120640677
Bank Name:	HDFC Bank
Branch Address:	11th cross, Thillai Nagar Main Road, Tiruchy-620018, Tamilnada, India
IFSC Code:	HDFC0000558
Swift Code:	HDFCINBB

 (If there is any problem while making the payment, don't hesitate to contact us with any questions. We will assist you)

Final Documents to reach us:

- Revised Final Paper for publication as per our updated IJETT template. (Link of our Paper Template and Copyright form: https://ijettjournal.org/for_authors/downloads)
- Scanned copy of your bank payment receipt (write your name on top of the receipt); if done by online payment, send us the transaction id, date, account holder name, and account number.
- Signed Copyright form for your article. You can either place a digital signature in the Word file or take a printout, fill in the entries, and scan and send it to us.

Note: To avoid a last-minute rush, Submit the final paper, copyright form, and payment proof as soon as possible to get an early publication.

Thanks again for your association with SSRG-IJETT, and we hope to see more of your work in the future.

Best Regards,
Editorial Support Team
<http://ijettjournal.org/>

Message 5 (Yulsena Sari): Dear editor, Below I attach proof of payment and revised version of our manuscript I hope to get the Letter of Acceptance soon for administrative purposes in ou

Message 6 (SSRG IJETT): Dear Sir/Ma'am, Your payment has been cleared. The author's revised manuscript has been received. Your manuscript is moved to publishing, and our production editor will keep you informed of your article's progress in the production process. If we need more clarification, you may also get a galley proof of your manuscript for final publication. Meanwhile, please send us the copyright form and images separately in a high-resolution format for final publication. We're excited to move forward with your revised submission. Please feel free to email us with any questions. Regards, Production Team SSRG-IJETT.

Message 7 (YUSLENA SARI): Dear Editor, We hope to get the Letter of Acceptance soon for administrative purposes in our Department Best regards, Sent from my iPhone On 24 Jun 2023, at 17.

Message 8 (SSRG IJETT): PFA.



↩ Reply ↪ Forward

1 deleted message in this conversation. [View message](#) or [delete forever](#).

Behavior of Modified Castellated Beam with Addition of Angle Profile for Building Structures on Soft Soil, Especially in South Kalimantan

ORIGINALITY REPORT

13%

SIMILARITY INDEX

7%

INTERNET SOURCES

3%

PUBLICATIONS

11%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	Submitted to Universitas Merdeka Malang Student Paper	5%
2	scopedatabase.com Internet Source	2%
3	Submitted to Middle East College of Information Technology Student Paper	2%
4	ijettjournal.org Internet Source	1%
5	123dok.org Internet Source	1%
6	Submitted to UIN Sultan Syarif Kasim Riau Student Paper	1%
7	"Recent Trends in Civil Engineering", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2021 Publication	1%

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude matches < 1%

Exclude bibliography On