# Torrefaction of palm kernel shell using COMB method and its physicochemical properties

by Karelius, M Dirgantara, N Rumbang, K G Suastika, A R M Akbar

**Submission date:** 26-Jun-2023 07:52PM (UTC+0700)

**Submission ID: 2122939379** 

File name: Torrefaction\_of\_palm\_kernel\_shell\_using\_COMB\_method\_and\_its.pdf (909.35K)

Word count: 3878

Character count: 19655

#### **PAPER · OPEN ACCESS**

#### Torrefaction of palm kernel shell using COMB method and its physicochemical properties

To cite this article: Karelius et al 2020 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1422 012005

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

#### You may also like

- Effect of combustion and nitrogen gas atmospheres on the torrefaction performance of oil palm frond leaves and stems
  Premchand, Masaharu Komiyama, Aqsha Aqsha et al.
- Effect of Torrefaction Pretreatment on Chemical Structure and Pyrolysis Behaviors of Cellulose Anqing Zheng, Luwei Li, Zengli Zhao et al.
- Torrefaction of biomass macroalga Ulva

intestinalis using TGA M F M Mokhtar and N F Munajat



**1422** (2020) 012005 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1422/1/012005

# Torrefaction of palm kernel shell using COMB method and its physicochemical properties

#### Karelius<sup>1\*</sup>, M Dirgantara<sup>2</sup>, N Rumbang<sup>3</sup>, K G Suastika<sup>2</sup>, A R M Akbar<sup>4</sup>

- <sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Palangka Raya, Indonesia
- <sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, University of Palangka Raya, Indonesia
- <sup>3</sup>Department of Agriculture Cultivation, University of Palangka Raya, Indonesia
- <sup>4</sup>Study Program of Agricultural Industry Technology, Lambung Mangkurat University, Indonesia

Abstract. Torrefaction is a pre-treatment in thermochemical processes in order to make biomass becomes fuel with better pt 23 rties, such as the increasing of carbon content and caloric value. Torrefaction is generally carried out at a temperature range of 200-300°C with holding temperature of 30-60 minutes in inert conditions and atmospheric pressure. On the other side, palm oil is one of biomass that is potential to be used as fuel in which its availability is abundant and has good physical properties. The purpose of this study in increase the caloric value and thermochemical properties by the refaction process. Within this study of palm kernel shell, torrefaction is carried out from 0.5 cm sieve using the COMB (Counter Flow Multi-Buffle) method at temperature of 250 °C with heat flow rate of 4 cm³/minute and characterized by its physicochemical properties. The obtained results are the energy yield of 0.77 with an energy density of 1.21 and the caloric value that increases from 16.82 to 19.11 MJ 5 his is also supported by the results of ultimate analysis where the carbon level increases then the O/C and H/C ratio decreases. Moreover, based on proximate analysis, volatiles matter level decreases by 9.34% and ash content increases by 0.64%. To sum up, palm kernel shells can be enhanced by their physicochemical characteristics through torrefaction.

#### 1. Introduction

Lignocellulosic biomass is one of the most photocal photo

The thermochemical process of torrefaction is actually an incomplete pyrolysis process also known as mild pyrolysis, which is another widely applied and studied technology to increase the energy density of biomass and reduce the transportation and storage cost of biomass [5], [6]. Torrefaction occurs when

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd

<sup>\*</sup>karelius@chem.upr.ac.id

1422 (2020) 012005 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1422/1/012005

thermal heat is carefully applied to biomass under controlled thermodynamic conditions causing chemical, physical and mechanical changes to the biomass [7]. The temperature range required is between 200 and 300 °C and t process should occur under inert conditions, at low heating rates and low residence time[3], [8], [9]. Torrefied biomass retains most of its chemical energy and can be grinded easily in comparison to the raw biomass. In addition, torrefied biomass has increased uniformity with respect to product quality in terms of 12 ysical and chemical properties [9], [10]. One of the latest 12 refaction methods developed by the Korea Institute of Energy Research (KIER) is biomass faction using counter flow multi-baffle (COMB) technology which curren a last exists in Indonesia precisely at Lampung University. This technology has the advantage of low gas to solid ratio (G/S), short residence time (~ 5 min), constant difference (driving force) temperature along the column, simple, flexible & movable [11].

Currently, torrefaction process uses a batch method which takes 30-60 minutes, in this study COMB method will be used which has the advantage of a short torrefaction time of less than 5 minutes. The use of palm kernel shells into fuel through a torrefaction process to improve physicochemical properties can be a solution in maximizing biomass potential. In this research, the palm kernel shell shelling process was carried out with a size that passed the 0.5 cm sieve using the COMB method at a temperature of 250 °C and a flowrate of 4 cm<sup>3</sup> / minute. Shells before and after torrefaction then analyzed the efficiency process, calorie, ultimate and proximate parameters to determine their ability as fuel.

#### 2. Method

### 3 2.1. Raw Material Preparation and Torrefaction

The raw material of palm kernel shell is obtained from palm oil production, sieved with a size of 0.5 cm and then dried in the sun to a moisture content below 9% so the fungus can not be grown and stored in an airtight bag to look for airborne levels remain stable [3], [12].

The torrefaction process was carried out at the Integrated Agriculture Laboratory, Tropical Biomass Research, and Development Center, Lampung University. Previous research has shown that at a temperature of 300 °C there is a high increase in calories in biomass; there will be a large mass loss for the process. At a temperature of 200 °C, the increase in calorific value is not significant, the temperature of 250 °C becomes the optimum temperature for the biomass faction process such as pine wood and corn cobs [13]. The torref2 tion process carried out in this study using palm shell biomass with particle size passed 0.5 cm sieve, at a temperature of 250 °C with a heat flow rate of 4 cm³ / minute.

#### 2.2. Analysis Proximate and Ultimite

Proximate analysis to determine ash content, volatile matter, and moisture content in this study was tested by using the gravimetric method with saccessive standards EN 14775: 2009, ASTM D 5142-02 and EN 14774-2: 2009. The ultimate test was done using the TruSpec CHN tool from Leco Inc. based on ASTM D 5373-16 standards (to determine the composition of carbon, hydrogen, and nitrogen). The proximate and ultimate analysis was carried out on samples before treatment and after the torrefaction process. [12], [14]

#### 2.3. High heating value (HHV) Analysis

Measurements of calorific values was carried out to determine *Higher Heating Value* (HHV). These measurements were carried out by following the EN 14918: 2009 procedure using 6400 Automatic Isoperibol Calorimeters from Paar Instrument Company [14], [15]. The measured sample is sample before treatment and after the torrefaction process.

#### 2.4. Parameter Efficiency Process

The four parameters that are very important to be used to determine the success of the torrefaction process are weight loss, mass yield, energy yield, and energy density. Focusing on the torrefaction process is to get a high HHV value and low mass loss due to this process [3], [12], [15].

1. Weight loss is calculated using the following equation

1422 (2020) 012005 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1422/1/012005

Weight loss (WH) = 
$$\frac{\text{Mi-Mt}}{\text{Mi}}$$
 (1)

Where  $M_{i}$  is mass before torrefaction and  $M_{t}$  is mass after torrefaction process

2. Mass yield is calculated using the following equation

$$Mass Yield (MY) = \frac{Mt}{Mi} x 100\%$$
 (2)

3. Energy yield is calculated using the following equation

Energy Yield (EY) = 
$$MYx \frac{CVt}{CVp}$$
 (3)

Where CV<sub>t</sub> dan CV<sub>p</sub> is the calorific value of shell after and before the torrefaction process.

4. Energy density is calculated using the following equations

DensitasEnergi(DE)=
$$\frac{EY}{MY}$$
 (4)

#### 3. Results and Discussions

#### 3.1. Torrefaction experiment

The torrefaction process was carried out at the Integrated Agriculture Laboratory, Tropical Biomass Research, and Development Center, Lampung University. The series of torrefaction devices is shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Torrefaction Equipments [11]

The results before and after the palm shell torrefaction with particle size passed 0.5 cm sieve, at a temperature of 250 °C with a hot air flow rate of 4 cm<sup>3</sup> / minute as shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. (A) control shell; (B) Torrefaction shell; (C) Palm fiber [16]

One indicator of the success of the torrefaction process is the change in the physical appearance of biomass. In this case, the palm kernel shell. Figure 2 (B), showing different physical appearance colors on the palm shell after torrefaction becomes darker than the control shell (Figure 2 (A)). This is due to the carbonization process and the reduction of water content during torrefaction resulting in the color of the shell becoming darker [15]. In addition to the carbonization process, it turns out the torrefaction process with the working principle COMB can also separate fibers/litter from the palm shell (Figure 2 (C)) so that it no longer requires mechanical/manual separation.

**1422** (2020) 012005

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1422/1/012005

#### 3.2. Ultimate analysis

One of the parameters tes 22 for the control shell and the torrefaction shell is the ultimate test. The ultimate test carried out is the determination of carbon content, hydrogen content, nitrogen, and oxygen levels. The test results can be seen in Table 1.

Table 1. Ultimate Analysis Results

Sample	Carbon (%)	Hydrogen (%)	Nitrogen (%)	Oxygen (%)
Control Shell	36.69	3.74	0.42	47.40
Torrefied Shell	46.73	4.37	0.39	43.51

Table 1 shows that the torrefaction process causes changes in the levels of carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen, and oxygen. The actual torrefaction process is a combustion process in a state of minimal oxygen [3], [17], it is hoped that not all shells that are extracted change into CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O vapors. The combustion process under these conditions at a temperature of 200-300 °C causes carbonization events (increased carbon content) [18], although it still produces CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O due to the availability of oxygen even in small amounts.

The results of the analysis showed an increase in carbon and hydrogen levels, as well as a decrease in the levels of nitrogen and oxygen in torrefaction plant kernel shells. Hydrogen and oxygen as one of the main components of biomass are one of the most lost components during the torrefaction process. Oxygen is the main component of the composition of volatile compounds which in the range 200 - 300 °C produces more phenol. While hydrogen is the main component of constituent liquid smoke (condensate) from the torrefaction process. Reduced levels of hydrogen and oxygen result in lower levels of volatiles and increase the ratio of carbon to hydrogen and oxygen [19]. The O/C ratio is very influential on the calorific value of a material, where the reduction of the O/C ratio results in an increase in calorific value [16]. Fuel with a high O/C ratio that has relatively high chemical ene [21] will reduce gasification efficiency because with a high O/C ratio there is excessive oxidation so that the torrefaction process can reduce the O/C ratio. This happens because the torrefaction process occurs carbonization which causes the percentage of carbon and hydrogen content to increase, and the oxygen content decreases due to the combustion process so that through the torrefaction process it is very important to increase the calorific value of palm kernel shells.

#### 3.3. Proximate analysis

The results of the analysis of the calorific value of each control shell and torrefaction shell are shown in Table 2.

**Tabel 2.** The results of Calorific and Proximate Value Analysis

Tabel 2: The results of Calorine and Frommate Value Finalysis			
Sample	Moisture	Ash levels	VM
	(%)	(%)	(%)
Control Shell	11.75	7,73	74,15
Torrefaction Shell	5	8.37	64,81

Based on the results of the proximate analysis, the torrefaction process can reduce the moisture of the palm shell by up to 5%. This shows that with torrefaction carried out at a tem 20 ature of 250 °C with a heat flow rate of 4 cm<sup>3</sup> / minute, it can reduce moisture significantly. Moisture is one of the important factors for solid fuel, where high water content can cause a lot of energy loss in the combustion process due to the heat needed for the release of water from biomass [20]. Low moisture from the torrefaction process is expected to reduce the possibility of fermentation which can cause an increase in shell temperature, and reduce the risk of shell fire during storage in a long time.

The decrease in moisture and volatile levels is caused by the increasing amount of water and volatile components that evaporate, where volatile substances formed are polymers of hemicellulose which are degraded during the torrefaction process [18], [21]. Ash is the residual inorganic solid formed when biomass burns completely with its main composition, namely silica, aluminum, iron, calcium, and a

1422 (2020) 012005 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1422/1/012005

small amount of magnesium, titanium, and potassium [20], [22]. Small ash levels play a very important role in the thermal conversion of biomass, especially when biomass contains potassium or halides such as chlorine. These compounds can cause corrosion in the biomass processing gasification unit [22]. The ash content in palm shell torrefaction products increased after the torrefaction process. The increase in ash content in torrefaction products is due to the mass reduction that occurs during the torrefaction process is not accompanied by the degradation of the inorganic forming components.

#### 3.4. High heating value (HHV)

HHV is the most important characteristic in terms of energy, especially in the combustion process to be able to produce energy that is compatible with other materials such as coal. HHV value is obtained in two ways, namely, direct analysis using 6400 Automatic Isoperibol Calorimeters with cal/gram unit which is then converted to MJ/Kg, and through comparison with calculation using carbon (C), hydrogen and oxygen (O) content using the equation Chang Dong and Azevedo [23] as presented in Table 3.

$$\overline{HHV(MJ/Kg)} = -1.3675 + 0.3137C + 0.7009H + 0.0318O$$
 (5)

Where: C = percentage of carbon in biomass as determined by ultimate analysis; H = percentage of hydrogen in biomass as determined by ultimate analysis; O = percentage of oxygen determined by difference on the dry and ash-free basis, i.e. O (db, ash-free) = 100-C-H-N.

Table 3. Data HHV

Sample	Calorimeter (MJ/Kg)	Calculation (Sheng and Azevedo) MJ/Kg
Control Shell	16.82	14.27
Torrefaction Shell	20.27	19.11

Based on the results of the measurements and calculations using the ultimate data, it was shown that the palm shell torrefaction had a higher HHV value than the palm shell without torrefaction. This can occur because of an increase in the amount of carbon content in palm kernel shells that have undergone torrefaction and the elimination of some chemical compounds in palm kernel shells that have the potential to cause sm(3), especially mild volatile during the process of torrefaction such as water, acetic acid, and phenol[16]. During the torrefaction process, there is a the many decomposition of hemicellulose, cellulose, and lignin into volatile substances causing decrease of O/C and H/C levels, thereby increasing the carbon content of palm kernel shells [12],[13],[24],[25]. Decomposed compounds have low energy including H<sub>2</sub>O, CO, and CO<sub>2</sub> and leave the polymer which has a higher C-C bond with energy. As a result, this is what causes an increase in the value of HHV palm kernel shells produced by torrefaction.

#### 3.5. Weight loss, mass yield, energy yield, and energy density

There are several parameters that affect the quality of a bio as to be used as fuel, mainly related to its potential to be produced on a large scale, namely mass lost, mass yield, energy yield and energy density calculated using equation (1), (2), (3) and (4). The following result of calculating the efficiency parameters of the process.

Tabel 4. Results of mass yield calculation, energy yield, and energy density.

<b>P</b> arameter	The calculation results
Weight loss	35%
Mass yield	64.71%
Energy yield	0.78
Energy density	1.21

The weight loss due to the torrefaction process was 35%, while the mass yield was 64.71%. Mass yield is a comparison between the mass before, and after torrefaction, an increase in torrefaction temperature caused decrease in mass yield, there are significant differences in the temperature range of 250-300 °C [13], [15]. The decrease in weight and mass yield was due to evaporation of water still

1422 (2020) 012005 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1422/1/012005

contained in the palm shell and the degradation of hemicellulose and some cellulose and lignin which produce volatile compounds such as organic acids and phenol [7], and some of the shells that burn into CO<sub>2</sub> due to conditions reactor that is not entirely inert.

Energy yield refers to the percentage of initial energy remaining after the torrefaction process [13]. From the calculation obtained an energy yield of 0.78. Generally, an increase in energy yield happens when the temperature of the reaction is increased to 250 °C. At this temperature, the loss mass is lower than 50% because at this temperature the process that occurs is mostly vaporization of water and hemicellulose degradation followed by an increase in caloric value. When the temperature is increased to 300 °C or more, it caused a decreased in energy yield due to a considerable decrease in mass of biomass because at this temperature thermal degradation has occurred not only in hemicellulose but also in lignin and cellulose [15], [25].

The decomposition of hemicellulose and cellulose leaves biochar with more carbon density [26]. Energy density is the energy stored in the biomass, this density also shows the length of flame time after combustion [25]. From the calculation, the value of the density of the palm kernel shells which extracted [3] 1.21, which means the amount of energy that can be stored in palm kernel shell is more than before torrefaction. The increase in energy density is because the composition of the palm kernel shell has a high lignin content, where biomass that is good for the torrefaction process has a high lignin content [26]. Energy density depends on energy yield while energy yield is dependent on HHV, with an increase in the temperature of torrefaction are associated with release of more aromatic compounds and increase in carbon content. This increases the degree of correlation and change of biomass structure into hydrophobic and brittle biochar [13], [15].

#### 4. Conclusions

Torrefaction palm kernel shells using the COMB method at a temperature of 250 °C and 4 cm³/minute flowrate reduces moisture to a level of 5%, dereases volatile matter, increases bulk density, and increases ash content. Torrefaction also reduced O/C and H/C levels, which caused the HHV value of palm kernel shells from before and after torrefaction of 16.82 MJ/kg and 20.27 MJ/kg. The mass yield produced is 64.71%, Energy yield 0.78 with an energy density of 1.21. To sum up, palm kernel shells can be enhanced by their physicochemical characteristics through torrefaction with COMB method as a fuel.



#### 5. Acknowledgments

The authors want to give thanks to Ministry of Research, Technology, and Higher Education of the Republic of Indonesia for supporting this research.

#### References

- [1] Barta-Rajnaia E, Wangb L, Sebestyéna Z, Bartad Z, Khalilb R, Skreibergb Ø, Grønlic M, Jakaba E and Czégénya Z 2017 Energy Procedia 105 551
- [2] BPS 2019 "Badan Pusat Statistik," [Online] [Accessed: 05-Mar-2019]
- [3] Thaim T and Rasid R A 2016 Aust. J. Basic Appl. Sci. 10 114
- [4] Saelor S, Kongjan P and O-Thong S 2017 Energy Procedia 138 717
- [5] Chen C, Qin S, Chen F, Lu Z and Cheng Z 2019 J. Energy Inst. 92 364
- [6] Haryati S, Rahmatullah and Putri R W 2018 IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng. 334
- [7] Mafu L D, Neomagus H W J P, Everson R C, Carrier M, Strydom C A and Bunt J R 2016 Bioresour. Technol. 202 192
- [8] Bach Q V, Skreiberg Ø and Lee C J 2017 Energi 138 348
- [9] Zhang C, Wang C, Cao G, Chen W H and Ho S H 2019 Fue 246 375
- [10] Chen Z, Wang M, Ren Y, Jiang E, Jiang Y and Li W 2018 IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci. 113
- [11] Hidayat W, Hasanudin U, Iryani D A, Amrul A, Lee S, and Kim S 2018 Annual International Symposium of Institute of Forest Science (KNUIFS 2018) South Korea
- [12] Faizal H M, Shamsuddin H S, Heiree M H M, Hanaffi M F M A, Rahman M R A, Rahman M M and Latiff Z A 2018 Renew. Energi 122 419
- [13] Pahla G, Mamvura T A, Ntuli F and Muzenda E 2017 South Afr. J. Chem. Eng. 24 168

1422 (2020) 012005 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1422/1/012005

- [14] Sukarta I N and P. Sri Ayuni 2016 J. Sains Dan Teknol., vol. 5, Aug. 2016.
- [15] Mamvura T A, Pahla G, and Muzenda E 2018 South Afr. J. Chem. Eng. 25 1
- [16] Suastika K G, Karelius K, Dirgantara M, and Rumbang N 2019 Risal. Fis. 3 47
- [17] Yemura Y, Sellappah V, Trinh T H, Komiyama M, Hassan S and Tanoue K 2018 IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng. 458
- [18] Samad N A F A, Jamin N A, and Saleh S 2017 Energy Procedia 138 313
- [19] Chen Y C, Chen W H, Lin B J, Chang J S and Ong H C 2017 Energy Procedia 105 108
- [20] Susanty W, Helwani Z and Zulfansyah 2016 J. Online Mhs. Fak. Tek. Univ. Riau 3 1
- [21] Wang L, Barta-Rajnai E, Skreiberg Ø, Khalil R, Czégény Z, Jakab E and Grønli M 2017 Energy Procedia 105 1149
- [22] Jagodzińska K, Gądek W, Pronobis M and Kalisz S 2019 IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci. 214
- [23] Elneel R, Anwar S and Ariwahjoedi B 2013 J. Appl. Sci. 13 491
- [24] Tumuluru J S, Sokhansanj S, Wright C T, Hess J R and Boardman R D 2011 Symp. Thermochem. Convers. 1
- [25] Alamsyah R, Siregar N C and Hasanah F 2017 IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci. 65
- [26] Pahla G, Ntuli F and Muzenda E 2018 Waste Manag., vol. 71 512

## Torrefaction of palm kernel shell using COMB method and its physicochemical properties

ORIGINA	ALITY REPORT			
1 SIMILA	9% 13% ARITY INDEX INTERNET SOURCE	12% s publications	5% STUDENT PA	.PERS
PRIMAR	Y SOURCES			
1	scitepress.org Internet Source			4%
2	conference.unila.ac.ic	d		2%
3	NATTARAT CHUTWIB THUCHAYAPONG, NA "EFFECT OF TORREFA PROPERTIES OF PALM USING TORREFACTIO WITPRESS LTD., 2021 Publication	TTAWUT THARA CTION PROCESS  KERNEL SHELL	S ON BY	2%
4	T.A. Mamvura, G. Pah "Torrefaction of waste in energy production African Journal of Che Publication	e biomass for ap in South Africa",	, South	2%
5	lib.dr.iastate.edu Internet Source			2%
	Submitted to Univers	iti Teknologi MA	RΔ	1

Submitted to Universiti Teknologi MARA

7	Xingxing Cheng, Zhi Huang, Zhiqiang Wang, Chunyuan Ma, Shouyan Chen. "A novel on- site wheat straw pretreatment method: enclosed torrefaction", Bioresource Technology, 2019 Publication	1%
8	repository.lppm.unila.ac.id Internet Source	1 %
9	Daya Nhuchhen, Prabir Basu, Bishnu Acharya. "A Comprehensive Review on Biomass Torrefaction", International Journal of Renewable Energy & Biofuels, 2014 Publication	1%
10	Submitted to School of Business and Management ITB Student Paper	1 %
11	eprints.whiterose.ac.uk Internet Source	<1%
12	Yogi Sulistio, Indra Gumay Febryano, Jiho Yoo, Sangdo Kim, Sihyun Lee, Udin Hasanudin, Wahyu Hidayat. "Effects of Torefaction with Counter-Flow Multi Baffle (COMB) Reactor and Electric Furnace on the Properties of Jabon (Anthocephalus cadamba) Pellets", Jurnal Sylva Lestari, 2020 Publication	<1%

van der Stelt, M.J.C.. "Biomass upgrading by <1% 13 torrefaction for the production of biofuels: A review", Biomass and Bioenergy, 201110 Publication "Effect of Torrefaction Parameters on <1% 14 Proximate and Ultimate Composition and Heating value of Lodgepole Pine", 2014 ASABE Annual International Meeting, 2014. Publication profdoc.um.ac.ir <1% Internet Source M. Simonic, D. Goricanec, D. Urbancl. "Impact 16 of torrefaction on biomass properties depending on temperature and operation time", Science of The Total Environment, 2020 Publication Mohd Faizal Hasan, Bemgba Bevan Nyakuma, <1% 17 Mohd Rosdzimin Abdul Rahman, Norazila Othman, Norhayati Ahmad, Mohd Farid Muhamad Said. "Torrefaction of Palm Kernel Shell and Petcoke Blends for Various Mixing Ratios and Temperatures", Annales de Chimie - Science des Matériaux, 2021 Publication Sze Yin Cheng, Xuefei Tan, Pau Loke Show, K. <1%

Rambabu et al. "Incorporating biowaste into

circular bioeconomy: A critical review of

18

# current trend and scaling up feasibility", Environmental Technology & Innovation, 2020

Publication

Wanignon Ferdinand Fassinou, Laurent Van de Steene, Siaka Toure, Eric Martin. "What correlation is appropriate to evaluate biodiesels and vegetable oils higher heating value (HHV)?", Fuel, 2011
Publication

<1%

acikbilim.yok.gov.tr

<1%

core.ac.uk

<1%

repository.nwu.ac.za

<1%

I.A.W. Tan, N.M. Shafee, M.O. Abdullah, L.L.P. Lim. "Synthesis and characterization of biocoal from Cymbopogon citrates residue using microwave-induced torrefaction", Environmental Technology & Innovation, 2017

<1%

Publication