Coference Name:	6th International Conference on Education and Technology (ICET 2020)
Manuscript Number:	LearnNoorhapizah20202998
Title of the Manuscript:	The Exploration Study of Teachers' Knowledge and Ability on Application of Critical Thinking and Creative Thinking Skills on Learning Process in Elementary School
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that NQ manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

 $Copyright \ @\ 2020\ The\ Authors.\ Published\ by\ Atlantis\ Press\ SARL.$ This is an open access article distributed under the CC BY-NC 4.0 license - http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

Checked by: ME Approved by CEO Created by EA Version 1.6 (10-04-2018)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 ✓ Vocabulary errors, as in: (1) Phonemic correspondence from every language is different, it should be "Phonemic correspondence of every language is different."; (2) It was the reason to analyse the phonemic correspondence in Barus Pasar and Barus Kampung Mudik., it should be "It was the reason to analyse the phonemic correspondence in Barus Pasar and Barus Kampung Mudik."; (3) The techniques of data collection were observation and questioner., it should be "The techniques of data collection were observation and questionnaire."; (4) There were four respondents with the criteria: sex: male/female, age: more than 70 years old, healthy inside and outside, live in Sibolga coast, able to speak Indonesian [7]., it should be "There were four respondents with the criteria sex: male/female, aged more than 70 years old, healthy both physically and mentally, living in Sibolga Coast, ✓ You have to pay more attention to how to cite reference. Error is found, as in: (1) Riris Tiani, "Balinese and Sumbawa Language Correspondence," pp. 118–127 (year?). 	
	 Please, write the meaning of each word in the table, instead of its gloss. Please, give further explanation about each local language mentioned in that journal article and their relation to other languages classified as Austronesian languages. 	

INCOME VIOLITY 1.0		
Minor REVISION comments	 ✓ Grammar errors, as in: (1) The study investigate the phonemic correspondence in Barus Pasar and Barus Kampung Mudik located in Sibolga coast, north sumatera., it should be "The study investigates the phonemic correspondence in Barus Pasar and Barus Kampung Mudik located in Sibolga Coast, North Sumatera." - (investigates as the subject is singular noun); (2) Four respondents aparticipated in this study with age more than 70 years old, live in sibolga, and able to speak Indonesian., it should be "Four respondents participated in this study with age more than 70 years old, live in Sibolga and is able to speak Indonesian." - (verb is should be added to complete the phrase); (3) thus Kui has a high level of correspondence to Hamap language than Kamang language [6][6], it should be the next sentence, as "Thus, Kui has a high level of correspondence to Hamap language [6][6]."; 	

	being able to speak Indonesian [7].";	
	(5) It was found that there were sound correspondence between Barus Pasar language	
	and Barus Kampung Mudik language namely: Phonemic correspondences in a~o, u~o,	
	i~e, i~a, i~o, b~ ø, and ø~h., it should be "It was found that there were sound	
	correspondences between Barus Pasar language and Barus Kampung Mudik	
	language, namely phonemic correspondences in a~o, u~o, i~e, i~a, i~o, b~ ø, and	
	o~h.";	
	(6) Them appearance of a~o were around 26 times, it should be "The appearance of	
	correspondence a~o was around 26 times.";	
	(7) It showed that the 26 words in Barus pasar language had correspondence with Barus	
	kampung mudik language., it should be "It showed that 26 words in Barus pasar	
	language had correspondence with Barus kampung mudik language.";	
	(8) The couple of <i>Phonemics</i> u~o in the data were shown 11 times, it should be "The	
	couple of <i>phonemic</i> u~o in the data <i>emerged</i> 11 times";	
	(9) The Phonemic Coresspodence /i-e/ was found 4 times, it should be "The	
	Phonemic Correspondence fi~e/ was found 4 times";	
	(10) Phonemic Coresspodence /i~a/ appeared in the data twice,, it should be	
	"Phonemic Correspondence /i~a/ appeared in the data twice,";	
	(11) Phonemic Coresspodence /b~ ø/ was found once in the data, it should be	
	"Phonemic Correspondence /b~ ø/ was found once in the data";	
	(12) The Phonemic Coresspodence /ø~h/ appeared 4 times, it should be "The	
	Phonemic Correspondence (e-h/ appeared 4 times";	
	(13) it was found that between Barus Pasar and Barus Kampung Mudik has phonemic	
	correspondence in vocal and consonant., it should be " it was found that between	
	Barus Pasar and Barus Kampung Mudik has phonemic correspondence, both vocal	
	and consonant";	
	(14) Vocal 'a,u and i' in Barus Pasar was reflected to vocal 'o' in Barus kampung mudik,	
	while vocal T in Barus Pasar was reflected to vocal "e and a" in Barus Kampung mudik.	
	The reflection of consonant b in Barus pasar was to 'e' in Barus Kampung Mudik	
	and 'e' in Barus Pasar to 'h" in Barus Kampung mudik., it should be "Vocal 'a,u and	
	I' in Barus Pasar corresponded to vocal 'o' in Barus Kampung Mudik, while vocal 'I' in	
	Barus Pasar had phonemic connection to vocal 'e and a" in Barus Kampung Mudik.	
	The relation of consonant b in Barus pasar and 'ø' in Barus Kampung Mudik was	
	found, however, phoneme 'ø' in Barus Pasar linked with 'h" in Barus Kampung	
	Mudik.".	
ontional/General comments	NA. 30 610016 SACRES 200190 370 800 AND	
	It is strongly recommended to improve your English, especially in Sciences and Linguistics	
	term.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Handoko Priatna
Department, University & Country	University of Netherland

Review Form 1.6

Coference Name:	6th International Conference on Education and Technology (ICET 2020)	
Manuscript Number:	LeamNoorhapizah20202998	
Title of the Manuscript:	The Exploration Study of Teachers' Knowledge and Ability on Application of Critical Thinking and Creative Thinking Skills on Learning Process in Elementary School	
Type of the Article	Original Research Article	

General quideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that NQ manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of <u>lack of Novelty</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

Copyright © 2020 The Authors, Published by Atlantis Press SARL.

This is an open access article distributed under the CC BY-NC 4.0 license -http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The Conclusion is rather short: a brief expansion would be welcome, especially with a viewto emphasizing the main steps of the demonstration.	*
Minor REVISION comments	The Discussion is rather short: a brief expansion would be welcome, especially with a viewto emphasizing the main steps of the demonstration.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hazchitry Emminuel
Department, University & Country	University of Craiova, Romania

Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Created by EA Version 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Venion: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Editor's Comment:

Accepted.

Editor's Details:

ICET 2020 Committee UM 2020