

[Review Form 1.2](#)



Cypriot Journal of Educational Sciences



Volume 15, Issue 1, (2020) 1672-1684

Journal Name:	Cypriot Journal of Educational Sciences
Manuscript Number:	45667580
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigating the role of governmental scholarships, technical training and R&D in the provision of better-quality education in Asia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of **lack of Novelty**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

Copyright © 2020 The Authors. Published by Atlantis Press SARL.  
This is an open access article distributed under the CC BY-NC 4.0 license - <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

[Review Form 1.2](#)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p>	<p>✓ <b>Vocabulary errors, as in:</b></p> <p>(1) Phonemic correspondence <b>from</b> every language is different., <b>it should be</b> "Phonemic correspondence <b>of</b> every language is different.";</p> <p>(2) It was the reason to analyse the <b>phonemic</b> correspondence in Barus Pasar and Barus Kampung Mudik., <b>it should be</b> "It was the reason to analyse the <b>phonemic</b> correspondence in Barus Pasar and Barus Kampung Mudik.";</p> <p>(3) The techniques of data collection were observation and <b>questioner</b>., <b>it should be</b> "The techniques of data collection were observation and <b>questionnaire</b>.";</p> <p>(4) There were four respondents with the criteria: sex: male/female., <b>age:</b> more than 70 years old, healthy <b>inside and outside</b>, <b>live</b> in Sibolga <b>coast</b>, <b>able</b> to speak Indonesian [7]. <b>it should be</b> "There were four respondents with the criteria sex: male/female, <b>aged</b> more than 70 years old, healthy <b>both physically and mentally</b>, <b>living</b> in Sibolga <b>Coast</b>."</p> <p>✓ <b>You have to pay more attention to how to cite reference. Error is found, as in:</b></p> <p>(1) Riris Tiani, "Balinese and Sumbawa Language Correspondence," pp. 118–127. - (year?).</p> <p>✓ <b>Please, write the meaning of each word in the table, instead of its gloss.</b></p> <p>✓ <b>Please, give further explanation about each local language mentioned in that journal article and their relation to other languages classified as Austronesian languages.</b></p>	

**Review Form 1.2**

<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p>	<p>✓ <b>Grammar errors, as in:</b></p> <p>(1) The study <i>investigate</i> the phonemic correspondence in Barus Pasar and Barus Kampung Mudik located in Sibolga coast, north sumatera., it <b>should be</b> "The study <i>investigates</i> the phonemic correspondence in Barus Pasar and Barus Kampung Mudik located in Sibolga Coast, North Sumatera." - (<i>investigates as the subject is singular noun</i>);</p> <p>(2) Four respondents aaparticipated in this study with age more than 70 years old, live in sibolga, and <i>able</i> to speak Indonesian., it <b>should be</b> "Four respondents participated in this study with age more than 70 years old, live in Sibolga and is able to speak Indonesian." - (<i>verb is should be added to complete the phrase</i>);</p> <p>(3) ... <i>thus</i> Kui has a high level of correspondence to Hamap language than Kamang language [6][6]., it <b>should be the next sentence, as</b> "<i>Thus</i>, Kui has a high level of correspondence to Hamap language than Kamang language [6][6].";</p>	
---------------------------------------	--	--

Review Form 1.2

	<p><i>being able to speak Indonesian [7].</i>;</p> <p>(5) It was found that there were sound <b>correspondence</b> between Barus Pasar language and Barus Kampung Mudik language <b>namely: Phonemic</b> correspondences in a~o, u~o, i~e, i~a, i~o, b~ø, and ø~h., <b>it should be</b> "It was found that there were sound <b>correspondences</b> between Barus Pasar language and Barus Kampung Mudik language, <b>namely phonemic</b> correspondences in a~o, u~o, i~e, i~a, i~o, b~ ø, and ø~h.";</p> <p>(6) <b>The</b> appearance of a~o <b>were</b> around 26 times., <b>it should be</b> "The appearance of correspondence a~o <b>was</b> around 26 times.";</p> <p>(7) It showed that <b>the 26 words</b> in Barus pasar language had correspondence with Barus kampung mudik language., <b>it should be</b> "It showed that <b>26 words</b> in Barus pasar language had correspondence with Barus kampung mudik language.";</p> <p>(8) The couple of <b>Phonemics</b> u~o in the data <b>were shown</b> 11 times ..., <b>it should be</b> "The couple of <b>phonemic</b> u~o in the data <b>emerged</b> 11 times ...";</p> <p>(9) The Phonemic <b>Coresspondence</b> /i~e/ was found 4 times..., <b>it should be</b> "The Phonemic <b>Correspondence</b> /i~e/ was found 4 times...";</p> <p>(10) Phonemic <b>Coresspondence</b> /i~a/ appeared in the data twice..., <b>it should be</b> "Phonemic <b>Correspondence</b> /i~a/ appeared in the data twice...";</p> <p>(11) Phonemic <b>Coresspondence</b> /b~ ø/ was found once in the data..., <b>it should be</b> "Phonemic <b>Correspondence</b> /b~ ø/ was found once in the data...";</p> <p>(12) The Phonemic <b>Coresspondence</b> /ø~h/ appeared 4 times..., <b>it should be</b> "The Phonemic <b>Correspondence</b> /ø~h/ appeared 4 times...";</p> <p>(13) ... it was found that between Barus Pasar and Barus Kampung Mudik has phonemic correspondence <b>in vocal and consonant</b>., <b>it should be</b> "... it was found that between Barus Pasar and Barus Kampung Mudik has phonemic correspondence, <b>both vocal and consonant</b>.";</p> <p>(14) Vocal 'a,u and i' in Barus Pasar <b>was reflected to</b> vocal 'o' in Barus kampung mudik, while vocal 't' in Barus Pasar <b>was reflected to</b> vocal 'e and a' in Barus Kampung mudik. <b>The reflection of consonant b in Barus pasar was to 'ø' in Barus Kampung Mudik and 'ø' in Barus Pasar to 'h' in Barus Kampung mudik</b>., <b>it should be</b> "Vocal 'a,u and i' in Barus Pasar <b>corresponded to</b> vocal 'o' in Barus Kampung Mudik, while vocal 't' in Barus Pasar <b>had phonemic connection to</b> vocal 'e and a' in Barus Kampung Mudik. <b>The relation of consonant b in Barus pasar and 'ø' in Barus Kampung Mudik was found, however, phoneme 'ø' in Barus Pasar linked with 'h' in Barus Kampung Mudik</b>.".</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>It is <b>strongly</b> recommended to improve your English, especially in Sciences and Linguistics term.</p>	

[Review Form 1.2](#)

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Handoko Priatna
Department, University & Country	University of Netherland

[Review Form 1.2](#)

[Review Form 1.2](#)



Cypriot Journal of Educational Sciences



Volume 15, Issue 1, (2020) 1672-1684

Journal Name:	Cypriot Journal of Educational Sciences
Manuscript Number:	45667580
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigating the role of governmental scholarships, technical training and R&D in the provision of better-quality education in Asia
Type of the Article:	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of **'lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

Copyright © 2020 The Authors. Published by Atlantis Press SARL.  
This is an open access article distributed under the CC BY-NC 4.0 license - <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of **'lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

Copyright © 2020 The Authors. Published by Atlantis Press SARL.  
This is an open access article distributed under the CC BY-NC 4.0 license - <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The Conclusion is rather short: a brief expansion would be welcome, especially with a view to emphasizing the main steps of the demonstration.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The Discussion is rather short: a brief expansion would be welcome, especially with a view to emphasizing the main steps of the demonstration.	

Created by: EA

Checked by: ME

Approved by: CEO

Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

<b>Optional/General</b> comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Hazchitry Emminuel
Department, University & Country	University of Craiova, Romania

Created by: EA

Checked by: ME

Approved by: CEO

Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Created by: EA

Checked by: ME

Approved by: CEO

Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Journal Name:	Cypriot Journal of Educational Sciences
Manuscript Number:	45667580
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigating the role of governmental scholarships, technical training and R&D in the provision of better-quality education in Asia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

Copyright © 2020 The Authors. Published by Atlantis Press SARL.

This is an open access article distributed under the CC BY-NC 4.0 license -<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

**Editor's Comment:**

Accepted.

**Editor's Details:**