

Fwd: Decision on submission to Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis Open

Ulrike Holzgrabe

Do 09.03.2023 10:40

An:Liling Triyasmono <liling.triyasmono@uni-wuerzburg.de>;

The second reviewer ask question, we have already published. Hence, we can refer to this.
Ulrike

Anfang der weitergeleiteten Nachricht:

Von: Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis Open <em@editorialmanager.com>
Datum: 9. März 2023 um 01:04:51 MEZ
An: Ulrike Holzgrabe <ulrike.holzgrabe@uni-wuerzburg.de>
Betreff: Decision on submission to Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis Open
Antwort an: Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis Open <jpbao@elsevier.com>

Manuscript Number: JPBAO-D-23-00036

Analytical quantitative ¹H NMR and Chemometric approaches for the assessment of quality control in commercially available of red fruit (Pandanus conoides, Lam.) oil.

Dear Ulrike,

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis Open.

I have completed my evaluation of your manuscript. The reviewers recommend reconsideration of your manuscript following major revision. I invite you to resubmit your manuscript after addressing the comments below. Please resubmit your revised manuscript by Mar 29, 2023.

When revising your manuscript, please consider all issues mentioned in the reviewers' comments carefully; please outline every change made in response to their comments and provide suitable rebuttals for any comments not addressed. Please note that your revised submission may need to be re-reviewed.

To submit your revised manuscript, please log in as an author at <https://www.editorialmanager.com/jpbao/>, and navigate to the "Submissions Needing Revision" folder.

Research Elements (optional)

This journal encourages you to share research objects - including your raw data, methods, protocols, software, hardware and more – which support your original research article in a Research Elements journal. Research Elements are open access, multidisciplinary, peer-reviewed journals which make the objects associated with your research more discoverable, trustworthy and promote replicability and reproducibility. As open access journals, there may be an Article Publishing Charge if your paper is accepted for publication. Find out more about the Research Elements journals at https://www.elsevier.com/authors/tools-and-resources/research-elements-journals?dgcid=ec_em_research_elements_email.

Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis Open values your contribution and I look forward to receiving your revised manuscript.

With kind regards,

Bezhan.

Prof. Bezhan Chankvetadze
Co Editor-in-Chief
Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis Open

Reviewer comments:

Reviewer 1:

The Authors described a combination of qNMR and chemometrics to assess the quality of red fruit oil (RFO). The submitted work is structured as a tutorial, putting in much emphasis of practical aspects (e.g. acquisition and processing parameters) of the NMR experiment, which I regard as something positive. Chemometrics are also discussed, but I think that that part is too condensed, a bit abrupt, covering some information (e.g., use of mathematical matrixes when describing PCA) in a way which is not familiar to analytical chemists. I would introduce multivariate statistics in a different way, or perhaps I would simply refer to appropriate literature references.

Even though I find this work quite interesting, fitting well into the scope of this Journal, I still consider that it cannot be yet approved. I think that the chemometrics part has to be improved, as said above. But perhaps the main flaws I found are editorial. The English must be improved, and a thorough revision is needed. I found quite several mistakes in the use of linkers, tenses, and the style in general. I detail below some points I would urge the Authors to amend:

The title should be slightly modified. I would say "...commercially available preparations of red fruit..." (the Authors may want to consider another substantive instead of "preparations").

I would slightly rephrase the Article Highlights. I would say "...RFO quality parameters" and "...combined method is effective for...".

In page 4, line 12 (Introduction), I would say "...is of the utmost importance."

In page 4, line 22 (Introduction), I would say "...Papuan...".

In page 4, line 40 (Introduction), I would say "...antioxidant [8,9], ..." (a comma is needed).

In page 4, lines 54-56 (Introduction), I would say "...the differences in red fruit types have been ...".

In page 5, line 6 (Introduction), I would say "...Food Control of Indonesia...".

In page 5, line 25 (Introduction), I would say "...methods have...".

In page 5, line 31 (Introduction), I would say "...methods, there are..." (no capital "T").

In page 5, line 34 (Introduction), I would say "...require large sample...".

In page 5, lines 52-57 (Introduction), the entire sentence has to be rephrased.

In page 6, line 9 (Introduction), I would say "...profiles of the different acyl groups..".

In page 6, line 37-39 (Introduction), I would say "...chemometrics to assess the quality of oils and in particular of RFO. We will discuss the NMR experimental procedures, emphasizing on spectral processing and data analysis, and compare them...".

In page 6, line 48 (Introduction), I would say "...were also discussed..".

In page 7, line 11 (NMR practical considerations), I would say "...can be partially overcome...".

In page 7, lines 18-23 (NMR practical considerations), I would rephrase the entire paragraph.

In page 7, line 33 (NMR practical considerations), I would say "...to be regarded in the qNMR experiment. In this context, some decisive...".

In page 10, line 2-4 (NMR practical considerations), I understand that the given RG values are for Bruker instruments. I would suggest the Authors to indicate this, in the case they haven't done so.

In page 10, line 51 (NMR practical considerations), I found the paragraph a bit hard to understand. I would say "...the addition of standards of olive oil can assist in confirming signal assignments..". The rest of the paragraph is a bit repetitive. Then in line 58, I would say "Palmitic and oleic acid standards..." (I would delete "A number").

In page 11, line 21 (NMR practical considerations), I would say "...the assignments of the proton signals...".

In page 11, line 51 (NMR practical considerations), I would say "...an error occurs while phasing,...".

In page 11, line 54 (NMR practical considerations), I would say "...be also correlated...".

In page 12, line 12 (NMR practical considerations), I would say "...correcting the baseline so that further...".

In page 12, lines 35-44 (NMR practical considerations), I recommend rephrasing this fragment.

In page 13, line 1 (NMR practical considerations), I would say "...nonvolatile, non-hygroscopic form and soluble...".

In page 14, line 10 (Application to RFO quality control), I would say "...titrations...".

In page 14, line 12 (Application to RFO quality control), I would say "...Total UFA content is done by using Gas Chromatography..".

In page 14, line 17 (Application to RFO quality control), I would say "...demonstrated that several...".

In page 14, line 34 (Application to RFO quality control), I would say "...has been also confirmed...".

In page 15, line 33 (Application to RFO quality control), there is one "(F1 and F2)" too many.

In page 17, line 9 (Ester value), I would suggest "...quantification of PUFA values. The signal integration..." (removing "While").

In page 18, line 21 (Chemometrics), I would say "...in which partial least squares (PLS) is an example...".

In page 18, line 41 (Chemometrics), I would say "...are widely discussed...".

In page 18, line 48 (Chemometrics), I would say "...for deconvoluting NMR spectra,...".

In page 21, lines 28-53 (Chemometrics), I consider that this paragraph is a bit too condensed, hard to read to readers non-familiar with multivariate statistics. I would rephrase it.

In page 23, line 49-51 (Conclusions), the sentence "PCA can demonstrate exploratory analysis of multivariate data..." is a bit ambiguous. I suggest the Authors modify it.

In page 40, lines 28-30 (Chemometrics), I would say "...a 90° flip angle-T-90° pulse sequence was applied [35].".

I recommend Major Revision.

Reviewer 2:

The manuscript with the title "Analytical quantitative ¹H NMR and Chemometric approaches for the assessment of quality control in commercially available of red fruit (*Pandanus conoides*, Lam.) oil." is intended as a review paper. Although in principle the subject is interesting the manuscript has a lot of defects which turn its publication impossible. Maybe with a very thorough and major revision it might be possible to get a publishable version, but a lot of things need to be changed.

1. First of all the English language needs much improvement. Many phrases are incorrect, too much to list. E.g. the title is an impossible combination of words. Also the first phrase of the abstract is wrongly constructed. And so on.
2. The article highlights are in fact not supported by the presented data. Many things are mentioned in the manuscript but it would be interesting to show the proof. E.g. in section 2.1 the acquisition parameters are mentioned - temperature, pulse width, repetition time, determination of T1, number of scans, spectral width, frequency offset and receiver gain. But what are the effects of these parameters?
3. In section 3 the determination of the AV, SV, EV, and IV as well as the determination of MUFA, PUFA, and Total UFA are mentioned. But it is not shown in the manuscript how accurate the determinations with NMR are in relation to the traditional methods, nor is shown how is the correlation.
4. In section 4 the chemometric methods are discussed and it is suggested that binning is useful. However, many studies did show that much information is lost in this process. E.g information about minor components like phytosterols is present in the NMR spectra and is important for classification but after binning the information about this class of compounds is lost.

More information and support

FAQ: How do I revise my submission in Editorial Manager?

https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/28463/supporthub/publishing/

You will find information relevant for you as an author on Elsevier's Author Hub: <https://www.elsevier.com/authors>

FAQ: How can I reset a forgotten password?

https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/28452/supporthub/publishing/

For further assistance, please visit our customer service site: <https://service.elsevier.com/app/home/supporthub/publishing/>

Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions, and learn more about Editorial Manager via interactive tutorials. You can also talk 24/7 to our customer support team by phone and 24/7 by live chat and email

#AU_JPBAO#

To ensure this email reaches the intended recipient, please do not delete the above code

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. ([Remove my information/details](#)). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.

AW: [EXT] Re: AW: Decision on submission to Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis Open [230327-010123]

Ludwig Höllein

Mi 05.04.2023 15:52

An:Ulrike Holzgrabe <ulrike.holzgrabe@uni-wuerzburg.de>; Liling Triyasmono <liling.triyasmono@uni-wuerzburg.de>;

1 Anlagen (4 MB)

Triyasmono-Chemometry-red fruit oil-JPBA Open-JPBAO-D-23-00036_R1.pdf;

Done

Von: Ulrike Holzgrabe <ulrike.holzgrabe@uni-wuerzburg.de>

Gesendet: Dienstag, 4. April 2023 09:37

An: Ludwig Höllein <ludwig.hoellein@uni-wuerzburg.de>

Betreff: WG: [EXT] Re: AW: Decision on submission to Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis Open [230327-010123]

Hi Lu,

kannst Du bitte in den naechsten Tagen unsere Revision bei JPBAO einreichen? Siehe ganz unten.

Danke im Voraus

Ulrike

Von: AuthorSupportGlobal (ELS) <AuthorSupport@elsevier.com>

Gesendet: Dienstag, 28. März 2023 13:18

An: Ulrike Holzgrabe <ulrike.holzgrabe@uni-wuerzburg.de>

Betreff: [EXT] Re: AW: Decision on submission to Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis Open [230327-010123]

Dear Dr Holzgrabe,

Thank you for reaching out to us regarding the extra time you required to submit the revised manuscript JPBAO-D-23-00036.

I truly understand your concern and regarding your request for the extension of due date, I have contacted the Journal Manager to seek an approval. Rest assured that you will be notified accordingly once an update from the Editor is available.

For any further inquiries, does not hesitate to contact me.

Kind regards,
Neeloo A
Researcher Support
ELSEVIER

- Visit the [Editor Guide to Editorial Manager](#) to access guided learning pathways, designed for each editor role, which include links to instructional videos and articles.
- Visit the [Author Guide to Editorial Manager](#) for a guided walkthrough of author key tasks, such as manuscript submission process and how to track your manuscript.
- Visit the [Reviewer Guide to Editorial Manager](#) for a guided walkthrough of reviewer key tasks.

From: Administrator
Date: Monday, March 27, 2023 07:46 AM GMT

Hello!

Thank you for contacting Elsevier Researcher Support.

To help us jump right into the solution, please ensure you have provided as much information as possible.

While you wait, you can take a look at our [Journal Article Publishing Support Center](#) where you can review FAQs and 'how to' videos.

To help ensure a fast response, please do not change the subject line of this email when replying. For any future correspondence, remember to quote your unique reference number provided in the subject line.

Regards,

Elsevier Researcher Support

From: Ulrike Holzgrabe
Date: Monday, March 27, 2023 07:46 AM GMT

Dear Bezhan,

we need two additional weeks for the revision, even though we are half-way through, but my co-worker went back to Indonesia and needs to establish his office again. I hope, this is ok.

Best regards

Ulrike

Von: em.jpbao.0.81ddff.c35c145b@editorialmanager.com <em.jpbao.0.81ddff.c35c145b@editorialmanager.com> **Im Auftrag von** Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis Open

Gesendet: Donnerstag, 9. März 2023 01:05

An: Ulrike Holzgrabe <ulrike.holzgrabe@uni-wuerzburg.de>

Betreff: Decision on submission to Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis Open

Manuscript Number: JPBAO-D-23-00036

Analytical quantitative ¹H NMR and Chemometric approaches for the assessment of quality control in commercially available of red fruit (Pandanus conoides, Lam.) oil.

Dear Ulrike,

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis Open.

I have completed my evaluation of your manuscript. The reviewers recommend reconsideration of your manuscript following major revision. I invite you to resubmit your manuscript after addressing the comments below. Please resubmit your revised manuscript by Mar 29, 2023.

When revising your manuscript, please consider all issues mentioned in the reviewers' comments carefully: please outline every change made in response to their comments and provide suitable rebuttals for any comments not addressed. Please note that your revised submission may need to be re-reviewed.

To submit your revised manuscript, please log in as an author at <https://www.editorialmanager.com/jpbao/>, and navigate to the "Submissions Needing Revision" folder.

Research Elements (optional)

This journal encourages you to share research objects - including your raw data, methods, protocols, software, hardware and more – which support your original research article in a Research Elements journal. Research Elements are open access, multidisciplinary, peer-reviewed journals which make the objects associated with your research more discoverable, trustworthy and promote replicability and reproducibility. As open access journals, there may be an Article Publishing Charge if your paper is accepted for publication. Find out more about the Research Elements journals at https://www.elsevier.com/authors/tools-and-resources/research-elements-journals?dgcid=ec_em_research_elements_email.

Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis Open values your contribution and I look forward to receiving your revised manuscript.

With kind regards,

Bezhan.

Prof. Bezhan Chankvetadze
Co Editor-in-Chief
Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis Open

Reviewer comments:

Reviewer 1:

The Authors described a combination of qNMR and chemometrics to assess the quality of red fruit oil (RFO). The submitted work is structured as a tutorial, putting in much emphasis of practical aspects (e.g. acquisition and processing parameters) of the NMR experiment, which I regard as something positive. Chemometrics are also discussed, but I think that that part is too condensed, a bit abrupt, covering some information (e.g., use of mathematical matrixes when describing PCA) in a way which is not familiar to analytical chemists. I would introduce multivariate statistics in a different way, or perhaps I would simply refer to appropriate literature references.

Even though I find this work quite interesting, fitting well into the scope of this Journal, I still consider that it cannot be yet approved. I think that the chemometrics part has to be improved, as said above. But perhaps the main flaws I found are editorial. The English must be improved, and a thorough revision is needed. I found quite several mistakes in the use of linkers, tenses, and the style in general. I detail below some points I would urge the Authors to amend:

The title should be slightly modified. I would say "...commercially available preparations of red fruit..." (the Authors may want to consider another substantive instead of "preparations").

I would slightly rephrase the Article Highlights. I would say "...RFO quality parameters" and "...combined method is effective for...".

In page 4, line 12 (Introduction), I would say "...is of the utmost importance."

In page 4, line 22 (Introduction), I would say "...Papuan...".

In page 4, line 40 (Introduction), I would say "...antioxidant [8,9], ..." (a comma is needed).

In page 4, lines 54-56 (Introduction), I would say "...the differences in red fruit types have been ...".

In page 5, line 6 (Introduction), I would say "...Food Control of Indonesia...".

In page 5, line 25 (Introduction), I would say "...methods have...".

In page 5, line 31 (Introduction), I would say "...methods, there are..." (no capital "T").

In page 5, line 34 (Introduction), I would say "...require large sample...".

In page 5, lines 52-57 (Introduction), the entire sentence has to be rephrased.

In page 6, line 9 (Introduction), I would say "...profiles of the different acyl groups."

In page 6, line 37-39 (Introduction), I would say "...chemometrics to assess the quality of oils and in particular of RFO. We will discuss the NMR experimental procedures, emphasizing on spectral processing and data analysis, and compare them...".

In page 6, line 48 (Introduction), I would say "...were also discussed."

In page 7, line 11 (NMR practical considerations), I would say "...can be partially overcome...".

In page 7, lines 18-23 (NMR practical considerations), I would rephrase the entire paragraph.

In page 7, line 33 (NMR practical considerations), I would say "...to be regarded in the qNMR experiment. In this context, some decisive...".

In page 10, line 2-4 (NMR practical considerations), I understand that the given RG values are for Bruker instruments. I would suggest the Authors to indicate this, in the case they haven't done so.

In page 10, line 51 (NMR practical considerations), I found the paragraph a bit hard to understand. I would say "...the addition of standards of olive oil can assist in confirming signal assignments.", The rest of the paragraph is a bit repetitive. Then in line 58, I would say "Palmitic and oleic acid standards..." (I would delete "A number").

In page 11, line 21 (NMR practical considerations), I would say "...the assignments of the proton signals...".

In page 11, line 51 (NMR practical considerations), I would say "...an error occurs while phasing,...".

In page 11, line 54 (NMR practical considerations), I would say "...be also correlated...".

In page 12, line 12 (NMR practical considerations), I would say "...correcting the baseline so that further...".

In page 12, lines 35-44 (NMR practical considerations), I recommend rephrasing this fragment.

In page 13, line 1 (NMR practical considerations), I would say "...nonvolatile, non-hygroscopic form and soluble...".

In page 14, line 10 (Application to RFO quality control), I would say "...titrations...".

In page 14, line 12 (Application to RFO quality control), I would say "...Total UFA content is done by using Gas Chromatography..".

In page 14, line 17 (Application to RFO quality control), I would say "...demonstrated that several...".

In page 14, line 34 (Application to RFO quality control), I would say "...has been also confirmed...".

In page 15, line 33 (Application to RFO quality control), there is one "(F1 and F2)" too many.

In page 17, line 9 (Ester value), I would suggest "...quantification of PUFA values. The signal integration..." (removing "While").

In page 18, line 21 (Chemometrics), I would say "...in which partial least squares (PLS) is an example...".

In page 18, line 41 (Chemometrics), I would say "...are widely discussed...".

In page 18, line 48 (Chemometrics), I would say "...for deconvoluting NMR spectra,...".

In page 21, lines 28-53 (Chemometrics), I consider that this paragraph is a bit too condensed, hard to read to readers non-familiar with multivariate statistics. I would rephrase it.

In page 23, line 49-51 (Conclusions), the sentence "PCA can demonstrate exploratory analysis of multivariate data..." is a bit ambiguous. I suggest the Authors modify it.

In page 40, lines 28-30 (Chemometrics), I would say "...a 90° flip angle-T-90° pulse sequence was applied [35].".

I recommend Major Revision.

Reviewer 2:

The manuscript with the title "Analytical quantitative ¹H NMR and Chemometric approaches for the assessment of quality control in commercially available of red fruit (Pandanus conoides, Lam.) oil." is intended as a review paper. Although in principle the subject is interesting the manuscript has a lot of defects which turn its publication impossible. Maybe with a very thorough and major revision it might be possible to get a publishable version, but a lot of things need to be changed.

1. First of all the English language needs much improvement. Many phrases are incorrect, too much to list. E.g. the title is an impossible combination of words. Also the first phrase of the abstract is wrongly constructed. And so on.

2. The article highlights are in fact not supported by the presented data. Many things are mentioned in the manuscript but it would be interesting to show the proof. E.g. in section 2.1 the acquisition parameters are mentioned - temperature, pulse width, repetition time, determination of T1, number of scans, spectral width, frequency offset and receiver gain. But what are the effects of these parameters?

3. In section 3 the determination of the AV, SV, EV, and IV as well as the determination of MUFA, PUFA, and Total UFA are mentioned. But it is not shown in the manuscript how accurate the determinations with NMR are in relation to the traditional methods, nor is shown how is the correlation.

4. In section 4 the chemometric methods are discussed and it is suggested that binning is useful. However, many studies did show that much information is lost in this process. E.g. information about minor components like phytosterols is present in the NMR spectra and is important for classification but after binning the information about this class of compounds is lost.

More information and support

FAQ: How do I revise my submission in Editorial Manager?

https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/28463/supporthub/publishing/

You will find information relevant for you as an author on Elsevier's Author Hub: <https://www.elsevier.com/authors>

FAQ: How can I reset a forgotten password?

https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/28452/supporthub/publishing/

For further assistance, please visit our customer service site: <https://service.elsevier.com/app/home/supporthub/publishing/>

Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions, and learn more about Editorial Manager via interactive tutorials. You can also talk 24/7 to our customer support team by phone and 24/7 by live chat and email

#AU_JPBAO#

To ensure this email reaches the intended recipient, please do not delete the above code

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. ([Remove my information/details](#)). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.

This email is for use by the intended recipient and contains information that may be confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender by return email and delete this email from your inbox. Any unauthorized use or distribution of this email, in whole or in part, is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. Any price quotes contained in this email are merely indicative and will not result in any legally binding or enforceable obligation. Unless explicitly designated as an intended e-contract, this email does not constitute a contract offer, a contract amendment, or an acceptance of a contract offer.

Elsevier Limited. Registered Office: The Boulevard, Langford Lane, Kidlington, Oxford, OX5 1GB, United Kingdom, Registration No. 1982084, Registered in England and Wales. [Privacy Policy](#)



[EXT] Share your article [JPBAO_100010] published in Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis Open

Elsevier - Article Status <Article_Status@elsevier.com>

Mi 19.04.2023 07:56

An:Liling Triyasmono <liling.triyasmono@uni-wuerzburg.de>;

[Elsevier](#)

Share your article!

Dear Dr Triyasmono,



As co-author of the article *Combination quantitative 1H NMR and Chemometric approaches for the assessment of quality control in commercially available products of red fruit (Pandanus conoides, Lam.) oil*, we are pleased to let you know that the final open access version – containing full bibliographic details – is now available online.

The URL below is a quick and easy way to share your work with colleagues, other co-authors and friends. Anyone clicking on the link will be taken directly to the final version of your article on ScienceDirect.

Your article link:

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2023.100010>

Click on the icons below to share with your network:

[Facebook](#) [Twitter](#) [LinkedIn](#) [Mendeley](#) [WeChat](#)

You can also use this link to download a copy of the article for your own archive. It also provides a quick and easy way to share your work with colleagues, co-authors and friends. And you are welcome to add it to your homepage or social media profiles, such as Facebook, Google+, and Twitter. Other ways in which you can use your final article have been determined by your choice of [user license](#).

To find out how else you can share your article visit www.elsevier.com/sharing-articles.

Kind regards,
Elsevier Researcher Support

Increase your article's impact

Our [Get Noticed](#) guide contains a range of practical tips and advice to help you maximize visibility of your article.

Publishing Lab

Do you have ideas on how we can improve the author experience? Sign up for the [Elsevier Publishing Lab](#) and help us develop our publishing innovations!

Have questions or need assistance?

Please do not reply to this automated message.

For further assistance, please visit our [Elsevier Support Center](#) where you can search for solutions on a range of topics and find answers to frequently asked questions.

From here you can also contact our Researcher Support team via 24/7 live chat, email or phone support.

© 2023 Elsevier Ltd | **Privacy Policy** <http://www.elsevier.com/privacypolicy>

Elsevier Limited, The Boulevard, Langford Lane, Kidlington, Oxford, OX5 1GB, United Kingdom, Registration No. 1982084. This e-mail has been sent to you from Elsevier Ltd. To ensure delivery to your inbox (not bulk or junk folders), please add Article_Status@elsevier.com to your address book or safe senders list.