

Workflow Publication

Submission Review Copyediting Production

Round 1

Round 1 Status
Submission accepted.

Notifications

- [CJES] Editor Decision 2022-11-12 11:08 PM
- [CJES] Editor Decision 2022-11-25 11:10 AM

Reviewer's Attachments

No Files

Revisions

	Q Search	Upload File
38150 cjes-Artikel Final Upload.docx	November 22, 2022	Article Text
38151 Turnitin_Chek_cjes-Artikel Final Upload_.pdf	November 22, 2022	Other
38152 PROOFREADING CERTIFICATE.pdf	November 22, 2022	Other

[CJES] Editor Decision
2022-11-12 11:08 PM

Dear Andi Ihsan Mahardika, Muhammad Arifuddin, Nowan Alkaf Bahrain Saputra, Muthya Hayati:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Cypriot Journal of Educational Sciences, "The Effectiveness of Wetland Environment Static Fluid E-Module to Train Learners' Science Literacy".

Our decision is: Revisions Required

Editor Review and Comments

While preparing your manuscript for publication, there are some requirements listed below to improve your manuscript. Please pay attention to these requirements, revise your manuscript based on EDITOR AND REVIEWERS' comments and send it with a proofreading certificate and similarity report:
PLEASE HIGHLIGHT THE PLACES YOU REVISED IN THE ARTICLE IN YELLOW.

- 1) Your paper must be edited by an ENGLISH NATIVE PERSON. After you have corrected your paper, you may make it in the center of Proofreading Editing, which imposes a special rate for the journal author/s. www.proofreading-editing.eu
- 2) Please use only the English language in the Manuscript. Including your name and affiliation information.
- 3) Do not write place or university name in the title and abstract. You can write in the method without repeating it in the relevant places.
- 4) Tables and graphics should be prepared in APA 7 style.
- 5) Your references should be written according to APA 7 Editing (American Psychological Association). All references must be given a DOI or (if not available) URL link. The link provided below may be helpful for you. <https://and.mahardika.edu.id/research-and-citation/apa-style-guide/apa-formatting-and-style-guide/apa-changes-7th-edition.html>
- 6) Please exclude the reference(s) which is/are not used in your paper.
- 7) Arrange the paragraphs to be at least 3 sentences and at most 6 sentences.
- 8) Make sure that your Manuscript consists of a minimum of 4000 and a maximum 7000 number of words.
- 9) Do not write place or university name in the title and abstract. You can write in the method without repeating it in the relevant places.
- 10) Write the keywords with a minimum of 5 and a maximum of 7. A keyword should contain no more than 2 words.
- 11) The abstract should be written as a continuous paragraph with 120-150 words and recapitulative state the background of the research, purpose, methodologies, major conclusions, and its contributions to the field. It should emphasize new or important aspects of the study.
- 12) Arrange the paragraphs to be at least 3 sentences and at most 6 sentences.
- 13) Ensure that all the in-text citations are included in the reference list (correspondence between in-text citations and your reference list).
- 14) Introductory part; It should consist of a "Conceptual or Theoretical Framework" and "Related Research".

words.

- 11) The abstract should be written as a continuous paragraph with 120-150 words and recapitulative state the background of the research, purpose, methodologies, major conclusions, and its contributions to the field. It should emphasize new or important aspects of the study.
 - 12) Arrange the paragraphs to be at least 3 sentences and at most 6 sentences.
 - 13) Ensure that all the in-text citations are included in the reference list (correspondence between in-text citations and your reference list).
 - 14) Introductory part; It should consist of a "Conceptual or Theoretical Framework" and "Related Research".
 - 15) If available, please add the DOI number of the cited references. If there is no DOI number, please provide the link to the URL.
 - 16) The manuscript file must be in MS Word format only (not PDF) and should be formatted ready for submission, in the journal "Full-Paper-Template-for- After Review-Process" which can be downloaded from the link: <https://un-pub.eu/ojs/index.php/cjes/about/submissions>.
 - 17) Please include all these main titles in your Manuscript (1. Introduction; 1.1. Conceptual or Theoretical Framework, 1.2. Related Research, 1.3. Purpose of the Study, 2. Method and Materials; 2.1. Research Model, 2.2. Participants, 2.3. Data Collection Tools, 2.4. Data Collection Process, and 2.5. Data Analysis, 3. Results, 4. Discussion, 5. Conclusion, 6. Recommendations).
 - 18) Please click on the link below to pay the Article Processing Fee (APC) of the article. <https://un-pub.eu/ojs/index.php/cjes/apc>
 - 19) The method part of the research should be written in detail. The method of research; research model, participants, data collection tools, data collection process, and data analysis should be written in detail under subheadings.
 - 20) Please double-check the title (not more than 12 words), author(s)' names and affiliations and authors' contact information, and references.
 - 21) Please fill in the complete information of the authors on the journal website. The Authors name, University, faculty, department, city, country, email, and orcid (www.orcid.org) information must be filled out completely.
 - 22) All authors must be a member of Orcid (www.orcid.org) and Publons (www.publons.com) academic websites and include all required information. If you are a member, please update., All authors, please visit <https://publons.com/journal/104595/cypriot-journal-of-educational-sciences> and click on the button ENDORSE THIS JOURNAL. Publons is part of Clarivate Analytics, and it is good to have endorsements.
 - 23) Your suggested reviewers did not forward their thanks acknowledgment letter sent to Publons from the journal to Publons (reviews@publons.com), and have not Endorsement the Journal yet. Remind the author(s) and referees to do it.
- During the editing process, we use iThenticate or Turnitin plagiarism software. It is recommended that the authors scan with iThenticate plagiarism or other free plagiarism software of their manuscripts and send us the similarity report (documents with an above 10% rating will not be published).
- CORRECT ALL OF THE FOLLOWING ONE BY ONE AND SUBMIT THE ARTICLE AS SUCH WRITE AN ANSWER FOR EACH ITEM ABOUT THE CORRECTIONS YOU HAVE MADE.**
- We plan to publish your article in the forthcoming issue. Please make the necessary corrections within 10 days. PLEASE CORRECT THE REFEREE'S CRITICISM, OR WRITE YOUR REASON.

Reviewer A:

Recommendation:

1. The keywords accurately reflects the content.

Good

2. The title of the manuscript is appropriate.

Good

3. The abstract accurately reflects the content.

Yes accurately

4. The research problem is clearly defined.

Yes, it is clearly defined

5. The manuscript contains new findings or ideas.

Yes

6. The manuscript adequately ties to the relevant literature.

Yes

7. Methodology decisions (e.g., coding of data, data analysis, significance levels, grouping of subjects, sampling) adequately explained.

Yes, it is Adequately

8. The research design is adequate to achieve the study's objectives.

Yes

9. Data collection tools and procedures are clearly described.

Yes clearly

10. Data analysis decisions are clearly explained.

Yes

11. The discussion /conclusion section(s) adequately discuss (es) the findings.

Yes

12. The recommendations are accurate and supported by findings and conclusions.

Yes

Library

11:08 PM

11:10 AM

Search

Load File

the summary of your work.

Reviewer B:

Recommendation: Resubmit for Review

1. The keywords accurately reflects the content.

Yes

2. The title of the manuscript is appropriate.

In accordance

3. The abstract accurately reflects the content.

Abstract does not determine the content of the topic and its methodology

4. The research problem is clearly defined.

I have not found the problem statement clearly

5. The manuscript contains new findings or ideas.

Not seen yet

6. The manuscript adequately ties to the relevant literature.

Yes

7. Methodology decisions (e.g., coding of data, data analysis, significance levels, grouping of subjects, sampling) adequately explained.

No clear methodology. Consider other analytical tools because you are measuring effectiveness

8. The research design is adequate to achieve the study's objectives.

8. The research design is adequate to achieve the study's objectives.

No clear

9. Data collection tools and procedures are clearly described.

No clear

10. Data analysis decisions are clearly explained.

No clear

11. The discussion /conclusion section(s) adequately discuss (es) the findings.

Since the aim of this research is not clearly stated in the title and introduction, the conclusion does not clearly explain whether the aim is achieved or not.

12. The recommendations are accurate and supported by findings and conclusions.

No clear

13. The references are up-to-date, complete, and appropriate (APA 6 Style).

Yes

14. The research is systematic and consistent.

No

15. Appropriate and accurate language is used.

Enough

Your Additional Comments

The findings of this research are important and interesting. However, the author needs to rewrite the introduction for clearer information about the recent development in the issue, the research problems (gaps) that the author really wants to solve in the manuscript, and how the author fills the gap. Those elements of the introduction should be written in line with the result of the research. And the methodology section may need clarity.

.....

The following message is being delivered on behalf of Cypriot Journal of Educational Sciences.

11:08 PM

11:10 AM

Search

Load File

Notifications



[CJES] Editor Decision

2022-11-25 11:10 AM

Dear Andi Ichsan Mahardika, Muhammad Arifuddin, Novan Alkaf Bahraini Saputra, Muthya Hayati:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Cypriot Journal of Educational Sciences, "The Effectiveness of Wetland Environment Static Fluid E-Module to Train Learners' Science Literacy".

Our decision is to Conditional Accept the Submission.

The official letter of acceptance will be sent to you when you provide the following,

- 1) The final version of your article is arranged according to the journal format,
- 2) Article Processing Charge document,
- 3) Less than 10% similarity report,
- 4) Proofreading Certificate.
- 5) All authors must visit <https://publons.com/journal/104595/cypriot-journal-of-educational-sciences/> and click on the button Endorse this Journal.

Then, I sent all requested documents to the Copy Editor (bdcenter.editorial@gmail.com)

Thank you for your exemplary contribution. On behalf of the Editors of the CJES, we look forward to your continued contributions to the Journal.

Sincerely,

The following message is being delivered on behalf of Cypriot Journal of Educational Sciences.

Gmail interface showing an email titled "[CJES] Submission Acknowledgement" from Prof. Dr. Hafze Keser to Andi Ihsan Mahardika. The email content includes a thank you message for submitting a manuscript titled "The Effectiveness of Wetland Environment Static Fluid E-Module to Train Learners' Science Literacy" to the journal. It provides the manuscript URL: <https://un-pub.eu/ojs/index.php/cjes/authorDashboard/submission/3150> and the username: ichsan_31. It also includes a contact instruction: "If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work." and a note that the message is being delivered on behalf of Cypriot Journal of Educational Sciences.

Gmail interface showing an email titled "[CJES] Editor Decision" from Prof. Dr. Hafze Keser, Editor-in-Chief, to Andi Ihsan Mahardika, Muhammad Arifuddin, Novan Alkaf Baharaini Saputra, and Muthya Hayati. The email content states: "We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Cypriot Journal of Educational Sciences, 'The Effectiveness of Wetland Environment Static Fluid E-Module to Train Learners' Science Literacy'." The decision is "Revisions Required". It lists "Editor Review and Comments" and provides a list of 11 requirements for manuscript revision, including language, structure, references, and formatting. Key requirements include:

- Your paper must be edited by an ENGLISH NATIVE PERSON.
- Please use only the English language in the Manuscript.
- Do not write place or university name in the title and abstract.
- Tables and graphics should be prepared in APA 7 style.
- Your references should be written according to APA 7 Editing (American Psychological Association).
- Please exclude the reference(s) which is/are not used in your paper.
- Arrange the paragraphs to be at least 3 sentences and at most 6 sentences.
- Make sure that your Manuscript consists of a minimum of 4000 and a maximum 7000 number of words.
- Do not write place or university name in the title and abstract.
- Write the keywords with a minimum of 5 and a maximum of 7.
- The abstract should be written as a continuous paragraph with 120-150 words and recapitulative state the background of the research, purpose, methodologies, major conclusions, and its contributions to the field.

Gmail interface showing the continuation of the "[CJES] Editor Decision" email. The list of requirements continues from item 9 to 23, covering details on in-text citations, reference list formatting, manuscript file requirements, and the submission process. Key requirements include:

- Ensure that all the in-text citations are included in the reference list (correspondence between in-text citations and your reference list).
- Introductory part: It should consist of a "Conceptual or Theoretical Framework" and "Related Research".
- If available, please add the DOI number of the cited references.
- The manuscript file must be in MS Word format only (not PDF) and should be formatted ready for submission.
- Please click on the link below to pay the Article Processing Fee (APC) of the article.
- The method part of the research should be written in detail.
- Please double-check the title (not more than 12 words), author(s) names and affiliations and authors' contact information, and references.
- Please fill in the complete information of the authors on the journal website.
- All authors must be a member of ORCID and Publons academic websites and include all required information.
- Your suggested reviewers did not forward their thanks acknowledgment letter sent to Publons from the journal to Publons.

 The email concludes with a deadline: "We plan to publish your article in the forthcoming issue. Please make the necessary corrections within 10 days. PLEASE CORRECT THE REFEREE'S CRITICISM, OR WRITE YOUR REASON."

Gmail interface showing a review report for 'cypriot'. The interface includes a left sidebar with navigation options (Mail, Compose, Chat, Spaces, Meet) and a main content area displaying a list of 15 review items with 'Yes' responses. The review is signed by Reviewer B with a recommendation to 'Resubmit for Review'.

Mail

- Compose
- Inbox 2,088
- Starred
- Snoozed
- Sent
- Drafts 18
- More

Labels

- Boxbe Waiting List
- ichsan_pfis@unla... 53
- Moved 2019-02-14... 53

Mail

- Inbox 2,088
- Starred
- Snoozed
- Sent
- Drafts 18
- More

Labels

- Boxbe Waiting List
- ichsan_pfis@unla... 53
- Moved 2019-02-14... 53

Search: cypriot

16 of 22

Reviewer A:
Recommendation:

- The keywords accurately reflects the content.
Good
- The title of the manuscript is appropriate.
Good
- The abstract accurately reflects the content.
Yes accurately
- The research problem is clearly defined.
Yes, it is clearly defined
- The manuscript contains new findings or ideas.
Yes
- The manuscript adequately ties to the relevant literature.
Yes
- Methodology decisions (e.g., coding of data, data analysis, significance levels, grouping of subjects, sampling) adequately explained.
Yes, it is Adequately
- The research design is adequate to achieve the study's objectives.
Yes
- Data collection tools and procedures are clearly described.
- Data analysis decisions are clearly explained.
Yes
- The discussion /conclusion section(s) adequately discuss (es) the findings.
Yes
- The recommendations are accurate and supported by findings and conclusions.
Yes
- The references are up-to-date, complete, and appropriate (APA 6 Style).
Yes
- The research is systematic and consistent.
Yes
- Appropriate and accurate language is used.
Yes

Your Additional Comments

Institution, university, city, region, country, race, religious belief and similar information should not be given in the summary of your work.

Reviewer B:
Recommendation: Resubmit for Review

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?tab=rm&ogbl=search/cypriot/FMfcgzGqRZcrChkSRKBQJqQTWXJKig

Gmail cyriot

Active

16 of 22

Reviewer B:
Recommendation: Resubmit for Review

1. The keywords accurately reflects the content.
Yes
2. The title of the manuscript is appropriate.
In accordance
3. The abstract accurately reflects the content.
Abstract does not determine the content of the topic and its methodology
4. The research problem is clearly defined.
I have not found the problem statement clearly
5. The manuscript contains new findings or ideas.
Not seen yet
6. The manuscript adequately ties to the relevant literature.
Yes
7. Methodology decisions (e.g., coding of data, data analysis, significance levels, grouping of subjects, sampling) adequately explained.
No clear methodology. Consider other analytical tools because you are measuring effectiveness
8. The research design is adequate to achieve the study's objectives.

Gmail cyriot

Active

16 of 22

No clear methodology. Consider other analytical tools because you are measuring effectiveness

8. The research design is adequate to achieve the study's objectives.
No clear
9. Data collection tools and procedures are clearly described.
No clear
10. Data analysis decisions are clearly explained.
No clear
11. The discussion (conclusion section(s) adequately discuss (es) the findings.
Since the aim of this research is not clearly stated in the title and introduction, the conclusion does not clearly explain whether the aim is achieved or not.
12. The recommendations are accurate and supported by findings and conclusions.
No clear
13. The references are up-to-date, complete, and appropriate (APA 6 Style).
Yes
14. The research is systematic and consistent.
No
15. Appropriate and accurate language is used.
Enough

Your Additional Comments

The findings of this research are important and interesting. However, the author needs to rewrite the introduction for clearer information about the recent development in the issue, the research problems (gaps) that the author really wants to solve in the manuscript, and how the author fills the gap. Those elements of the introduction should be written in line with the result of the research. And the methodology section may need clarity.