

Submission date: 24-Apr-2023 08:02PM (UTC+0700) Submission ID: 2073966476 File name: 36.pdf (524.34K) Word count: 3996 Character count: 20556 International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, Volume 10, Issue 12, December 2020 ISSN 2250-3153

Relationships The Role of Posyandu Sanitation in Improving Wasting Toddler's Mother Activity (In The Working Area of Liang Anggang Health Care, Landasan Ulin Barat Sub-District, Banjarbaru City)

Lenie Marlinae^{*}, Syamsul Arifin^{*}, Agung Waskito^{*}, Laily Khairiyati^{*}, Anugrah Nur Rahmat^{*}, Dian Rosadi^{*}, Winda Saukina Syarifatul Jannah^{**}, Ammara Ulfa Azizah^{**}, Raudatul Jinan^{**}, Taufik^{**}, Andre Yusufa Febriandy^{**}, M Gilmani^{**}

> *Lecturer of Public Health Study Program, Faculty of Medicine, Lambung Mangkurat University *Student of Public Health Study Program, Faculty of Medicine, Lambung Mangkurat University

> > DOI: 10.29322/IJSRP.10.12.2020.p10826 http://dx.doi.org/10.29322/IJSRP.10.12.2020.p10826

Abstract- Wasting is a malnourished group, directly caused by inadequate nutrition and poor sanitation. The results of the Riskesdas 2018 states that the prevalence of very thin children under five years of age in 2018 is still quite high, namely 3.5 percent, there is a decrease compared to 2013 (5.3%) and 2007 (6.2%). Banjarbaru City is one of the areas that has experienced an increase in cases of very thin and wasting nutrition for three consecutive years. According to the Banjarbaru City Health Office, the highest cases were in the Liang Anggang Health Care area, which was 35 percent, of which 33 percent were in the B derweight category and 2 percent for the very thin category. This study aims to determine whether there is a relationship between the Role of Sanitation Posy 21du in Increasing the Activity of Baduta Wasting's Mother (In the Working Area of Liang Anggang Health Care, Banjarbaru City). The research design used was cross-sectional with a population of 270 people and using random sample sampling. The results of the pretest and posttest for the kn4yledge variable in activities 1, 2, 3 and 4 with the Asymp Sig. <0.05 Ho is rejected, meaning that there is a difference between the pretest and posttest on the knowledge variable. Whereas in the pretest and posttest results for the 4 titude variable in activities 3 and 4 with the Asymp Sig. ≥ 0.05 Ho is accepted, it means that there is no difference between the pretest and posttest on the attitude variable. There is a need for cooperation with all parties as well as providing education to mothers of toddlers about wasting and sanitation posyandu.

Index Terms- Sanitation Posyandu, Toddlers, Wasting.

INTRODUCTION

Child nutrition problems occur because of the wrong parenting styles of parents in choosing the food that is given to their children for consumption, which can lead to nutritional problems and lack of hygienic sanitation in children. As a result, children can suffer from chronic diseases, excess and underweight, pica, dental caries and certain food allergies that often occur in children (1).

This publication is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY. http://dx.doi.org/10.29322/IJSRP.10.12.2020.p10826 Growth in toddlers can be monitored by weighing the child every month by the mother of baduta. The activity of the mother baduta in monitoring the growth of children under five which is carried out every month is very necessary in supporting her growth and development, especially regarding the condition of her sanitation and active 3 participating in the sanitation posyandu The data shows that the percentage of children aged 6-59 months who have not been weighed in the last six months tends to increase from 25.5% (2007), 23.8% (2010) to 34.3% (2013) 2 d in 2018 8.3 % but wasting data increased (2,3).

Wasting is a malnourished group, directly caged by inadequate nutrition and poor sanitation. The results of research conducted by Olofin et al. (2013) stated that losing significantly has a strong relationship with the increase in mortality rates in childre 2 inder five (4).

The results of the Riskesdas 2018 stated that the prevalence of very thin under five children nationally in 2018 was still quite high, namely 3.5 percent, there was a decrease compared to 2013 (5.3%) and 2007 (6.2%). Likewise, the prevalence of wasting of 6.7 percent also shows a decrease from 6.8 percent in 2010 and 7.4 percent in 2007. South Kalimantan Province is one of the provinces where the prevalence of wasting is above the national rate, where in 2013 the prevalence is 12.8 percent and increased in 2018 to 13.2 percent (Riskesdas, 2018). Based on this prevalence rate, the Indonesian Ministry of Health makes long-term targets that are in line with the SDGs goals and targets. The target is to reduce the incidence prevalence rate by 40% in 2019 so that by 2019 the wasting prevalence rate will decrease to 9.5%. Meanwhile, by 2025, the prevalence of wasting is expected to decrease to less than 5% (2,3).

Banjarbaru City is one of the areas that has experienced an increase in cases of very thin and wasting nutrition for three consecutive years. In 2017, the percentage of baduta with underweight and very thin nutrition was 16.7 percent, in 2016 the percentage was smaller, namely 15.5 percent, but in 2015 it was 23.8 percent higher. Riskesdas data for 2018 cases of wasting were 10.98% and still high. According to the Banjarbaru City Health Office, the highest cases were in the Liang Anggang

International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, Volume 10, Issue 12, December 2020 ISSN 2250-3153 Total

Health Care area, which was 35 percent, of which 33 percent for the thin category and 2 percent for the very thin category, this data was taken from the sub-district only, namely West Landasan Ulin. The percentage of the incidence of wasting has increased from the previous year, where in 2016 the village was recorded at 9 percent. The thin category was 3 percent and very thin as much as 6 percent (5).

The sanitation posyandu activities are intended to help improve the health status of children under five through counseling and intervention in the provision of clean water, disposal of waste water that meets health requirements, disposal of household waste, absence of provision of food control facilities, and provision of housing facilities that meet health requirements.

П. RESEARCH METHOD

The research design used was cross-sectional. Population is an area in general consisting of objects or subjects that are determined by the researcher to study and then draw conclusions. The population in this study were all children under five in the Liang Anggang Public Health Center, Banjarbaru City. The sample of this study was 35 children under five in the Liang Anggang Public Health Center, Banjarbaru City.

					200	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	1	2.2	
		III. FIN	DINGS		Ibu Ruma	00	30	85.7	
Table 1 Die	tributio			ge Toddlers in	Karyawa	1 Swasta	1	2.9	
Liang Angga			quency of A	ge roudiers m	PN	IS	1	2.9	
	<u> </u>		- A (01)		Swa	sta	1	2.9	
		ency Percer			Wirau	Isaha	1	2.9	
< 12 Month	12		4.3		Tot	al	35	100.0	
12-36 Month			2.9		Table 7.	Distribution	and Fr	equency Educ	ation Toddler's
37-60 Month			2.9			Liang Angg			
Total	35		0.0		Katagori	Frekuensi			
			iency of Gene	der Toddlers in	S2	1	2.9		
Liang Angga					S1/D4	1	2.9		
Category Fre	equency	Percent (%	<u>(6)</u>		D3	2	5.7		
Boys	18	51.4			SMA/MA	-	60.0		
Girls	17	48.6			SMP/MTs		17.1		
Total	35	100.0			SD/MI	4	11.4		
Table 3. Dist	tributio	n and Freq	uency of Nu	tritional Status	Total	35	100.0)	
Toddlers in L	Liang Ai	nggang Heal	th Care					·	nily Income of
Category Fre	equency	Percent (%	<u>%)</u>						ng Health Care
Wasting	10	28.6				i Frekuen			ig fleatti Care
Normal	13	37.1			$\leq 3.000.00$		<u>68.</u>		
Gemuk	2	5.7			$\leq 3.000.00$ > 3.000.00		31.		
Obesitas	10	28.6				35	100		
Table 0 Dist				In Come of Tod	Total				
Table 9. Disti	ribution			lge Score of Tod			nggang F		4
Category			vities 1	Activiti		Activities 3	D 4 4	Activities	
		Pre-test	Post-test	Pre-test	Post-test I	Pre-test	Post-tes		Post-test
			0.01	0.01	0.01	01			
Not Good		0 %	0 %	0 %		%	0 %	0 %	0 %
Good			0 % 100 %	100 %	100 % 1	00 %	100 %	0 %	100 %
Good		0 %		100 %		00 %	100 %		- /-
Good Number	of	0 %		100 %	100 % 1 Consistently (00 % No increase)	100 %		- /-
Good Number Respondents		0 % 100 %	100 %	100 %	100 % 1 Consistently (35 Respo	00 % No increase)	100 %	100 %	- /-
Good Number Respondents		0 % 100 %	100 %	100 %	100 % 1 Consistently (35 Respo	00 % No increase)	100 %	100 %	- /-
Good Number Respondents	tributio	0 % 100 %	100 % uency Attitud	100 %	100 % 1 Consistently (35 Respo	00 % No increase) ondents n Liang Ang	100 %	100 %	- /-
Good Number Respondents	tributio Ac	0 % 100 % n and Frequ tivities 1	100 % uency Attitud Activ	100 % e Score of Toddl ities 2	100 % 1 Consistently (35 Respo ler's Mother i Activities 3 Pre-	00 % No increase ondents n Liang Ang Ac	100 % ggang He	100 %	- /-
Good Number Respondents Table 10. Dis	tributio Ac	0 % 100 % n and Frequ tivities 1	100 % uency Attitud	100 % e Score of Toddl ities 2	100 % 1 Consistently (35 Respo ler's Mother i Activities 3 Pre-	00 % No increase ondents n Liang Ang Ac	100 % ggang He	100 %	- /-

This publication is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY. http://dx.doi.org/10.29322/IJSRP.10.12.2020.p10826

www.ijsrp.org

35

6

1

2

4

8

35

Father in Liang Anggang Health Care

Category Frequency Percent (%)

1

20

7

7

35

Category

Buruh

Sopir

Total

TNI

Honorer

Wiraswasta

S1/D4

SMA/MA

SMP/MTs

SD/MI

Total

Kategori

Dosen

Karyawan swasta10

100.0

FrequencyPercent (%)

28,8

2.9

28.6

5.8

11.5

22.8

100.0

2.9

57.1

20.0

20.0

100.0

Table 6. Distribution and Frequency Type of Work

Frekuensi Persen (%)

2.9

Toddler's Mother in Liang Anggang Health Care

1

Table 5. Distribution and Frequency Education Toddler's

Toddler's Father in Liang Anggang Health Care

Table 4. Distribution and Frequency Type of Work

Not G	250-3153 ood 2.9	% 2,9 %	2.9 %	29%	0 %	0 %	2,9 %	0 %	
Good	97,1		2,9 % 97,1 %	<u> </u>	100 %	100 %	97.1 %	100 %	
Good		Consistently	,	sistently		stently	Inc	crease ,9 %)	
Numb Respo	per of ondents			35 Re	spondents				
Table 11. Distribution and Frequency Infrastructure and Health Related Information		No.	No. Questions		Answer				
Healt	n Kelated Inform		cition					Yes	No
No.	Questions	ions <u>Answer</u> Yes No			remind	0			
	Around your	res		NO		you to take	go and		
1	house there are health facilities such as health	30 respondents (85,71 %)	5 5 re (14,29	espondents			age of es in care		
	centers / health care / clinics /	(00,11 %)	(11,2)	,0)		1	ally for		
	hospitals Around your						mily Support	for Mother To	ddlers in Lian
	Around your	35 respondents	s 0 1	respondent			mily Support		
2	Around your house there is	35 respondents (100%)	s 0 1 (0%)	respondent		e 12. Fai gang Hea	mily Support	Ai	iswer
2	Around your house there is	1		respondent	Angg	e 12. Far gang Hea Q	mily Support Ith Care Duestions	Ar Yes	nswer No
2	Around your house there is a posyandu program You get health	(100%)	(0%)		Angg	e 12. Fai gang Hea Q Did yo you ho losing	mily Support Ith Care Questions ur family tell w to prevent	Yes 27 respondents (77,14 %)	nswer No
2	Around your house there is a posyandu program You get health information and education related to	1	(0%)	respondent	<u>Angg</u> No.	e 12. Far gang Hea Q Did you you ho losing The fa to yo keep th	mily Support Ith Care Puestions ur family tell w to prevent mily explains u to always he house clean	Yes 27 respondents (77,14 %) 34 respondents (97,14%)	nswer No 8 responden
	Around your house there is a posyandu program You get health information and education related to environmental health issues You often use	(100%) 35 respondents (100%)	(0%) 5 0 1 (0%)	respondent	<u>Angg</u> No. 1	e 12. Far gang Hea Q Did you you ho losing The fa to yo keep th	mily Support Ith Care Puestions ur family tell w to prevent mily explains u to always	Yes 27 respondents (77,14 %) 34 respondents (97,14%) 35	No 8 responden (22,86 %) 1 responde
	Around your house there is a posyandu program You get health information and education related to environmental health issues	(100%) 35 respondents	(0%) 5 0 1 (0%)		<u>Angg</u> <u>No.</u> 1 2	e 12. Fai gang Hea Q Did you you ho losing The fa to yo keep th The f keeps clean Familio remind	mily Support Ith Care Duestions ur family tell w to prevent mily explains u to always the house clean amily always the house es always	Yes 27 respondents (77,14 %) 34 respondents (97,14%) 35 respondents (100%) 35 respondents	No 8 responden (22,86 %) 1 responde (2,86 %) 0 responde

 Table 13. Results of the Pretest and Posttest Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test on Knowledge and Attitudes of Toddler Mothers at

 Liang Anggang Health Center

		Ν	Mean Rank	Sum of Ranks
Skor_Postest_P_1 - Skor_Pretest_P_1	Negative Ranks	1 ^a	15.50	15.50
	Positive Ranks	26 ^b	13.94	362.50
	Ties	8°		
	Total	35		
Skor_Postest_P_2 - Skor_Pretest_P_2	Negative Ranks	0^d	.00	00.
	Positive Ranks	27 ^e	14.00	378.00
	Ties	8 ^f		
	Total	35		
Skor_Postest_P_3 - Skor_Pretest_P_3	Negative Ranks	6 ^g	14.67	88.00
	Positive Ranks	20 ^h	13.15	263.00
	Ties	9 ⁱ		
	Total	35		
Skor_Postest_P_4 - Skor_Pretest_P_4	Negative Ranks	0 ^j	.00	.00
	Positive Ranks	18 ^k	9.50	171.00
	Ties	17 ¹		

This publication is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY. http://dx.doi.org/10.29322/IJSRP.10.12.2020.p10826

nternational Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, Volume 10, Issue 12, December 2020 SSN 2250-3153				
331 2230-3135	Total	35		
Skor_Postest_S_1 - Skor_Pretest_S_1	Negative Ranks	0 ^m	.00	.0
	Positive Ranks	8 ⁿ	4.50	36.0
	Ties	27°		
	Total	35		
Skor_Postest_S_2 - Skor_Pretest_S_2	Negative Ranks	Op	.00	0.
	Positive Ranks	5 ^q	3.00	15.0
	Ties	30 ^r		
	Total	35		
Skor_Postest_S_3 - Skor_Pretest_S_3	Negative Ranks	0 ^s	.00	0.
	Positive Ranks	2 ^t	1.50	3.00
	Ties	33 ^u		
	Total	35		
Skor_Postest_S_4 - Skor_Pretest_S_4	Negative Ranks	0 ^v	.00	.0
	Positive Ranks	1 ^w	1.00	1.00
	Ties	34 ^x		
	Total	35		
a. Skor_Postest_P_1 < Skor_Pretest_P_1				
b. Skor_Postest_P_1 > Skor_Pretest_P_1				
c. Skor_Postest_P_1 = Skor_Pretest_P_1				
d. Skor_Postest_P_2 < Skor_Pretest_P_2				
e. Skor_Postest_P_2 > Skor_Pretest_P_2				
f. Skor_Postest_P_2 = Skor_Pretest_P_2				
g. Skor_Postest_P_3 < Skor_Pretest_P_3				
h. Skor_Postest_P_3 > Skor_Pretest_P_3				
i. Skor_Postest_P_3 = Skor_Pretest_P_3				
j. Skor_Postest_P_4 < Skor_Pretest_P_4				
k. Skor_Postest_P_4 > Skor_Pretest_P_4				
1. Skor_Postest_P_4 = Skor_Pretest_P_4				
m. Skor_Postest_S_1 < Skor_Pretest_S_1				
n. Skor_Postest_S_1 > Skor_Pretest_S_1				

n. Skor_Postest_S_1 > Skor_Pretest_S_1 o. Skor_Postest_S_1 = Skor_Pretest_S_1 p. Skor_Postest_S_2 < Skor_Pretest_S_2 q. Skor_Postest_S_2 > Skor_Pretest_S_2
r. Skor_Postest_S_2 = Skor_Pretest_S_2 s. Skor_Postest_S_3 < Skor_Pretest_S_3 t. Skor_Postest_S_3 > Skor_Pretest_S_3 u. Skor_Postest_S_3 = Skor_Pretest_S_3 v. Skor_Postest_S_4 < Skor_Pretest_S_4 w. Skor_Postest_S_4 > Skor_Pretest_S_4

x. Skor_Postest_S_4 = Skor_Pretest_S_4

Table 14. Results of Pretest and Posttest Statistical Tests on Knowledge and Attitudes of Under-Five Mothers at Liang Anggang Health Center Tost Statistics^a

				Test Statist	lics			
	Skor_Postest _P_1 - Skor_Pretest_ P_1	Skor_Postest _P_2 - Skor_Pretest_ P_2	Skor_Postest _P_3 - Skor_Pretest_ P_3	Skor_Postest _P_4 - Skor_Pretest_ P_4	Skor_Postest _S_1 - Skor_Pretest_ S_1	Skor_Postest _S_2 - Skor_Pretest_ S_2	Skor_Postest _S_3 - Skor_Pretest_ S_3	Skor_Postest _S_4 - Skor_Pretest_ S_4
Ζ	-4.284 ^b	-4.597 ^b	-2.254 ^b	-3.862 ^b	-2.828 ^b	-2.236 ^b	-1.414 ^b	-1.000 ^b
Asy mp. Sig. (2- tailed)	.000	.000	.024	.000	.005	.025	.157	.317

This publication is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY. http://dx.doi.org/10.29322/IJSRP.10.12.2020.p10826

International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, Volume 10, Issue 12, December 2020

a. Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test

b. Based on negative ranks.

From the 4 le above shows that the results of the pretest and posttest for knowledge variables in activities 1, 2, 3 and 4 with the Asymp Sig. <0.05 Ho is rejected, meaning that there is a difference between the pretest and post(4) st on the knowledge variable. In the pretest and posttest results for the attitude variable in activities 1 and 2 with the Asymp Sig. <0.05 Ho is rejected, it means that there is a difference between the pretest and posttest results for the attitude variable. Whereas in the pretest and posttest results for the attitude variable in activities 3 and 4 with the Asymp Sig. ≥ 0.05 Ho is accepted, it means that there is no difference between pretest and posttest on the attitude variable. Whereas in the pretest and posttest results for the attitude variable in activities 3 and 4 with the Asymp Sig. ≥ 0.05 Ho is accepted, it means that there is no difference between pretest and posttest on the attitude variable.

IV. DISCUSSION

1. Difference of Pre-Test and Post-Test Knowledge

Based on the results of data analysis, it shows that there is a difference between the knowledge before being given the material and after being given the material. This is in line with Himawaty's research in 2020 which shows that there is an increase in knowledge about providing nutritious food and the incidence of stunting in toddlers. Comparative analysis of the pre-test before the implementation of socialization and the post-test after the implementation of socialization using the Wilcoxon signed rank test, the significance of the increase in maternal knowledge was 0.005 (p <0.05) with a confidence level of $\alpha = 0.05$, which means that there is a significant difference between mother's knowledge during the pre-test and post-test (28).

According to Notoatmodjo in 2010, said that one of the factors that influence a person's behavior is knowledge, because knowledge will result in changes or increased knowledge. The better the level of knowledge, the better insight or information about posyandu and mothers are also more active in posyandu activities (23). Factors that affect a person's knowledge according to Wawan and Dewi in 2010 suggest that a person's knowledge is influenced by several factors, including internal factors, education, occupation, age and external factors, environmental and socio-cultural (24).

Based on the results of the data analysis, there was a difference between the attitudes before being given the material and after being given the material in activities 1 and 2. Whereas in activities 3 and 4 there was no difference between the attitudes before being given the material and after being given the material. This is in line with the research of Suryagustina et al. In 2018 that there is a difference between attitudes before (pre-test) and after (post-test) being given health education (29).

Attitude according to Notoatmodjo in 2010 is a reaction or response of someone who is still closed to a stimulus or object (25). According to Sunaryo in 2004, there are two factors that influence the formation and change of attitudes, namely internal factors that come from the individual himself and external factors that come from outside the individual in the form of stimuli to change and shape attitudes.

V. CONCLUSION

The results of the pretest and posttest for the knowledge variable in activities 1, 2, 3 and 4 with the Asymp Sig. <0.05 Ho is rejected, meaning that there is a difference between the pretest and posttest on the knowledge variable. Whereas in the pretest and posttest results for the attitude

This publication is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY. http://dx.doi.org/10.29322/USRP.10.12.2020.p10826 variable in activities 3 and 4 with the Asymp Sig. ≥ 0.05 Ho is accepted, it means that there is no difference between pretest and posttest on the attitude variable. There is a need for cooperation with all parties as well as providing education to mothers of toddlers about wasting and sanitation posyandu.

REFERENCES

1. Rosha BC,dkk. Peran intervensi gizi spesifik dan sensitif dalam perbaikan masalah gizi balita di kota Bogor. Buletin Penelitian Kesehatan, 2016. 44(2): 127-138.

2. Riset Kesehatan Dasar Indonesia, 2013

3. Hasil Riset Kesehatan Dasar Indonesia Tahun 2018

4. Meilyasari F, Isnawati M. Faktor Risiko Kejadian Stuntinh pada Balita Usia 12 Bulan di Dese Purwokerto Kecamatan Pateboyan, Kabupaten Kendal. Journal of Nutrition College, 2014. 3(2): 16-25.

5. Laporan Status Gizi Balita Dinas Kesehatan Kota Banjarbaru Tahun 2017

6. Mitra. Permasalahan Anak Pendek (Stunting) dan Intervensi untuk Mencegah Terjadinya Stunting (Suatu Kajian Kepustakaan). Jurnal Kesehatan Komunitas 2015. 2(6): 254-261.

7. Monika FB. Buku pintar ASI dan menyusui. Jakarta: Mizan Publika .Koesbardiati T, dkk. 2014. Membangun pedoman gizi seimbang (PGS) pada anak gizi buruk di perkotaan melalui pendekatan bio-sosio-kultural. BioKultur, 2014 3(1): 212-229.

8. Saputri IM, Sulistiyani, Rohmawati N. Peran dan fungsi kader, dukungan sosial suami, dan pengetahuan tentang budaya keluarga pada pelaksanaan keluarga sadar gizi. e-Jurnal Pustaka Kesehatan, 2016. 4(1): 168-174.

9. Solihin R D M, Faisal A, Dadang S. Kaitan antara status gizi, perkembangan kognitif, dan perkembangan motorik pada anak usia prasekolah (relationship between nutritional status, cognitive development, and motor development in preschool children). Penelitian Gizi dan Makanan 2013. 36 (1): 62-72.

10. Surahman, Sudibyo S. Ilmu Kesehatan Masyarakat PKM. Jakarta. Pusdik SDM Kesehatan, 2016.

 Trihono, dkk. Pendek (Stunting) di Indonesia, Masalah dan Solusinya. Jakarta: Lembaga Penerbit Balitbangkes. 2015.
 Vani P. Gambaran karakteristik balinta stunting di desa Pleret Bantul Yogyakarta. Jurnal Ilmiah Stikes Jendral Achmad Yani Yogyakarta 2015. 1(1): 1-38.

13. Verryana Adrian SKM.M,Kes. Pengantar gizi masyarakat, Edisi 1. Jakarta: EGC. 2008.

14. Handayani L, dkk. Peran Tenaga Kesehatan Sebagai Pelaksana Kesehatan Puskesmas. Buletin Penelitian Sistem Kesehatan, 2010. 13(1): 12-20.

15. Aini N. 2019. Hubungan pelatihan dengan ketrampilan kader dalam memberikan penyuluhan gizi balita di Desa Suko

International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, Volume 10, Issue 12, December 2020 ISSN 2250-3153

Jember Kecamatan Jelbuk. Jurnal Kebidanan Akademi Kebidanan Jember 3(1): 30-35.

16. Chomawati R, Oktia WKH. 2019. Analisis efektivitas program pos peduli gizi anak berbasis potensi lokal (studi di daerah urban fringe Puskesmas Mijen). Jurnal Kesmas Indonesia 11(2): 90-105.

17. Pasek AS. 2019. Evaluasi kelas gizi terhadap kejadian balita gizi kurang di Puskesmas Karang Taliwang Kota Mataram. Sintesa 1(1): 89-102.

18. Putri MR. 2019. Hubungan pola asuh orangtua dengan status gizi pada balita di wilayah kerja Puskesmas Bulang Kota Batam. Jurnal Bidan Komunitas 11(2): 107-116.

19. Abidin, Tasnim, Fatmawati, Banudi L. 2018. Faktor risiko wasting dalam penerapan full day school pada anak di PAUD Pesantren Ummusabri Kendar. Jurnal Penelitian Kesehatan Suara Forikes 9(4): 263-268.

20. Setiowati KD, Irwan B. 2019. Perencanaan program pemberian makanan tambahan pemulihan untuk balita. Higeia 3(1): 109-120.

21. Entie RS, Tulus PH, Hermani T. 2017. Hubungan status gizi dengan perkembangan anak usia 1 sampai 5 tahun di Kelurahan Tidar Utara, Kota Magelang. Jurnal Keperawatan Soedirman 12(1): 27-37.

22. Amir H. Pengaruh peran kader kesehatan terhadap peningkatan status gizi bayi balita di wilayah kerja Puskesmas Sangkub. Paradigma 6(2): 17-27.

23. Sativa NE. Faktor-Faktor Yang Berhubungan Dengan Keaktifan Ibu Balita Dalam Kegiatan Posyandu Dusun Mlangi Kabupaten Sleman. 2017. Naskah Publikasi: Universitas Aisyiyah Yogyakarta.

24. Zuliyanti NI, Nurul Ulfah L. Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Pengetahuan Ibu Tentang Pijat Bayi Di Bpm Sri Mulyani, Amd.Keb Desa Kaliwatubumi Kecamatan Butuh Kabupaten Purworejo. Jurnal Komunikasi Kesehatan. 2019; 5(1): 1-12.

25. Notoatmodjo S. Ilmu Perilaku Kesehatam. 2010. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.

26. Febriyanto MAB. Hubungan Antara Pengetahuan dan Sikap dengan Perilaku Konsumsi Jajanan Sehat di MI Sulaimaniyah Mojoagung Jombang. Skripsi. 2016; Universitas Airlangga.

27. Himawaty A. Pemberdayaan Kader dan Ibu Baduta Untuk Mencegah Stunting di Desa Pilangsari Kabupaten Bojonegoro. Jurnal Ikesma. 2020; 16(2): 77-86.

28. Suryagustina, Wenna A, Jumielsa. Pengaruh Pendidikan Kesehatan Tentang Pencegahan Stunting Terhadap Pengetahuan dan Sikap Ibu di Kelurahan Pahandut Palangka Raya. Dinamika Kesehatan. 2018; 9(2): 582-591.

AUTHORS

First Author - Lenie Marlinae, Lecturer, Public Health Study Program, Faculty of Medicine, Lambung Mangkurat University, Indonesia

Second Author - Syamsul Arifin, Doctor, Lecturer, Public Health Study Program, Faculty of Medicine, Lambung Mangkurat University, Indonesia

This publication is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY. http://dx.doi.org/10.29322/IJSRP.10.12.2020.p10826 Third Author - Agung Waskito, Lecturer, Public Health Study Program, Faculty of Medicine, Lambung Mangkurat University, Indonesia

Fourth Author – Laily Khairiyati, Lecturer, Public Health Study Program, Faculty of Medicine, Lambung Mangkurat University, Indonesia

Fifth Author – Anugrah Nur Rahmat, Lecturer, Public Health Study Program, Faculty of Medicine, Lambung Mangkurat University, Indonesia

Sixth Author – Dian Rosadi, Lecturer, Public Health Study Program, Faculty of Medicine, Lambung Mangkurat University, Indonesia

Seventh Author – Winda Saukina S.J, Student, Public Health Study Program, Faculty of Medicine, Lambung Mangkurat University, Indonesia

Eighth Author – Ammara Ulfa Azizah, Student, Public Health Study Program, Faculty of Medicine, Lambung Mangkurat University, Indonesia

Ninth Author – Raudatul Jinan, Student, Public Health Study Program, Faculty of Medicine, Lambung Mangkurat University, Indonesia

Tenth Author – Taufik, Student, Public Health Study Program, Faculty of Medicine, Lambung Mangkurat University, Indonesia

Eleventh Author – Andre Yusufa Febriandy, Student, Public Health Study Program, Faculty of Medicine, Lambung Mangkurat University, Indonesia

Twelfth Author – M. Gilmani, Student, Public Health Study Program, Faculty of Medicine, Lambung Mangkurat University, Indonesia

Correspondence Author – Lenie Marlinae, Public Health Study Program, Faculty of Medicine, Lambung Mangkurat University, Indonesia, email: zfizoh@yahoo.co.id

36.pdf			
ORIGINALITY REPORT			
14% SIMILARITY INDEX	15% INTERNET SOURCES	3% PUBLICATIONS	9% STUDENT PAPERS
PRIMARY SOURCES			
1 Student Pape	ed to Universita	s Indonesia	5%
2 WWW.Se Internet Sour	manticscholar.o	org	4%
3 www.ijp	hrd.com		3%
4 Submitt Student Pape	ed to Dublin Cit	y University	2%

Exclude quotes	On	Exclude matches	< 2%
Exclude bibliography	On		