



Manuscript Needs Revision

(Manuscript #BFSA-2206-1399
(R2))

External

Inbox



Bulletin of Pharma... Yesterday
to me, mohammad.rizki.fad... 



Manuscript ID: BFSA-2206-1399 (R1)

Manuscript Title: **Pharmacognostic Study and Antioxidant Activity of Sungkai (*Peronema canescens* Jack.) Methanol Extract from Indonesia**

Authors: Sutomo Sutomo, Arnida Arnida, Fachrida Rahmah Yulistati, Normaidah Normaidah, Mohammad Rizki Fadhil Pratama

Dear **Mr. Mohammad Rizki Fadhil Pratama**

Evaluation process of the above mentioned manuscript has been reviewed. The comments of the reviewer(s) are included at the bottom of this letter.

The reviewer(s) have recommended publication, but also suggest some revisions to your manuscript. Therefore, I invite you to respond to the reviewer(s) comments and revise your manuscript within the period of defined time.

Because we are trying to facilitate timely publication of manuscripts submitted to journal, your revised manuscript should be uploaded as soon as possible. If it is not possible for you to





Because we are trying to facilitate timely publication of manuscripts submitted to journal, your revised manuscript should be uploaded as soon as possible. If it is not possible for you to submit your revision in a reasonable amount of time, we may have to consider your paper as a new submission.

Once again, thank you for submitting your manuscript to this journal and I look forward to receiving your revision.

Truly yours,

Editorial Office of **Bulletin of Pharmaceutical Sciences. Assiut**

Reviewers Recommendation:

Reviewer 1:

File Sent by Reviewer:

https://bpsa.journals.ekb.eg/jufile?__file=iT7jrCB.jB2tjHoVj366aC4GXMwRNlxFIn_3keRKn.Ah0eTv5CyWBkwB4uL.T4yZ6qbO_FWwm1wIPhNO5qBmxtBwobsfrURWmbN.UXQ5WhOJmDVv0oQx3RqO8CdqaTLImZTfYieCm4wsm_NweLq8nuY96Gvh3w9m05KJO036JD7ITxfA7VatKm7wAEAYjPnG

Reviewer Comment For Author:

Comments

1- "Research studies on pharmacognostic and antioxidant activity tests of methanol extract of *P. canescens* leaves have never been held"

This statement was mentioned in the last paragraph of the introduction. This is not correct because the DPPH study was done on the same plant extract before and we already mentioned the reference in the antioxidant study part in this manuscript (Quantitative antioxidant activity test, Material and methods part).

Please adjust this statement accordingly and mention obviously what is the main difference between your antioxidant DPPH study and the reported one, otherwise it will be just retrieve of data.

Here is the reference once more: Maigoda T, Judiono J, Purkon DB, Haerussana AN, Mulyo GP. Evaluation of *Peronema canescens* Leaves Extract: Fourier Transform Infrared Analysis, Total Phenolic and Flavonoid Content,





Comments

1- "Research studies on pharmacognostic and antioxidant activity tests of methanol extract of *P. canescens* leaves have never been held"

This statement was mentioned in the last paragraph of the introduction. This is not correct because the DPPH study was done on the same plant extract before and we already mentioned the reference in the antioxidant study part in this manuscript (Quantitative antioxidant activity test, Material and methods part).

Please adjust this statement accordingly and mention obviously what is the main difference between your antioxidant DPPH study and the reported one, otherwise it will be just retrieve of data.

Here is the reference once more: Maigoda T, Judiono J, Purkon DB, Haerussana AN, Mulyo GP. Evaluation of *Peronema canescens* Leaves Extract: Fourier Transform Infrared Analysis, Total Phenolic and Flavonoid Content, Antioxidant Capacity, and Radical Scavenger Activity. Open Access Macedonian Journal of Medical Sciences. 2022 Jan 6;10(A):117-24

2) Microscopic examination of *P. canescens* leaves was carried out on the transverse and longitudinal sections¹⁸. This statement in the microscopic examination / Material and methods section.

No longitudinal section was held in this study, only transverse section in mid-rib and lamina.

Concerning the microscopic examination part in both the material and methods, and results and discussion section, I highly recommend omitting this part. This part didn't add any new data concerning the plant under investigation. The mentioned data is not compatible with the diagram (Fig. 2), which have some mistakes as well. You can simply mention some reported data about general microscopical features of the plant in the introduction and omit this part from the material and methods, results and discussion sections and conclusion sections.

3) The material and methods section should include general procedure part which mention all the used chemicals in this study and mention its source. (i.e used solvents, quercetin, DPPH, TLC plates, chemical used in phytochemical screening.....) (Example. Quercetin, Sigma Aldrich, WA, USA).....

4) In the attached file, all the corrections done are marked through the manuscript with blue. The yellow highlighted parts need your revision according to the aforementioned comments .





Acceptance of Manuscript (Manuscript #BFSA-2206-1399 (R2))

External Inbox



Bulletin of Pharmaceu... 15:29
to me, mohammad.rizki.fad...



Manuscript ID: BFSA-2206-1399 (R2)

Manuscript Title: **Pharmacognostic Study and Antioxidant Activity of Sungkai (*Peronema canescens* Jack.) Methanol Extract from Indonesia**

Authors: Sutomo Sutomo, Arnida Arnida, Fachrida Rahmah Yulistati, Normaidah Normaidah, Mohammad Rizki Fadhil Pratama

Dear **Mr. Mohammad Rizki Fadhil Pratama**

This is to confirm that after technical and in-house evaluation, the above mentioned manuscript has been finalized and accepted for publication in the journal.

Looking forward to your future submissions.

Truly yours,

Editorial Office of **Bulletin of Pharmaceutical Sciences. Assiut**

