

Spatial distribution of vegetation diversity, timber production, and carbon storage in secondary tropical rainforest at South Borneo

by Pandu Yudha Adi Putra Wirabuana

Submission date: 20-Oct-2022 03:07PM (UTC+0900)

Submission ID: 1930363844

File name: Plagiarism_Checker.doc (992.5K)

Word count: 5460

Character count: 32019

Spatial distribution of vegetation diversity, timber production, and carbon storage in secondary tropical rainforest at South Borneo

SUYANTO¹, YUSANTO NUGROHO¹, MOEHAR MARAGHIY HARAHAP², LIA KUSUMANINGRUM⁴,
PANDU YUDHA ADI PUTRA WIRABUANA^{5,*}

¹ Faculty of Forestry, Universitas Lambung Mangkurat, Jln. Ahmad Yani km 36 Banjarbaru, South Kalimantan, Indonesia

² Faculty of Forestry, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Jln. Tri Dharma Ujung No. 1 Medan, North Sumatra, Indonesia

³ Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Sebelas Maret, Jln. Jend. Urip Sumoharjo No.116 Surakarta, Central Java, Indonesia

⁴ Faculty of Forestry, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Jln. Agro No. 1 Bulaksumur, Yogyakarta, Indonesia.

*email: pandu.yudha.a.p@ugm.ac.id

Manuscript received: Revision accepted:

Abstract. Sustainable management in secondary tropical rainforests requires basic information about stand characteristics, mainly related to productivity and biodiversity. This study aimed to quantify vegetation diversity, timber production, and carbon storage from various sites of secondary forests in South Borneo. Forest inventory was conducted using a census method at seven different natural forest management unit compartments. Four parameters were measured from each tree, including the type of species, commercial categories, tree diameter, and tree height. Individual tree volume and biomass were estimated using allometric equations, while carbon storage was determined using a conversion factor from biomass. Three indicators were used to evaluate vegetation diversity: richness, heterogeneity, and evenness. The analysis of correlations was applied to examine the relationship between vegetation diversity and stand productivity with a significant level of 5%. Results found that there were 41 tree species in the study site comprising 20 commercial and 21 non-commercial species. The highest richness (R') was recorded in compartment 18X by approximately 4.0, while the most increased heterogeneity (H') and evenness (E') were observed in compartment 18Y by around 2.4 and 0.7, respectively. The accumulation of timber production varied in each site, with a range of 45.46–68.32 m³ ha⁻¹. The highest carbon storage was noted in compartment 19Y (38.74±1.79t ha⁻¹), while the lowest was found in compartment 18W (20.76±0.93 t ha⁻¹). The relative contribution of commercial species to timber production and carbon storage was substantially higher than non-commercial species at all sites. However, there was not a significant correlation between vegetation diversity and stand productivity ($P>0.05$). Overall, our study concluded that the secondary tropical forest ecosystems in the site had good vegetation diversity, timber production, and carbon storage.

Keywords: biodiversity, ecosystems, inventory, natural forest, productivity

Running title: Spatial distribution of vegetation diversity

INTRODUCTION

Biodiversity conservation, climate change mitigation, and economic development are essential issues in sustainable forest management, particularly in Indonesia. In this context, the management of forests is expected to stabilize wood supplies for commercial industries, support species conservation, and reduce carbon emissions in the atmosphere (Wirabuana et al. 2021b). To tackle these challenges, information about stand dynamics is required as baseline considerations to determine alternative forest management strategies (Pretzsch et al. 2014). It is related to timber production and includes vegetation diversity and carbon storage.

In general, the quantity of timber production will provide adequate information about the economic value of the forest and its capacity for supporting industry viability (Simmons et al. 2021). It also determines the maximum annual allowable cutting from the forest ecosystem (Asamoah et al., 2020). The number of timber production also describes the regeneration stock from different life stages of trees to maintain business sustainability (Zambiasi et al. 2021). Meanwhile, vegetation diversity information indicates the stability of environmental health and forest ecosystems (Pan et al. 2018). It also shows how many species live in the forest and their relative contribution to ecological functions (Matatula et al. 2021). The vegetation diversity can also be used to understand the natural competition in the ecosystems (Duan et al. 2021). On another side, the accumulation of carbon storage indicates the ability of the forest ecosystem to support climate change mitigation, primarily for reducing carbon emissions (Sadono et al. 2021a). Many studies explain forest vegetation generally absorbs CO₂ through the photosynthesis process. First, it converts it into biomass (Sasaki et al. 2016, Ma et al. 2017, Kocurek et al. 2020, Wirabuana et al. 2020, Sadono et al. 2021b, Setiahari 2021). Then, the biomass will be distributed in components like roots, stems, branches, and foliage (Poorter et al. 2012, Yue et al. 2018, Altanzagas et al. 2019, Wirabuana et al. 2021a). Higher biomass indicates excellent carbon storage wherein the carbon absorption in forests

48 will increase along with vegetation age (Arora et al. 2014). Another study report the critical role of vegetation on carbon
49 absorption is also a part of the balance in biogeochemical cycles (Taillardat et al. 2018). To collect this information, forest
50 inventory is necessary to support forest managers in monitoring the stand dynamics in each forest ecosystem, including
51 secondary tropical rainforest (STR).

52 Before the 1990s, STR played an essential role in economic development. It provided wood materials for forest
53 industries like furniture, veneer, and plywood. STR also occupied the second position of important sectors contributing to
54 country revenue. However, the occurrence of deforestation has declined its contribution significantly to the gross domestic
55 product. Most STR currently have low productivity and high biodiversity loss (Gaveau et al. 2014). To anticipate this
56 condition, the government has conducted the effort of reforestation to recover forest productivity and prevent vegetation
57 extinction. However, this program is not easy to implement because STR commonly has high variation in land
58 configuration with low accessibility (Wardhana et al. 2020).

59 Moreover, soil quality in these sites is also dominated by mature soil with low fertility, like oxisols and ultisols (Fujii et
60 al. 2018). Therefore, it causes the low survival rate of vegetation generated from the reforestation program. Nevertheless,
61 several concession areas of STR still exist and maintain their functions for economic development, biodiversity
62 conservation, and climate change mitigation. One of them is a secondary tropical rainforest area managed by PT Aya
63 Yayang Indonesia (AYI) located in South Borneo. Although it has been managed for over 30 years, the information about
64 forest dynamics in this location is still limited, mainly related to vegetation diversity and carbon storage. Therefore, it is
65 essential to provide more comprehensive details on stand dynamics in this area to support better forest management
66 efforts.


67 This study aims to document vegetation diversity, timber production, and carbon storage from several compartments of
68 secondary tropical rainforests managed by AYI. This information will help forest manager to determine the forest planning
69 strategy, mainly related to yield regulation and harvesting schedules. Thus, even though it is managed as a production
70 zone, forest regeneration is still maintained and minimizes the risk of biodiversity loss. We hypothesize that:

- 71 (a) Every compartment has a different value for vegetation diversity, timber production, and carbon storage.
- 72 (b) Higher vegetation diversity significantly increases timber production and carbon storage.
- 73 (c) The contribution of non-commercial species on stand productivity is higher than commercial species.

74 MATERIALS AND METHODS

75 Study area

76 4 This study was conducted in the secondary tropical rainforest concession area managed by PT Aya Yayang Indonesia.
77 It is situated in Tabalong District, approximately 270 km from Banjarmasin, the capital city of South Borneo province. The
78 geographic coordinates of this area are located in $S1^{\circ}39' - 1^{\circ}40'$ and $E115^{\circ}29' - 115^{\circ}30'$. Altitude ranges from 225 to 470 m
79 above sea level. Land configuration is dominated by hills with a slope level of 15–40%. The average daily temperature is
80 27.6°C with a minimum of 25.7°C and a maximum of 30.3°C . The mean annual rainfall during the past ten years is $2,589$
81 mm year^{-1} , with an average air humidity of 87.6%. The highest rainfall is recorded in November. Dry periods are relatively
82 short, only around two months from July to August. Oxisols and ultisols dominate soil types with high acidity levels.
83



84
85
86 **Figure 1.** The study area of secondary tropical rainforest in South Borneo

87 Data Collection

88 Forest inventory was conducted using a census method at seven compartments of the secondary tropical rainforest
89 management unit, namely 18W, 18X, 18Y, 19W, 19X, 19Y, and 20Y. The total surveyed area reached 700 ha, with each
90 site 100 ha. To facilitate the measurement process, the field survey was conducted step by step using sub-plots of 20 m x
91 20 m. These sub-plots were arranged systematically; all trees in compartments could be covered and measured correctly.
92 Four parameters were measured from each tree, i.e., type of species, commercial categories, tree diameter, and tree height.
93 The determination of commercial and non-commercial species was undertaken, referring to the guidance from the
94 company. Tree diameter was measured using a phi band at 1.3 m aboveground, while tree height was quantified using a
95 Haga altimeter from aboveground to the top crown. Moreover, the coordinate of trees was also recorded using a global
96 positioning system (GPS).

97 Data Analysis

98 Three indicators were selected to describe vegetation diversity, i.e., richness, heterogeneity, and evenness. Vegetation
99 richness was determined by Margalef Index (R'), while its heterogeneity was quantified using Shannon-Wiener Index (H').
100 On another side, the evenness of vegetation was assessed by Pielou Evenness Index (E'). Detail equations for calculating
101 those indicators are expressed below (Nugroho et al. 2022):

$$R' = S - 1 / \ln(N) \quad (1)$$

$$H' = -\sum (n_i/N) (\ln n_i/N) \quad (2)$$

$$E' = H' / \ln(S) \quad (3)$$

102 where S was the number of species observed, N represented the total tree population in each compartment, and n_i described
103 the sum of trees for each species.

104 To determine the quantity of timber production, individual tree volume was calculated using the following equation:

$$V = 0.25 \pi dbh^2 h f \quad (4)$$

105 where V was tree volume (m^3), dbh indicated tree diameter (cm), h represented tree height (m), and f showed a constant of
106 form factor (0.6) (Akossou et al. 2013). Then, the timber production degree was assumed to be the mean stand volume in
107 hectare units. This value could be derived by dividing the total tree volume in a compartment by its area.

108 The quantification of carbon storage and CO_2 absorption were also calculated using a similar principle to timber
109 production. However, we used biomass accumulation as a conversion to compute both parameters. In this context, the
110 individual tree biomass was estimated using a generalized allometric model for secondary tropical rainforest as given
111 (Krisnawati et al. 2012):

$$B = 0.047454 dbh^{2.078} \quad (4)$$

113 B was aboveground biomass (kg), and dbh indicated tree diameter (cm). Next, the carbon stock of each tree was computed
114 by multiplying its biomass with a conversion factor of 0.46 (Latifah et al. 2018), while CO_2 absorption was estimated by
115 multiplying carbon stock with a constant of 3.67 (Latifah & Sulistiyono 2013). Then, the result was converted into a
116 hectare unit.

117 Descriptive analysis was selected to compare the value of vegetation diversity, timber production, and carbon storage
118 among different compartments based on the trend of the histogram and the summarized information from the table.
119 Meanwhile, the spatial distribution of three parameters was processed using QGIS. Finally, to evaluate the relationship
120 between vegetation diversity and stand productivity, both in timber production and carbon storage, Pearson correlation
121 analysis was applied with a significant level of 5%.

122 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

123 Vegetation Diversity

124 Results found that vegetation diversity among compartments was substantially different (Table 1). The highest species
125 abundance was recorded in the compartment of 18Y, while the lowest number of species was observed in the compartment
126 of 20Y. Similar trends were also discovered in the richness, heterogeneity, and evenness, wherein the highest value of
127 those indicators was noted in compartment 18Y. These findings directly confirmed our first hypothesis that assumed there
128 was different vegetation diversity between compartments in the study site.

129 The diversity of vegetation in secondary tropical rainforests was generally caused by the interaction between vegetation
130 and the environment. This process generated natural competition wherein trees compete with each other to obtain
131 sufficient resources to support their survival (Wirabuana et al. 2022b). On another side, environmental variation also
132 became a limiting factor for certain species; thus, it could inhibit several vegetation from growing well (Wang et al. 2019).
133 Consequently, the regeneration capacity of each species in this ecosystem was highly dynamic depending on their
134 adaptation to environmental conditions. Several previous studies also reported similar results wherein the natural
135 regeneration in secondary tropical rainforests was exceptionally dynamics due to the impact of intraspecific and

136 interspecific competition between trees for obtaining light, water, nutrients, and space (Barabás et al. 2016, Adler et al.
 137 2018, Yang et al. 2019).

138

Table 1. Comparison of species abundance, richness, heterogeneity, and evenness among compartments

Compartment	N species	Richness	Heterogeneity	Evenness
18W	32	4.01	1.91	0.55
18X	31	3.79	2.10	0.61
18Y	36	4.43	2.42	0.68
19W	32	3.99	1.96	0.57
19X	30	3.59	1.84	0.54
19Y	31	3.89	1.86	0.54
20Y	4	0.38	0.81	0.58

139

140

141

142

143

144


145

146

147

148

This study recorded that the heterogeneity of vegetation in the study location was dominated by medium classes with a range of 1.51–3.50 (Table 1) (Hidayat 2013). It was similar to previous studies that documented the secondary tropical rainforests commonly had medium vegetation biodiversity (Siregar and Undaharta 2018, Murdjoko et al. 2021, Tawer et al. 2021). This condition could happen because this site was managed using a selective cutting system; thus, only certain species were maintained to support the ecological function of the forest (Butarbutar 2014). In addition, most trees with a limit diameter of more than 50 cm and having commercial values were harvested to provide better-growing space for younger trees (Matangaran et al. 2019). Therefore, this scheme was expected to stabilize the regeneration capacity of secondary forests without sacrificing its economic benefits.



149

150

151

Figure 2. Spatial distribution of vegetation diversity in the study site

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

Our results also indicated that species distribution in the study site was not evenly distributed. It was shown by the evenness index value ranging from 0.54 to 0.68 (Table 1). These outcomes signified that most species in this location grew in groups (Hussain et al. 2012). It was not surprising since Dipterocarpaceae families dominated most species in secondary tropical rainforests. Many studies explained that these families naturally live in groups and have a specific preference for their habitat (Purwaningsih 2004, Hadi et al. 2019, Sari et al. 2019).

According to the results, it was seen that vegetation diversity in the study site was still maintained well. It also implied that the forest management activity in this area fulfills the principle of sustainability by minimizing the risk of biodiversity loss. However, the effort of enrichment planting is required to improve biodiversity in the compartment with low diversity level. This scheme will also facilitate the conservation of native species from the secondary tropical rainforests.

161

Timber Production

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

Summarized observation results documented that timber production in the study area ranged from $44.49 \pm 1.72 \text{ m}^3 \text{ ha}^{-1}$ to $68.32 \pm 2.69 \text{ m}^3 \text{ ha}^{-1}$ (Table 2). These values were substantially higher than the average productivity of Borneo's natural forests, ranging from $30 \text{ m}^3 \text{ ha}^{-1}$ (KLHK, 2019). Therefore, it indicated that the secondary tropical rainforest in this area had high productivity and could still support industry development. Moreover, this study recorded that the average timber production in each compartment was relatively different, wherein the most increased timber production was found in the compartment of 19Y. These findings also confirmed our first hypothesis that timber production was highly varied between compartments in secondary tropical rainforests.

171

Table 2. Comparison of timber production, biomass accumulation, carbon storage, and CO₂ absorption among compartments

Compartment	Timber production (m ³ ha ⁻¹)	Biomass accumulation (t ha ⁻¹)	Carbon stock (t ha ⁻¹)	CO ₂ absorption (t ha ⁻¹)
18W	44.49±1.72	45.13±2.02	20.76±0.93	76.18±3.40
18X	56.05±2.05	68.35±2.85	31.44±1.31	115.38±4.81
18Y	54.3±2.43	69.25±3.74	31.86±1.73	116.92±6.32
19W	45.56±1.86	48.83±2.42	22.46±1.12	82.44±4.08
19X	54.96±1.55	67.11±2.44	30.87±1.12	113.29±4.11
19Y	68.32±2.69	84.22±3.89	38.74±1.79	142.17±6.56
20Y	50.57±2.30	46.37±2.36	21.33±1.09	78.29±3.98

172

173

174

175

176

177


178

179

180

181

Interestingly, the compartment of 18Y only occupied the fourth position of the most productivity compartments, even though it had the highest vegetation diversity (Table 2). Our study also did not find a significant correlation between vegetation diversity and timber production (Table 3). It was in contrast to previous studies that documented a substantial effect of vegetation diversity on stand productivity in tropical rainforest ecosystems (Cai et al. 2016, Gevaña et al. 2017, McNicol et al. 2018). These findings rejected our second hypothesis that higher vegetation diversity significantly increases timber production in the study site. However, several kinds of literature also found a similar outcome to ours wherein there was no significant relationship between vegetation diversity and forest productivity (Belote et al. 2011, Bravo-Oviedo et al. 2021). In this context, forest ecosystems may have diverse patterns regarding the connection between biodiversity and productivity.



182

183

184

185

186

Figure 3. Spatial distribution of timber production and carbon storage in the study site

Table 3. Correlation between diversity indicators and stand productivity parameters

Diversity parameter	Productivity parameter	
	Timber production	Carbon Storage
Richness	0.123 ^{ns}	0.420 ^{ns}
Heterogeneity	0.116 ^{ns}	0.442 ^{ns}
Evenness	-0.056 ^{ns}	0.098 ^{ns}

ns: non-significant based on correlation test

187

188

189

190

191

192

193


194

195

196

Forest ecosystems in the study site had high productivity since their vegetation was dominated by trees with a diameter of more than 50 cm (Figure 4). On another side, the frequency of trees with a diameter lower than 20 cm was only around 2%. These indicated there was sufficient stock of timber production for selective cutting. Moreover, the relative contribution of non-commercial species to total timber production was considerably lower than commercial species (Figure 4). It demonstrated that the current standing stock had high economic value. These results confirmed our third hypothesis that commercial species' relative contribution to stand productivity was higher than non-commercial species. Although this site had increased productivity, forest managers should be careful to determine the quantity of annual allowable cutting (AAC) since the implementation of timber extraction can be impacted young trees' regeneration. Most

197 importantly, the process of timber extraction should not harvest trees that generate seeds for maintaining natural
 198 regeneration.




199
 200
 201

Figure 4. Diameter distribution of tree species in the study site

202 **Carbon Storage**

203 Carbon storage in each compartment varied, wherein the carbon stock in the study site ranged from $20.76 \pm 0.93 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ to
 204 $38.74 \pm 1.79 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ (Table 3). The highest CO_2 absorption was recorded in the compartment of 19Y by around $142.17 \pm 6.56 \text{ t}$
 205 ha^{-1} . In addition, the relative contribution of commercial species on carbons stock was considerably higher than species
 206 non-commercial (Figure 4). These findings directly verified our first and third hypotheses in this study. However, similarly
 207 to timber production, our study did not find a significant effect of vegetation diversity on carbon storage in this area (Table
 208 3).



209
 210
 211

Figure 4. The relative contribution of commercial and non-commercial species on timber production and carbon storage

23
212 The accumulation of carbon storage in forest ecosystems has a positive relationship with stand productivity. Higher
213 stand productivity increases carbon stock since it was generated from photosynthesis (Cai et al. 2016, Brancalion et al.
214 2019, Alam et al. 2022, Wirabuana et al. 2022a). A study reported the average carbon stock in tropical rainforest
215 ecosystems was 51.18 t ha⁻¹ (Butarbutar et al. 2019). This value is higher than carbon storage in the study site. However,
216 this study's carbon stock measurement is still limited to the tree level. We still have not quantified the carbon stock in other
217 life stages like poles, saplings, seedlings, and understorey. Thereby, the actual carbon storage in the study area may be
218 higher than the current estimation. It is also essential for forest managers in the study location to consider the quantity of
219 carbon stock as the additional value of sustainable natural resources management.

220 Implication Results

221 5 This study concluded that the secondary tropical rainforest ecosystems in the study site had good vegetation diversity,
222 timber production, and carbon storage. Furthermore, it indicated that forest managers had applied sustainability principles
223 in the context of operation scale. Nevertheless, some improvements are still required to increase the value of forest
224 management on this site. Besides conducting enrichment planting in the compartment with low biodiversity levels, we also
225 suggest forest managers determine the scheme of yield regulation to minimize forest disturbance due to the impact of
226 harvesting operations. Furthermore, the cutting process has a high potential to decline regeneration capacity since the
227 felled trees will override the younger plants like seedlings and saplings.

228 We also suggest forest managers identify the distribution of mother trees in their concession area for obtaining seed as
229 plant material in artificial regeneration. The seed collection is also essential to maintain the genetic diversity in this area.
230 On another side, it is also necessary to document the carbon dynamics during the rotation periods, including loss and
231 increment, since it will provide comprehensive information about forest management's effectiveness in tackling the climate
232 change mitigation issue. We also encourage forest managers in this area to share their knowledge with other natural
233 resources managers who fail to manage the secondary tropical rainforest ecosystems. It is highly required since forest
234 ecosystems play an essential role in economic development, climate change mitigation, and biodiversity conservation.
235 They have a strategic position in hydrological cycles related to food security and natural disaster.

236 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

237 The authors address our appreciation to the management of PT Aya Yayang Indonesia, who allows us to conduct this
238 study in their concession forest area. We are also grateful to the Faculty of Forestry, Lambung Mangkurat University,
239 which provides a surveyor team to help with forest inventory. Finally, the authors also thank the anonymous reviewer who
240 offers suggestions to improve this article.

241 REFERENCES

- 242 Adler PB, Smull D, Beard KH, Choi RT, Furniss T, Kulmatiski A, Meiners JM, Tredennick AT, Veblen KE. 2018. Competition and coexistence in plant
243 communities: intraspecific competition is stronger than interspecific competition. *Ecology Letters* 21: 1319–1329. - DOI: 10.1111/ele.13098
244 Akossou AYJ, Arzouma S, Attakpa EY, Fonton NH, Kokou K. 2013. Scaling of teak (*Tectona grandis*) logs by the xylometer technique: accuracy of
245 volume equations and influence of the log length. *Diversity* 5: 99–113. - DOI: 10.3390/d5010099
246 Alam S, Ginting S, Hemon MT, Leomo S, Kilowasid LMH, Karim J, Nugroho Y, Matatula J, Wirabuana PYAP. 2022. Influence of land cover types on
247 soil quality and carbon storage in Moramo Education Estate, Southeast Sulawesi, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity* 23:
248 4371–4376. - doi: 10.13057/biodiv/d230901
249 Altanzagas B, Luo Y, Altansukh B, Dorjsuren C, Fang J, Hu H. 2019. Allometric equations for estimating the aboveground biomass of five forest tree
250 species in Khangai, Mongolia. *Forests* 10: 1–17. - DOI: 10.3390/f10080661
251 Arora G, Chaturvedi S, Kaushal R, Nain A, Tewari S. 2014. Growth, biomass, carbon stocks, and sequestration in an age series of *Populus deltoides*
252 plantations in Tarai region of central Himalaya. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry* 38: 550–560. - DOI: 10.3906/tar-1307-94
253 Asamoah O, Kuittinen S, Danquah JA, Quartey ET, Bamwesigye D, Boateng CM, Pappinen A. 2020. Assessing wood waste by timber industry as a
254 contributing factor to deforestation in Ghana. *Forests* 11: 1–15. - DOI: 10.3390/f11090939
255 Barabás G, Michalska-Smith MJ, Allesina S. 2016. The effect of intra- and interspecific competition on coexistence in multispecies communities.
256 *American Naturalist* 188: 1–12. - DOI: 10.1086/686901
257 Belote RT, Prisley S, Jones RH, Fitzpatrick M, de Beurs K. 2011. Forest productivity and tree diversity relationships depend on ecological context within
258 mid-Atlantic and Appalachian forests (USA). *Forest Ecology and Management* 261: 1315–1324. - DOI: 10.1016/j.foreco.2011.01.010
259 Brancalion PHS, Campoe O, Mendes JCT, Noel C, Moreira GG, van Melis J, Stape JL, Guillemot J. 2019. Intensive silviculture enhances biomass
260 accumulation and tree diversity recovery in tropical forest restoration. *Ecological Applications* 29. - DOI: 10.1002/eap.1847
261 Bravo-Oviedo A, Kastendick DN, Alberdi I, Woodall CW. 2021. Similar tree species richness-productivity response but differing effects on carbon
262 stocks and timber production in eastern US and continental Spain. *Science of the Total Environment* 793: 1–10. - doi:
263 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.148399
264 Butarbutar T. 2014. Silviculture system of Indonesia selective cutting for mitigation on climate change in the perspective of REDD+. *Jurnal Analisis*
265 *Kebijakan Kehutanan* 11: 163–173. - doi: 10.20886/jakk.2014.11.2.163-173
266 Butarbutar T, Soedirman S, Neupane PR, Köhl M. 2019. Carbon recovery following selective logging in tropical rainforests in Kalimantan, Indonesia.
267 *Forest Ecosystems* 6: 1–14. - doi: 10.1186/s40663-019-0195-x
268 Cai H, Di X, Chang SX, Jin G. 2016. Stand density and species richness affect carbon storage and net primary productivity in early and late successional
269 temperate forests differently. *Ecological Research* 31: 525–533. - doi: 10.1007/s11284-016-1361-z
270 Duan T, Zhang J, Wang Z. 2021. Responses and indicators of composition, diversity, and productivity of plant communities at different levels of

271 disturbance in a wetland ecosystem. *Diversity* 13: 13–16. - DOI: 10.3390/d13060252

272 Fujii K, Shibata M, Kitajima K, Ichie T, Kitayama K, Turner BL. 2018. Plant–soil interactions maintain biodiversity and functions of tropical forest

273 ecosystems. *Ecological Research* 33: 149–160. - DOI: 10.1007/s11284-017-1511-y

274 Gaveau DLA, Sloan S, Molidena E, Yaen H, Sheil D, Abram NK, Ancrenaz M, Nasi R, Quinones M, Wielaard N, Meijaard E. 2014. Four decades of

275 forest persistence, clearance and logging on Borneo. *PLoS ONE* 9: 1–11. - DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0101654

276 Gevaña DT, Camacho LD, Camacho SC. 2017. Stand density management and blue carbon stock of monospecific mangrove plantation in Bohol,

277 Philippines. *Forestry Studies* 66: 75–83. - doi: 10.1515/fsmu-2017-0008

278 Hadi S, Rafidinal R, Linda R. 2019. Density and distribution pattern of *Shorea Leprosula* Miq. in Panti branch research station Gunung Palung National

279 Park South Borneo. *Jurnal Protobiont* 8: 229–235. - doi: 10.26418/protobiont.v8i3.36877

280 Hidayat O. 2013. Diversity avifauna species in KHDTK Hambal, East Nusa Tenggara Timur. *Journal of Forestry Research Wallacea* 2: 12. - DOI:

281 10.18330/jwallacea.2013.vol2isspp12-25

282 Hussain NA, Ali AH, Lazem LF. 2012. Ecological indices of key biological groups in Southern Iraqi marshland during 2005–2007. *Mesopotamian*

283 *Journal of Marine Science* 27: 112–125.

284 Kementerian Lingkungan Hidup dan Kehutanan (KLHK). 2019. Public press release: improving productivity of natural forest using intensive silviculture.

285 SP. 028/HUMAS/PP/HMS.3/01/2019

286 Kocurek M, Kornas A, Wierzchnicki R, Lüttge U, Miszalski Z. 2020. Importance of stem photosynthesis in plant carbon allocation of *Clusia minor*.

287 *Trees - Structure and Function* 34: 1009–1020. - DOI: 10.1007/s00468-020-01977-w

288 Krisnawati H, Imanuddin R, Adinugroho WC. 2012. Monograph allometric models for estimating tree biomass at various forest ecosystems types in

289 Indonesia. Ministry of Forestry, Center for research and development of conservation and rehabilitation, Bogor, pp. 1–141. - DOI:

290 10.13140/RG.2.1.4139.2161

291 Latifah S, Muhdi M, Purwoko A, Tanjung E. 2018. Estimation of aboveground tree biomass *Toona sureni* and *Coffea arabica* in agroforestry system of

292 Simalungun, North Sumatra, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity* 19: 620–625. - doi: 10.13057/biodiv/d190239

293 Latifah S, Sulistiyono N. 2013. Carbon Sequestration Potential in Aboveground Biomass of Hybrid *Eucalyptus* Plantation Forest. *Journal of Tropical*

294 *Forest Management* 19: 54–62. - doi: 10.7226/jtfm.19.1.54

295 Ma L, Shen C, Lou D, Fu S, Guan D. 2017. Ecosystem carbon storage in forest fragments of differing patch size. *Scientific Reports* 7: 1–8. - DOI:

296 10.1038/s41598-017-13598-4

297 Matangaran JR, Putra EI, Diatin I, Mujahid M, Adlan Q. 2019. Residual stand damage from selective logging of tropical forests: A comparative case

298 study in central Kalimantan and West Sumatra, Indonesia. *Global Ecology and Conservation* 19: 1–9. - DOI: 10.1016/j.gecco.2019.e00688

299 Matatula J, Afandi AY, Wirabuana PYAP. 2021. A comparison of stand structure, species diversity, and aboveground biomass between natural and

300 planted mangroves in Sikka, East Nusa Tenggara, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity* 22: 1098–1103. - doi:

301 10.13057/biodiv/d220303

302 McNicol IM, Ryan CM, Dexter KG, Ball SMJ, Williams M. 2018. Aboveground carbon storage and its links to stand structure, tree diversity and floristic

303 composition in South-Eastern Tanzania. *Ecosystems* 21: 740–754. - DOI: 10.1007/s10021-017-0180-6

304 Murdjoko A, Djitmau DA, Ungirwalu A, Sinery AS, Siburian RHS, Mardiyadi Z, Wanma AO, Wanma JF, Rumatora A, Mofu WY, Worabai D, May

305 NL, Jitmau MM, Mentansan GAF, Krey K, Musaad I, Manaf M, Abdullah Y, Mamboai H, Panuji KE, Raharjo S, Kilmaskossu A, Bachri S, Nur-

306 Alzair NA, Benu NMH, Tambing J, Kuswandi R, Khayati L, Lekitoo K. 2021. Pattern of tree diversity in lowland tropical forest in Nikiwar, West

307 Papua, Indonesia. *Dendrobiology* 85: 78–91. - doi: 10.12657/denbio.085.008

308 Nugroho Y, Suyanto, Makinudin D, Aditia S, Yulimasita DD, Afandi AY, Harahap MM, Matatula J, Wirabuana PYAP. 2022. Vegetation diversity,

309 structure and composition of three forest ecosystems in Angsana coastal area, South Kalimantan, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas Journal of Biological*

310 *Diversity* 23: 2640–2647. - doi: 10.13057/biodiv/d230547

311 Pan Y, McCullough K, Hollinger DY. 2018. Forest biodiversity, relationships to structural and functional attributes, and stability in New England forests.

312 *Forest Ecosystems* 5: 1–12. - DOI: 10.1186/s40663-018-0132-4

313 Poorter H, Niklas KJ, Reich PB, Oleksyn J, Poot P, Mommer L. 2012. Biomass allocation to leaves, stems and roots: Meta-analyses of interspecific

314 variation and environmental control. *New Phytologist* 193: 30–50. - doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03952.x

315 Pretzsch H, Biber P, Schütze G, Uhl E, Rötzer T. 2014. Forest stand growth dynamics in Central Europe have accelerated since 1870. *Nature*

316 *Communications* 5: 1–10. - DOI: 10.1038/ncomms5967

317 Purwaningsih. 2004. Ecological distribution of Dipterocarpaceae species in Indonesia. *Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity* 5: 89–95. - doi:

318 10.13057/biodiv/d050210

319 Sadono R, Wardhana W, Idris F, Wirabuana PYAP. 2021a. Carbon storage and energy production of *Eucalyptus urophylla* developed in dryland

320 ecosystems at East Nusa Tenggara. *Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management* 9: 3107–3114. - DOI: 10.15243/JDMLM.2021.091.3107

321 Sadono R, Wardhana W, Wirabuana PYAP, Idris F. 2021b. Allometric equations for estimating aboveground biomass of *Eucalyptus urophylla* S.T. Blake

322 in East Nusa Tenggara. *Journal of Tropical Forest Management* 27: 24–31. - DOI: 10.7226/jtfm.27.1.24

323 Sari VM, Manurung TF, Iskandar AM. 2019. Identification of tree species in the Dipterocarpaceae Family at Sambas Botanical Gardens Sambas Regency

324 West Kalimantan. *Jurnal Hutan Lestari* 10: 370–386.

325 Sasaki N, Asner GP, Pan Y, Knorr W, Durst PB, Ma HO, Abe I, Lowe AJ, Koh LP, Putz FE. 2016. Sustainable management of tropical forests can

326 reduce carbon emissions and stabilize timber production. *Frontiers in Environmental Science* 4: 1–13. - doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2016.00050

327 Setiahadi R. 2021. Comparison of individual tree aboveground biomass estimation in community forests using allometric equation and expansion factor

328 in magetan, east java, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity* 22: 3899–3909. - doi: 10.13057/biodiv/d220936

329 Simmons EA, Morgan TA, Hayes SW, Ng K, Berg EC. 2021. Timber use, processing capacity, and capability within the USDA forest service, rocky

330 mountain region timber-processing area. *Journal of Forestry* 118: 233–243. - doi: 10.1093/JOF/FORE/FVAA011

331 Siregar M, Undaharta NKE. 2018. Tree standing dynamics after 30 years in a secondary forest of Bali, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas Journal of Biological*

332 *Diversity* 19: 22–30. - doi: 10.13057/biodiv/d190104

333 Taillardat P, Friess DA, Lupascu M. 2018. Mangrove blue carbon strategies for climate change mitigation are most effective at the national scale.

334 *Biology Letters* 14: 1–7. - doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2018.0251

335 Tawer P, Maturbongs R, Murdjoko A, Jitmau M, Djitmau D, Siburian R, Ungirwalu A, Wanma A, Mardiyadi Z, Wanma J, Rumatora A, Mofu W, Sinery

336 A, Fatem S, Benu N, Kuswandi R, Lekitoo K, Khayati L, Tambing J. 2021. Vegetation dynamic post-disturbance in tropical rain forest of bird's

337 head peninsula of west Papua, Indonesia. *Annals of Silvicultural Research* 46. - doi: 10.12899/ASR-2145

338 Wang Z, Du A, Xu Y, Zhu W, Zhang J. 2019. Factors limiting the growth of eucalyptus and the characteristics of growth and water use underwater and

339 fertilizer management in the dry season of Leizhou Peninsula, China. *Agronomy* 9: 1–17. - DOI: 10.3390/agronomy9100590

340 Wardhana W, Widyatmanti W, Soraya E, Soeprijadi D, Larasati B, Umarhadi DA, Hutomo YHT, Idris F, Wirabuana PYAP. 2020. A hybrid approach of

341 remote sensing for mapping vegetation biodiversity in a tropical rainforest. *Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity* 21: 3946–3953. - doi:

342 10.13057/biodiv/d210904

343 Wirabuana PYAP, Hendrati RL, Baskorowati L, Susanto M, Mashudi M, Budi Santoso Sulistiadi H, Setiadi D, Sumardi D, Alam S. 2022a. Growth

344 performance, biomass accumulation, and energy production in age series of clonal teak plantation. *Forest Science and Technology* 18: 67–75. -

345 DOI: 10.1080/21580103.2022.2063952

346 Wirabuana PYAP, Mulyana B, Meinata A, Idris F, Sadono R. 2021a. Allometric equations for estimating merchantable wood and aboveground biomass

347 of community forest tree species in Jepara District. *Forestry Ideas* 27: 496–515.
348 Wirabuana PYAP, Sadono R, Juniario S, Idris F. 2020. Interaction of fertilization and weed control influences on growth , biomass , and carbon in
349 eucalyptus hybrid (*E. pellita* × *E. brassica*). *Journal of Tropical Forest Management* 26: 144–154. - DOI: 10.7226/jtjm.26.2.144
350 Wirabuana PYAP, Sadono R, Matatula J. 2022b. Competition influences tree dimension, biomass distribution, and leaf area index of Eucalyptus
351 Urophylla in dryland ecosystems at East Nusa Tenggara. *Agriculture and Forestry* 68: 191–206. - doi: 10.17707/AgricultForest.68.1.12
352 Wirabuana PYAP, Setiahad R, Sadono R, Lukito M, Martono DS. 2021b. The influence of stand density and species diversity into timber production
353 and carbon stock in community forest. *Indonesian Journal of Forestry Research* 8: 13–22. - doi: 10.20886/ijfr.2021.8.1.13-22
354 Yang XZ, Zhang WH, He QY. 2019. Effects of intraspecific competition on growth, architecture and biomass allocation of *Quercus Liaotungensis*.
355 *Journal of Plant Interactions* 14: 284–294. - DOI: 10.1080/17429145.2019.1629656
356 Yue JW, Guan JH, Deng L, Zhang JG, Li G, Du S. 2018. Allocation pattern and accumulation potential of carbon stock in natural spruce forests in
357 northwest China. *PeerJ* 2018: 1–21. - doi: 10.7717/peerj.4859
358 Zambiasi DC, Fantini AC, Piotto D, Siminski A, Vibrans AC, Oller DC, Piazza GE, Peña-Claros M. 2021. Timber stock recovery in a chronosequence of
359 secondary forests in Southern Brazil: Adding value to restored landscapes. *Forest Ecology and Management* 495: 1–11. - DOI:
360 10.1016/j.foreco.2021.119352
361

Spatial distribution of vegetation diversity, timber production, and carbon storage in secondary tropical rainforest at South Borneo

ORIGINALITY REPORT

14%

SIMILARITY INDEX

12%

INTERNET SOURCES

8%

PUBLICATIONS

2%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	smujo.id Internet Source	4%
2	ejournal.forda-mof.org Internet Source	2%
3	biodiversitas.mipa.uns.ac.id Internet Source	2%
4	journal.ipb.ac.id Internet Source	1%
5	Wahyu Wardhana, Wirastuti Widyatmanti, Emma Soraya, Djoko Soeprijadi et al. "Hybrid Remote Sensing for Estimating Timber Production and Carbon in Tropical Rainforest", 2020 6th International Conference on Science and Technology (ICST), 2020 Publication	1%
6	Pandu Yudha Adi Putra Wirabuana, Rina Laksmi Hendrati, Liliana Baskorowati, Mudji	<1%

Susanto et al. "Growth performance, biomass accumulation, and energy production in age series of clonal teak plantation", Forest Science and Technology, 2022

Publication

7

Sitti Leomo, Syamsu Alam, Enal Afrianto, La Ode Jamil, Muhidin .. "Cover Crop Residue Effects on Soil and Corn Performance in Ex-Nickel Mining Soils", Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences, 2021

Publication

<1 %

8

doaj.org
Internet Source

<1 %

9

docplayer.net
Internet Source

<1 %

10

jurnal.balithutmakassar.org
Internet Source

<1 %

11

www.agricultforest.ac.me
Internet Source

<1 %

12

"Land Degradation Neutrality: Achieving SDG 15 by Forest Management", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2022

Publication

<1 %

13

Gerhard Pichler, Jose Alejandro Poveda Lopez, Gianni Picchi, Enda Nolan, Maximilian Kastner, Karl Stampfer, Martin Kühmaier. "Comparison of remote sensing based RFID and standard

<1 %

tree marking for timber harvesting",
Computers and Electronics in Agriculture,
2017

Publication

14

www.frontiersin.org

Internet Source

<1 %

15

www.zora.uzh.ch

Internet Source

<1 %

16

Jaime L. Johnson, Jacqueline L. Raw, Janine B. Adams. "First report on carbon storage in a warm-temperate mangrove forest in South Africa", Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 2020

Publication

<1 %

17

Manoj Kumar, Shalini Dhyani, Naveen Kalra. "Chapter 1 Protecting Forest Structure and Functions for Resilience and Sustainability Concerns in the Changing World", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2022

Publication

<1 %

18

edepot.wur.nl

Internet Source

<1 %

19

link.springer.com

Internet Source

<1 %

20

tel.archives-ouvertes.fr

Internet Source

<1 %

21	www.fao.org Internet Source	<1 %
22	"Mixed-Species Forests", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2017 Publication	<1 %
23	Managing Forest Ecosystems, 2008. Publication	<1 %
24	Rodine Tchifo Lontsi, Marife D. Corre, Oliver van Straaten, Edzo Veldkamp. "Changes in soil organic carbon and nutrient stocks in conventional selective logging versus reduced-impact logging in rainforests on highly weathered soils in Southern Cameroon", Forest Ecology and Management, 2019 Publication	<1 %

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On