# **BUKTI KORESPONDENSI ARTIKEL**

## Identitas dan indeksasi

Judul Artikel : Portfolio Assessment Based on Innovative Mathematics Learning in Elementary School

Nama Jurnal : Eurasian Journal of Educational Research

Link Jurnal : https://ejer.com.tr/portfolio-assessment-based-on-innovative-mathematics-learning-in-elementary-school/

Link Indexing : https://www.scimagojr.com/journalsearch.php?q=11800154546&tip=sid&clean=0

Editor in Chief <ejer.editor@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 05, 2022, at 10:10 AM

To: Darmiyati <a href="mailto:darmiyati@ulm.ac.id">darmiyati@ulm.ac.id</a>

## Darmiyati:

Thank you for submitting the manuscript, "Portfolio Assessment Based on Innovative Mathematics Learning in Elementary School," to the Eurasian Journal of Educational Research.

With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal website:

Submission URL: https://ejer.com/index.php/ejer/authorDashboard/submission/163 Username: darmiyati

If your paper pass reviews processes and meets our standards it is necessary to make the payment. **Publication fee** (covers: publishing, review, and databases indexing costs): **2000** USD.

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor in Chief

Editor in Chief <ejer.editor@gmail.com>

Wed, Feb 02, 2022, at 12:34 PM

To: Darmiyati <a href="mailto:darmiyati@ulm.ac.id">darmiyati@ulm.ac.id</a>

## Darmiyati:

The paper "Portfolio Assessment Based on Innovative Mathematics Learning in Elementary School," has been preliminarily reviewed.

Reviewers have given their comments on your paper. Please do the following when you resubmit your revised version:

- (i) All corrections as per the reviewers' comments and prepare a table/response letter showing corrections done. Your corrections will not be accepted in the absence of this response letter/table.
- (ii) All authors' names, emails and affiliations checked and corrected
- (iii) Add ORCID IDs of all authors

Please ensure the submission of the revision within 1 month of receiving this mail either both as a reply to this mail and in the online system.

The paper can be resubmitted for a review after huge improvements, and this does not guarantee it will be approved.

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Eurasian Journal of Educational Research (EJER)

#### Reviewer 1

I like the way the authors conducted this very extensive study, and using up-to-date references is appreciable for the development of a portfolio assessment handbook to promote the standard of mathematics learning results in Indonesia. However, there are a few flaws in it. The language has some grammar mistakes and needs to be checked thoroughly. One major concern that I have is that the article looks more like a small version of a thesis rather than a manuscript. The authors must work on it intelligently. I have comments for some important sections. The rest all look fine.

- 1. The Introduction section is quite lengthy. It can be shortened up to 2-3 pages smartly. Try to be very specific in giving the information. I would suggest including a figure related to the enrollment rate in Indonesia from 2015 to 2021 by education level. I will also appreciate adding a paragraph linked with a novel evaluation method for evaluating Mathematics pupils. Please specify why developing a portfolio assessment handbook is important and significant. The following lines need to be written very clearly as they are the key points of this study. In the present form, they are not much understandable. "Students learn mathematics in elementary school to develop their understanding of mathematical concepts and the relationships between concepts......."
- 2. There is a need to enrich the literature review more, specially elaborating on the key benefits of learning mathematics, the presentation of mathematical aptitude, in terms of Indonesian global ranking, with examples presenting actual facts and figures.
- 3. Which research methodology add sampling technique did the author authors apply? Please explain with complete information of the processes under the development model based on research and development (R&D). Present the validity of the instrument with major components of the portfolio assessment tools.

- 4. The results are clearly written. However, it is better to add a table for the result of expert validation analysis (instrument expert) by properly mentioning the criterion. Also, there is a need to explain in detail the assessment of the internal test of experts and practitioners on the RPP product developed.
- 5. The conclusion section is well written; however, the implications must be more detailed, and the authors should also discuss future research avenues separately.
- 6. There are many grammatical issues in the article. There is a need to avail of the services of professional poof editors or native speakers to enhance the manuscript's readability.

#### Reviewer 2

Portfolio Assessment Based on Innovative Mathematics Learning in Elementary School is a well-written and well-presented piece of research. Considering continuous room for improvement in research, there are a few suggestions that the authors should consider making their manuscript worth publishing in this prestigious journal. These include:

- In the abstract, the methods are not well presented. Also, there is a need to add a separate heading for the originality/value of conducting this study.
- Authors should elaborate on education impacts students' learning outcomes by influencing the future caliber of a nation's human resources with examples. Also, the authors should explain the gap (contextual and theoretical) of the study domain in detail by clearly stating the study objectives.
- The literature review needs to be much more clearly written. The ideas are there, but they need to be explained further, and the ideas need to be more clearly linked together. An in-depth literature review is required to build a hypothesis with a clear understanding of the main concepts.
- In the methodology section, Data Collection Process, Study Sample, and Sampling Technique need to be separately included by the authors as subheadings with adequate information
- The results and discussion section are well articulated by the authors.
- The conclusion section should be more concise and to the point, with a clear statement of results and implications
- There are many grammatical issues in the article. Therefore, it is recommended that authors should avail the services of professional proof editors to enhance their work quality.
- Authors should add DOIs for all the references as per journal requirements

Editor in Chief <ejer.editor@gmail.com>

Thu, Apr 07, 2022, at 09:17 AM

To: Darmiyati <a href="mailto:darmiyati@ulm.ac.id">darmiyati@ulm.ac.id</a>

## Darmiyati:

Thank you for submitting revision of the manuscript, "Portfolio Assessment Based on Innovative Mathematics Learning in Elementary School," to Eurasian Journal of Educational Research.

With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Submission URL: https://ejer.com/index.php/ejer/authorDashboard/submission/163 Username: darmiyati

If your paper pass reviews processes and meets our standards it is necessary to make the payment. **Publication fee** (covers: publishing, review, and databases indexing costs): **2000** USD.

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor in Chief

# RESPONSE TO REVIEWER-A

Sr.No.	Reviewer's Comment	Response
	I like the way the authors conducted this very	Thanks a lot, dear reviewer, for allowing me to
	extensive study, and using up-to-date references	revise the manuscript. I am very grateful for all
	is appreciable for the development of a portfolio	the valid and valuable comments. These helped
	assessment handbook to promote the standard of	us a lot to improve our work.
	mathematics learning results in Indonesia.	
	However, there are a few flaws in it. The	
	language has some grammar mistakes and needs	
	to be checked thoroughly. One major concern	
	that I have is that the article looks more like a	
	small version of a thesis rather than a manuscript.	
	The authors must work on it intelligently. I have	
	comments for some important sections. The rest	
	all look fine.	
1.	The Introduction section is quite lengthy. It can	Dear reviewer, I have revised the introduction
	be shortened up to 2-3 pages smartly. Try to be	sector section.
	very specific in giving the information.	Following your kind guidelines, I have added
	I would suggest including a figure related to the	the figure related to the enrollment rate in
	enrollment rate in Indonesia from 2015 to 2021	Indonesia from 2015 to 2021 by education
	by education level.	level.
	I will also appreciate adding a paragraph linked	Also, I have added the paragraph linked with a
	with a novel evaluation method for evaluating	novel evaluation method for evaluating
	Mathematics pupils.	Mathematics pupils
	Please specify why developing a portfolio	Done as per guidelines.
	assessment handbook is important and	
	significant.	Done as per guidelines.
	The following lines need to be written very	Please see pages 2-4
	clearly as they are the key points of this study. In	
	the present form, they are not much	
	understandable. "Students learn mathematics in	
	elementary school to develop their understanding	
	of mathematical concepts and the relationships	
	between concepts"	

2.	There is a need to enrich the literature review more, specially elaborating on the key benefits of learning mathematics, the presentation of mathematical aptitude, in terms of Indonesian global ranking, with examples presenting actual facts and figures.	Dear reviewer, following your kind guidelines, I have revised the literature review thoroughly and elaborated on the key benefits of learning mathematics and the presentation of mathematical aptitude in Indonesian global ranking, with examples presenting actual facts and figures. Please see pages 4-8
3	Which research methodology add sampling technique did the author authors apply? Please explain with complete information of the processes under the development model based on research and development (R&D). Present the validity of the instrument with major components of the portfolio assessment tools.	Dear reviewer, following your kind guidelines, I have amended the method section and added the required details Please see pages 11-12
4	The results are clearly written.  However, it is better to add a table for the result of expert validation analysis (instrument expert) by properly mentioning the criterion.  Also, there is a need to explain in detail the assessment of the internal test of experts and practitioners on the RPP product developed.	Dear reviewer, many thanks for your kind guidelines. I have thoroughly revised the results sections and added a table for the result of expert validation analysis (instrument expert)  I have also explained in detail the assessment of the internal test of experts and practitioners on the RPP product developed.  Please see pages: 14-17
5	The conclusion section is well written; however, the implications must be more detailed, and the authors should also discuss future research avenues separately.	Dear reviewer, many thanks for your kind guidelines. I have thoroughly revised the conclusion section as per your kind suggestions.  Please see pages 18-21
6	There are many grammatical issues in the article. There is a need to avail of the services of professional poof editors or native speakers to enhance the manuscript's readability.	Dear reviewer, following your kind guidelines, I have now proof-edited the whole manuscript.

# **RESPONSE TO REVIEWER-B**

Sr. No.	Reviewer's Comment	Response
	Portfolio Assessment Based on Innovative	Thanks very much, dear reviewer, for your kind
	Mathematics Learning in Elementary School is	remarks and for allowing to revise manuscript. I
	a well-written and well-presented piece of	am very grateful for all the valid and valuable
	research. Considering continuous room for	comments. These helped us a lot to improve our
	improvement in research, there are a few	work.
	suggestions that the authors should consider	
	making their manuscript worth publishing in	
	this prestigious journal. These include;	
1	In the abstract, the methods are not well	Dear reviewer, I have revised the abstract
	presented. Also, there is a need to add a	following your kind guidelines. Also added
	separate heading for the originality/value of	originality/value at the end of the abstract
	conducting this study.	Please see page 1
2	Authors should elaborate on education impacts	Dear reviewer, I have revised the introduction
	students' learning outcomes by influencing the	and explained the required part with examples
	future caliber of a nation's human resources	Also, updated gap analysis
	with examples. Also, the authors should explain	Please see pages 2-4
	the gap (contextual and theoretical) of the study	
	domain in detail by clearly stating the study	
	objectives.	
3	The literature review needs to be much more	Dear reviewer, Many thanks for your kind
	clearly written. The ideas are there, but they	suggestions and comments. I have now revised
	need to be explained further, and the ideas need	the literature review portion.
	to be more clearly linked together. An in-depth	
	literature review is required to build a	
	hypothesis with a clear understanding of the	Please see pages 4-8
	main concepts.	
4	In the methodology section, Data Collection	Dear reviewer, following your kind guidelines,
	Process, Study Sample, and Sampling	I have now revised the methodology section
	Technique need to be separately included by the	with a clear description of all the necessary
	authors as subheadings with adequate	parts as per your kind suggestions.
	information	Please see pages 9-11
5	The results and discussion section are well	Dear reviewer, many thanks for your kind
	articulated by the authors.	remarks.

6	The conclusion section should be more concise	Dear reviewer, many thanks for your kind
	and to the point, with a clear statement of	suggestion. I have revised the conclusion
	results and implications	section per your kind guidelines.
		Please see pages 18-21
7	There are many grammatical issues in the	Done as per kind instructions, dear reviewer
	article. Therefore it is recommended that	
	authors should avail the services of professional	
	proof editors to enhance their work quality.	
8	Authors should add DOIs for all the references	Done as per kind instructions, dear reviewer
	as per journal requirements	

Editor in Chief <ejer.editor@gmail.com>

Wed, Apr 20, 2022, at 11:22 AM

To: Darmiyati <a href="mailto:darmiyati@ulm.ac.id">darmiyati@ulm.ac.id</a>

## Darmiyati:

The paper "Portfolio Assessment Based on Innovative Mathematics Learning in Elementary School," has been reviewed again.

The authors have done a good job of revising the manuscript following the respected reviewers' comments and suggestions. In addition to those, there are a few more points that are required to be addressed before the final decision.

- 1. An explanation in textual form is needed for Table 2 as it is already very briefly explained by the authors.
- 2. The conclusion must include the study's primary objectives and how the authors tried to attain those objectives.
- 3. I appreciate the authors adding the study constructs for assessing the performance of the lesson plan with items/ measures used in tabular form.
- 4. In future research directions, part it is better to discuss limitations first than present suggestions.
- 5. Please ensure the submission of the revision within 1 month of receiving this mail either both as a reply to this mail and in the online system.

The paper can be resubmitted for a review after huge improvements, and this does not guarantee it will be approved.

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor in Chief

Editor in Chief <ejer.editor@gmail.com>

Fri, Apr 29, 2022, at 11:48 AM

To: Darmiyati <a href="mailto:darmiyati@ulm.ac.id">darmiyati@ulm.ac.id</a>

## Darmiyati:

Thank you for submitting revision of the manuscript, "Portfolio Assessment Based on Innovative Mathematics Learning in Elementary School," to Eurasian Journal of Educational Research.

With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Submission URL: https://ejer.com/index.php/ejer/authorDashboard/submission/163 Username: darmiyati

If your paper pass reviews processes and meets our standards it is necessary to make the payment. **Publication fee** (covers: publishing, review, and databases indexing costs): **2000** USD.

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor in Chief

# **RESPONSE TO REVIEWER**

Sr. No.	Reviewer's Comment	Response
	The authors have done a good job of revising	Once again, many thanks for allowing me to
	the manuscript following the respected	revise the manuscript as per the standards of the
	reviewers' comments and suggestions. In	prestigious journal. I have now revised the
	addition to those, there are a few more points	manuscript to meet your kind requirements.
	that are required to be addressed before the final	
	decision.	
1.	An explanation in textual form is needed for	Dear reviewer, an explanation in textual form
	Table 2 as it is already very briefly explained by	for Table 2 has been added per your suggestion.
	the authors.	Please see pages 16
2.	The conclusion must include the study's	Dear reviewer, following your kind guidelines,
	primary objectives and how the authors tried to	I have revised the conclusion. It now includes
	attain those objectives.	the study's primary objectives and their
		attainment by the authors.
		Please see page 21
3	I appreciate the authors adding the study	Dear reviewer, I have now added Table 3 for
	constructs for assessing the performance of the	study constructs to assess the lesson plan's
	lesson plan with items/ measures used in tabular	performance with items/ measures.
	form.	Please see Table 3.
4	In future research directions, it is better to	Many thanks. Following your kind comment, In
	discuss limitations first than present	future research directions, I have now added
	suggestions.	limitations first and then presented
		suggestions.

Editor in Chief <ejer.editor@gmail.com>

Sat, May 07, 2022, at 12:14 PM

To: Darmiyati <darmiyati@ulm.ac.id>

Darmiyati:

## Congratulations!

Your paper entitled, "Portfolio Assessment Based on Innovative Mathematics Learning in Elementary School," has been accepted for publication in Eurasian Journal of Educational Research (Vol. 99, 2022).

Thank you for your interest in our journal. Your Journal paper would be indexed in Scopus (Elsevier), Google Scholar, Scirus, GetCited, Scribd, so on. We look forward to receiving your subsequent research papers.

#### Note:

We will send you email separately for publication fee (covers: publishing, review, and databases indexing costs): 2000 USD.

Editor in Chief