



Check List

the journal of biodiversity data

[Submit manuscript](#)
[My tasks](#)
[About](#)
[Articles](#)
[Issues](#)
[Authors' Guidelines](#)
[Guidelines for Editors](#)
[Editorial Team](#)
[Contacts](#)

My tasks

Pending

My manuscripts

Active	0
Published	1
Rejected	0
Incomplete	0
Uploaded	0

Submission #78257

[Current status](#)
[Metadata](#)
[Suppl. files \(0\)](#)

First records of *Mylonchulus brachyuris* (Bütschli, 1873) (Nematoda, Mononchida, Mylonchulidae) from Indonesia


Abdul Gafur , *Aulia Ajizah*

Article type: *Notes on Geographic Distribution* Type of review:  Conventional peer review

Subject

Editor round 1

Returned to author

Notes to the author: 

Dear authors,

Thank you for your submission to CheckList. This step refers to the Initial Check, in which we check for format and adherence to assign it to a subject editor. We have detected some inconsistencies that we need you to fix before moving on to the next stage.

1) References are out of format: <https://checklist.pensoft.net/about#CitationsandReferences>

2) Please follow our material examined section format: <https://checklist.pensoft.net/about#NewrecordsMaterialExamined>

BRAZIL – **Rio de Janeiro** • Nova Iguaçu, Reserva Biológica do Tinguá; 22°33'48"S, 043°25'36"W; 426 m alt.; 02.XII.2020; O. Cru 1224 • Teresópolis, Parque Nacional da Serra dos Órgãos, Rio Paquequer; 22°18'35"S, 042°59'08"W; 910 m a.s.l.; 04.III.1998; J. 5421 – **São Paulo** • São José do Barreiro, Parque Nacional da Serra da Bocaina, tributário do Rio Mambucaba; 22°40'00"S, 044° 19.IV.2005; 3 ♂, MZUSP 27654. ARGENTINA – **Corrientes** • Parque Nacional Mburucuyá; 21.IX.1995; A.O. Bachmann leg.; 2 se

3) Figures should be uploaded as a separate file;

4) For NGDs, maps should show your new records (point data) and either (a) previously known points of occurrence (point data) range (as an area or shape). By including previously known occurrences or range on maps, the relationship of your new records to the distribution of the species will be clear.

Please address these issues and resubmit your manuscript under the same number (#78257).


Best,

CL Editorial board

[show less](#)

Editor round 2

Returned to author

Notes to the author: 

Dear Authors,

Thank you for addressing our comments and resubmitting. You got the figures upload right. However, there are still some minor issues to be easier this time.

1) New record/material examined still not following our guidelines;

2) Figures subtitles missing. What do the dots refer to in Figure 1?

Please address these issues and resubmit your manuscript one more time under the same number (#78257).


Best,

CL Editorial board

[show less](#)

Editor round 3

Returned to author

Notes to the author: 

Dear authors,

Previous requests are still lacking.

1) New records/material examined still lacking information: "To be published, manuscripts must be in accordance with Check Lis The information here applies to all taxa, except when otherwise noted";

2) Figures subtitles missing. What do the dots refer to in Figure 1?

We have a policy of allowing only 3 rounds of pre-check, otherwise papers are rejected. Please address these issues and resubm

Best,

Editorial Office

[show less](#)

Review round 1

Review version

[78257_SM_5_454310_A_644325.pdf](#)

[Show more files](#)

Manuscript files

[Figure 1](#)

Reviewer(s)

Oleksandr Holovachov

Minor revision

1 Apr 2022

Review: 

1) Lines 31-33 – spepecies of the genus Mylonchulus are much more broadly distributed than it is indicated in the introduction.

2) The authors give detailed account for morphological (qualitative) differences between the current population and previously described populations from the literature. I would suggest to do the same with morphometric data - detailed comparison of easurements between the newly found population and others described in the literature, not just the Farahmand et al, 2009.

Figure 2 – Please provide more photographs to show detailed structure of vulval, variability of male tail, more pictures of the lip region.

Table 1 – Avoid using abbreviations. Spell them out in the table. Also, give average and standard deviation values for "overall" dataset.

[show less](#)

Editorial decision

Fernando Carbayo

Minor revision

3 Apr 2022

Review: 

Dear Dr. Gafur,

The review round 1 of the Submission #78257 has now finished. The reviewer has now commented on your manuscript and considered that it is suitable for publication after minor revision, an opinion which I concur with. Below you can find comments made by the reviewer.

When revising your work, please submit a list of changes or a rebuttal against each point which is being raised when you submit the revised manuscript.

In your revised version of the MS please include all Figure legends.

Please check carefully for a few misprints made along the text.

In the line 2 of the MS, please replace Gafur et al. -> Gafur & Ajizah

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to Check List. I look forward to receiving your revision.

Sincerely,

Fernando Carbayo.

Oleksandr Holovachov - Review round 1

1) Lines 31-33 – species of the genus *Mylonchulus* are much more broadly distributed than it is indicated in the introduction.

2) The authors give detailed account for morphological (qualitative) differences between the current population and previously described populations from the literature. I would suggest to do the same with morphometric data - detailed comparison of measurements between the new found population and others described in the literature, not just the Farahmand et al, 2009.

Figure 2 – Please provide more photographs to show detailed structure of vulval, variability of male tail, more pictures of the lip region.

Table 1 – Avoid using abbreviations. Spell them out in the table. Also, give average and standard deviation values for "overall" dataset.

[show less](#)

Review round 2

Author's revised files

[Fig 2A revision](#)

[Show more files](#)

Author comments: 

Line 2: "Gafur et al." become "Gafur & Ajizah" Line 31-33: species of the genus *Mylonchulus* are much more broadly distributed introduction. Reply: The distribution of *Mylonchulus* has been revised (Line 32-35). "detailed...

[show more](#)

Editorial decision

Fernando Carbayo

Accept

13 Apr 2022

Review: 

Dear Gafur,

I am pleased to inform you that your manuscript #78257 has been accepted for publication. Please provide references for the records of the genus (Introduction, Lines 32-35) in the production process. Also note that I deleted "Cobb, 1917" (or "Cobb, 1916") after the name of the species *Mylonchulus brachyuris* (Bütschli, 1873). I do not know exactly what it means; there is no work by Cobb (1916 or 1917) mentioned in the References section. Is Cobb the author who proposed the genus, then there is no need to mention it every time you mention the species.

Once again, thank you for submitting your manuscript to Check List.

[show less](#)

Copy editing 1

Author's revised files

[Edit](#)

[New revised version](#)

Author comments: 

Dear Editor, Thank you for the good news that my manuscript #78257 has been accepted for publication in Check List. * Regarding the species, I can briefly explain as follows. The species was first described by Bütschli (1873) as *Mononchus brachyuris*. Cobb (1916 or 1917) mentioned it in the References section. Is Cobb the author who proposed the genus, then there is no need to mention it every time you mention the species.

[show more](#)

Copy editor

Robert Forsyth

18 Apr 2022



Dear Abdul Gafur,

Congratulations on the acceptance of your manuscript. I have completed copy editing your work. Please review my edits, make revisions as necessary and return the revised manuscript to me. Thank you.

Best regards,
Robert Forsyth

[show less](#)

Layout editor 1

Author's revised files

Edit

[Revised Manuscript](#)

Author comments:

Dear Editor, Thank you for your comments. We agree with most, but the first two sentences of the last paragraph of Introduction extended the Acknowledgement as suggested, and other minor changes. Best regards, Abdul Gafur

[show more](#)

Layout editor

Robert Forsyth

22 Apr 2022



Dear Abdul Gafur,

Thank you, I see your comment in the Introduction and agree with you. I have done the layout of the pages and a proof is ready for you to check. I modified your Figure 2.

Best wishes,
Robert

[show less](#)

Layout editor 2

Author's revised files

Edit

[PDF Proof Corrected](#)

Author comments:

Dear Editor, We have found 1 typo and 1 word missing in the manuscript, and have suggested the corrections as Sticky Notes in Gafur

[show more](#)

Layout editor

Robert Forsyth

25 Apr 2022



Dear Abdul Gafur,

Thank you for sending the corrections. Please check the new proof and confirm if it is OK.

Best regards,
Robert

[show less](#)

Layout editor 3

Author's revised files

Edit

[Revised Manuscript](#)

Author comments:

Dear Editor, Thank you for your comments. We agree with most, but the first two sentences of the last paragraph of Introductic extended the Acknowledgement as suggested, and other minor changes. Best regards, Abdul Gafur

[show more](#)

Layout editor

Robert Forsyth

28 Apr 2022



confirmed by the layout editor on behalf of the author

[show less](#)

ABOUT PENSOFT

Company Profile
Innovations & Tools
Open Access & APC Policies
Terms of Use
Editorial Policies

SERVICES

For Authors
For Journals
For Books
For Conferences
For Organisations

ADPLA

PRODUCTS

Journals
Advanced Books
Conventional Books
Conference Abstracts
Conference Proceedings

PROJECTS

Research Projects
Services
Partners

GET IN TOUCH

ARPHA

GET IN TOUCH

[ARPHA Publishing Platform](#)

[ARPHA Manual](#)

[ARPHA Pricing](#)

[Web Services](#)

[Contacts](#)

[Publishing Inquiry](#)

[Migrate Your Journal](#)

[Open a New Journal](#)

[Media Center](#)

[Follow PENSOFT](#)

[Blog & News](#) **Subscribe**

© Pensoft Publishers 2022