

ISSN-0976-0245 (Print) • ISSN-0976-5506 (Electronic)

Volume 12 / Number 4 / October-December 2021



Indian Journal of Public Health Research & Development

An International Journal

Website:
www.ijphrd.com

Indian Journal of Public Health Research & Development

EXECUTIVE EDITOR

Vidya Surwade

Associate Professor, Dr Baba Saheb Ambedkar, Medical College & Hospital, Rohinee, Delhi

INTERNATIONAL EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

1. **Dr. Abdul Rashid Khan B. Md** Jagar Din, (*Associate Professor*)
Department of Public Health Medicine, Penang Medical College, Penang, Malaysia
2. **Dr. V Kumar** (*Consulting Physician*)
Mount View Hospital, Las Vegas, USA
3. **Basheer A. Al-Sum**,
Botany and Microbiology Deptt, College of Science, King Saud University,
Riyadh, Saudi Arabia
4. **Dr. Ch Vijay Kumar** (*Associate Professor*)
Public Health and Community Medicine, University of Buraimi, Oman
5. **Dr. VMC Ramaswamy** (*Senior Lecturer*)
Department of Pathology, International Medical University, Bukit Jalil, Kuala Lumpur
6. **Kartavya J. Vyas** (*Clinical Researcher*)
Department of Deployment Health Research,
Naval Health Research Center, San Diego, CA (USA)
7. **Prof. PK Pokharel** (*Community Medicine*)
BP Koirala Institute of Health Sciences, Nepal

NATIONAL SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

1. **Dr. Anju D Ade** (*Professor*)
Community Medicine Department, SVIMS, Sri Padamavati Medical College, Tirupati,
Andhra Pradesh.
2. **Dr. E. Venkata Rao** (*Associate Professor*) Community Medicine,
Institute of Medical Sciences & SUM Hospital, Bhubaneswar, Orissa.
3. **Dr. Amit K. Singh** (*Associate Professor*) Community Medicine,
VCSG Govt. Medical College, Srinagar – Garhwal, Uttarakhand
4. **Dr. R G Viveki** (*Associate Professor*) Community Medicine,
Belgaum Institute of Medical Sciences, Belgaum, Karnataka
5. **Dr. Santosh Kumar Mulage** (*Assistant Professor*)
Anatomy, Raichur Institute of Medical Sciences Raichur(RIMS), Karnataka
6. **Dr. Gouri Ku. Padhy** (*Associate Professor*) Community and Family
Medicine, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Raipur
7. **Dr. Ritu Goyal** (*Associate Professor*)
Anaesthesia, Sarswati Institute of Medical Sciences, Panchsheel Nagar
8. **Dr. Anand Kalaskar** (*Associate Professor*)
Microbiology, Prathima Institute of Medical Sciences, AP
9. **Dr. Md. Amirul Hassan** (*Associate Professor*)
Community Medicine, Government Medical College, Ambedkar Nagar, UP
10. **Dr. N. Girish** (*Associate Professor*) Microbiology, VIMS&RC, Bangalore
11. **Dr. BR Hungund** (*Associate Professor*) Pathology, JNMC, Belgaum.
12. **Dr Sartaj Ahmad**, PhD Medical Sociology, *Associate Professor*,
Swami Vivekananda Subharti University Meerut UP India
13. **Dr Sumeeta Soni** (*Associate Professor*)
Microbiology Department, B.J. Medical College, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India

NATIONAL EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

1. **Prof. Sushanta Kumar Mishra** (*Community Medicine*)
GSL Medical College – Rajahmundry, Karnataka
2. **Prof. D.K. Srivastava** (*Medical Biochemistry*)
Jamia Hamdard Medical College, New Delhi
3. **Prof. M Sriharibabu** (*General Medicine*) GSL Medical College, Rajahmundry,
Andhra Pradesh
4. **Prof. Pankaj Datta** (*Principal & Prosthodontist*)
Indraprastha Dental College, Ghaziabad

NATIONAL EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

5. **Prof. Samarendra Mahapatro** (*Pediatrician*)
Hi-Tech Medical College, Bhubaneswar, Orissa
6. **Dr. Abhiruchi Galhotra** (*Additional Professor*) Community and Family
Medicine, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Raipur
7. **Prof. Deepti Pruthvi** (*Pathologist*) SS Institute of Medical Sciences &
Research Center, Davangere, Karnataka
8. **Prof. G S Meena** (*Director Professor*)
Maulana Azad Medical College, New Delhi
9. **Prof. Pradeep Khanna** (*Community Medicine*)
Post Graduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Rohtak, Haryana
10. **Dr. Sunil Mehra** (*Paediatrician & Executive Director*)
MAMTA Health Institute of Mother & Child, New Delhi
11. **Dr Shailendra Handu**, *Associate Professor*, Phrma, DM (Pharma, PGI
Chandigarh)
12. **Dr. A.C. Dhariwal**: *Directorate of National Vector Borne Disease*
Control Programme, Dte. DGHS, Ministry of Health Services, Govt. of
India, Delhi

Print-ISSN: 0976-0245 **Electronic-ISSN:** 0976-5506, Frequency: Quarterly
(Four issues per volume)

Indian Journal of Public Health Research & Development is a double blind peer reviewed international journal. It deals with all aspects of Public Health including Community Medicine, Public Health, Epidemiology, Occupational Health, Environmental Hazards, Clinical Research, and Public Health Laws and covers all medical specialties concerned with research and development for the masses. The journal strongly encourages reports of research carried out within Indian continent and South East Asia.

The journal has been assigned International Standards Serial Number (ISSN) and is indexed with Index Copernicus (Poland). It is also brought to notice that the journal is being covered by many international databases. The journal is covered by EBSCO (USA), Embase, EMCare. The journal is now part of DST, CSIR, and UGC consortia.

Website : www.ijphrd.com

©All right reserved. The views and opinions expressed are of the authors and not of the Indian Journal of Public Health Research & Development. The journal does not guarantee directly or indirectly the quality or efficacy of any product or service featured in the advertisement in the journal, which are purely commercial.

Editor

Dr. R.K. Sharma
Institute of Medico-legal Publications
Logix Office Tower, Unit No. 1704, Logix City Centre Mall,
Sector- 32, Noida - 201 301 (Uttar Pradesh)

Printed, published and owned by

Dr. R.K. Sharma
Institute of Medico-legal Publications
Logix Office Tower, Unit No. 1704, Logix City Centre Mall,
Sector- 32, Noida - 201 301 (Uttar Pradesh)

Published at

Institute of Medico-legal Publications
Logix Office Tower, Unit No. 1704, Logix City Centre Mall,
Sector- 32, Noida - 201 301 (Uttar Pradesh)



Indian Journal of Public Health Research & Development

www.ijphrd.com

Contents

Volume 12, Number 4

October-December 2021

1. Webinar Training: A panel discussion on “Endgame of Tobacco” for Protecting the Next Generation... 1
Anil Purohit, Priyakanta Nayak, Rhea Gupta, Manu Mathur, Pankaj Chaturvedi, Rati Godrej, Jagdish Harsh
2. Webinar Training – A Panel Discussion on ‘Hepatitis Free Future’ with Elimination of Hepatitis by 2030..... 6
Anil Purohit, Priyakanta Nayak, Riddhi Kapasi, Jagdish Harsh, Rahul Singhi
3. Assessment of Knowledge, Attitude and Practice Regarding Blood Donation among Paramedical Personnel in a Teaching Hospital, Hassan, Karnataka..... 11
Anjan Sreeranga, Maliakel Steffi Francis, Posima Jaisai, Priyanka Aggarwal
4. Prevalence of Stress Level in Physiotherapy Working Profession During Covid-19 Pandemic..... 18
Aparna Nautiyal, Meena Gupta, Sipra Bhatt
5. Immunisation Status of Under Five Children in a Tribal Colony of Northern Kerala 23
Arun T Mithrasan, Arya Vikas, Ann Mary Thomas, Madhusudan M
6. A Comparative Study of the Nutritional Status of Adolescents in Residential and Non-Residential Tribal Secondary Schools 28
Ashwini Yadav, Shalini Rawat, Prachi Patil
7. Hygiene behavior among Female Garment Workers: a Pre-COVID-19 Cross-Sectional Study in Bangladesh 33
Bilkis Banu, Nasrin Akter, Sujana Haque Chawdhury, ZahidurRahim, Sarder Mahmud Hossain, Farhana Faruque Zerin Sayeeda Rahman
8. A Cross Sectional Study on the Prevalence of Abuse and Self Defence among Female Medical Students in Hubli..... 44
Dattatraya Dinna Bant
9. Sepsis, a Common Endpoint to Even Non-Infectious Comorbidities, A Single Center Study on 49,107 Patients, at a Tertiary Care Center in India 49
Dhaval Dalal, Girish Rathod, Kamalpriya Thiyagarajan, Namrata Britto, Veda Devakumar, Vijaykumar Gawali

IV

36. Analysis of N-Terminal Fragment B Type Natriuretic Peptide Serum In Chronic Kidney Disease247
Nelly Tolla, Rachmawati A. Muhiddin, Fitriani Mangarengi
37. The Effect of Health Education on the Level of Knowledge and Behavior in Diabetes Mellitus Management.....255
Noor Diani, Alfian Nur, Dchemes Alin
38. Package of Essential Noncommunicable Disease Services at Peri-Urban Community Setting in Chandigarh, India- An Implementation Research Study263
Pal S, Thakur JS; Suri V, Singh G, Goyal A, Rajwanshi A
39. Spanish Flu to COVID-19: Healthcare Challenges and Evolution.....274
Pooja Sachdeva, Rohit
40. Correction of the Anxiety Level of Students at the Physical Training Classes Using a Complex of Health-Improving Practices284
Postol Olga, Shchadilova Irina
41. Creating a green university in Thailand: a case study of Srinakharinwirot University291
Prat Intarasaksit, Jitjira Chaiyarit
42. A Webinar Training on Digitally Transforming the Future of Global Public Health.....299
Priyakanta Nayak^k, Anil Purohit, Ayushi Shrivastava, Mathew Samuel, Jagdish Harsh, Rahul Singhi
43. A Webinar Training on From AIDS to COVID: The Rocky Road of Public Health.....304
Priyakanta Nayak, Anil Purohit, Nikita Joshi, Bhawna Sati
44. Establishing a Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) Surveillance in Cuttack District, Odisha, India.....308
Priyakanta Nayak, Nikita Raula, Basanta Kumar Swain, Bikas Patnaik, Kailash Chandra Dash, Deepak Kumar
45. Gallbladder Perforation During Elective Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy Incidence, Risk Factors and Outcomes.....319
Rafa'a Sami Mahmood Al- Hayali
46. Impact of Mergers and Acquisitions on the Mental Health and Overall Wellbeing of the Employees Involved – A Literature Review.....329
Roopa Shree Rao
47. Hospital-based Analysis of the Effects of Mental Health Disorders on Asthma and Nutrition Disorder Admissions and the Cost Saving by Investing in Mental Health in Bhutan335
Sacha C. Hauc, Agata M.P Atayde, Dolley Tshering, Dil Kumar Subba, Samten, Kaveh Khoshnood
48. Nutritional Transition in Unindustrialized Countries: Causes and Consequences on Public Health342
Md Shahedul Islam, Nusrat Jahan Jhily, Rakibul Hasan, Nusrat Parvin, Mohammad Ariful Islam, Imam Hossain, Khalesa Akter
49. Effect of Reciprocating versus Rotary Instrumentation on Post-endodontic Pain and Endotoxins Level in Infected Root Canals: A Randomised Clinical Trial347
Shaimaa I. Bakry, Randa El Boghdadi, Geraldine M. Ahmed, Olfat Shaker

The Effect of Health Education on the Level of Knowledge and Behavior in Diabetes Mellitus Management

Noor Diani¹, Alfian Nur², Dhemes Alin³

¹Lecturer, Department of Medical Surgical Nursing, Nursing School, Faculty of Medicine, Lambung Mangkurat University, ²Lecturer, Department of Community, Nursing School, Faculty of Medicine, Lambung Mangkurat University, ³Education Staff, Nursing School, Faculty of Medicine, Lambung Mangkurat University

Abstract

Diabetes mellitus is a metabolic disease characterized by glucose levels in the blood that are more than normal or hyperglycemia, this is due to a decrease in the body's ability to react with insulin, or it could be impaired insulin secretion. Riskesdas 2013 shows that diabetes mellitus sufferers in Indonesia are around 6.9% or around 12 million people with 1.4% of diabetes sufferers in South Kalimantan or around 38,113 people diagnosed with diabetes mellitus, especially type 2 diabetes mellitus which is related to lifestyle. One of the efforts made is health education. This research is an experimental research using pre-experimental method with one group pretest-posttest design. The sampling technique used consecutive sampling of 50 people. The results of the effect of health education on the behavior of diabetes mellitus sufferers obtained $p\text{-value} = 0.011 < 0.05$, this indicates that H_0 is rejected, which means that there is an effect of health education on the behavior of diabetes mellitus sufferers in handling diabetes mellitus. The results of the effect of health education on the knowledge level of diabetes mellitus sufferers obtained $p\text{-value} = 0.421 > 0.05$, this indicates that H_0 is accepted, which means that there is no effect of health education on increasing knowledge of diabetes mellitus sufferers in handling diabetes mellitus. These results were obtained from the pre and post-test scores of the respondents which indicated that there was an influence before and after health education to the public.

Keywords: Diabetes Mellitus, Health Education, Knowledge, Behavior

Introduction

Diabetes mellitus is a metabolic disease that has a collection of symptoms due to an increase in blood glucose levels above normal values (hyperglycemia) due to a decrease in the body's ability to react with insulin, impaired insulin secretion, or both^{1,2}.

There was an increase to 422 million people in 2014³. Indonesia is ranked seventh in the world with 10 million people with diabetes mellitus in 2015⁴. The increase in the prevalence of diabetes mellitus globally is mainly due to a lack of knowledge about diabetes management and lifestyle changes such as unhealthy behavior, obesity and lack of exercise⁵. Diabetes mellitus has complications that can occur in patients such as complications in the heart, kidneys,

blindness, atherosclerosis and even limbs that can be amputated due to the death of rotting tissue⁶.

Knowledge of diabetics is means that can help sufferers undergo diabetes management during their life so that sufferers understand how to behave in dealing with their disease. The behavior of diabetics is very much influenced by knowledge, in this case knowledge is very important to determine behavior that can reduce the risk of complications⁵.

The results showed that 83.3% of respondents had inappropriate behavior in managing diabetes mellitus⁷. According to other research, it shows that 23.3% of respondents have less knowledge about diabetes mellitus foot care⁸. Other research shows that 68.3% of respondents do not comply with physical exercise⁹.

Management of diabetes mellitus that is understood by people with diabetes mellitus in the community needs to be identified and analyzed as the basis for to develop diabetes mellitus prevention efforts in the community. There has never been a comprehensive health education on the management of diabetes mellitus in the community.

Based on the phenomena and results of previous studies, researchers are interested in conducting research on the effect of health education on the level of knowledge and behavior in diabetes mellitus management.

Method

This research is an experimental research using pre

experimental method with one group pretest-posttest design. The population in this study were patients with diabetes mellitus in Cempaka Village. The sampling technique used consecutive sampling and a sampling size of 50 samples based on inclusion criteria.

The instrument used was a questionnaire consisting of three parts: demographic questionnaire, diabetes mellitus knowledge questionnaire, and diabetes mellitus management behavior questionnaire.

Results and Discussion

Respondent Characteristics

Table 1. Characteristics of Respondents

Respondent of Characteristics	Quantity	Percentage
Age		
36-45 tahun	3	6,0
46-55 tahun	27	54,0
56-65 tahun	16	32,0
> 65 tahun	4	8,0
Total	50	100,0
Gender		
Male	14	28,0
Female	36	72,0
Total	50	100,0
Education		
Not completed in primary school/ not elementary school	36	72,0
Junior high school Senior high school	11	22,0
College	3	6,0
Total	50	100,0

Respondent of Characteristics	Quantity	Percentage
Profession		
Government Employees	0	0,0
Private	0	0,0
Farmer	2	4,0
Traders	7	14,0
Others	41	82,0
Total	50	100,0
Long suffered Diabetes Mellitus		
0-5 years	38	76,0
6-10 years	8	16,0
11-15 years	3	6,0
16-20 years	0	0,0
> 20 years	1	2,0
Total	50	100,0
Comorbidities		
Have	39	78,0
Do not have	11	22,0
Total	50	100,0

In table 1. The characteristics of respondents obtained the most data based on age at the age of 46-55 years, namely 54%, the most gender is female, 72%. another 82% which includes housewives, retired and not working. The longest duration of diabetes mellitus sufferers was at 0-5 years, namely 76%, respondents who had the most comorbidities with diabetes mellitus were 78%.

This age range is included in the range of middle adulthood (Middle Adulthood)¹⁰. Age over 40 years is also a risk factor for diabetes mellitus¹¹. This is in

accordance with other research that old age affects diabetes because physiological body function decreases and there is a decrease in insulin secretion or resistance so that the body's ability to function to control high blood glucose is less than optimal^{12,13}.

The results of the same study were also stated that the female respondents who suffered from diabetes mellitus were 84%¹⁴. This is in accordance with research which states that women have a higher risk of developing diabetes than men. The number of female sufferers compared to male sufferers is caused by risk

factors from the Body Mass Index (BMI) between women and men¹⁵.

In the study, it was stated that there was no significant effect between education level and blood glucose levels in diabetes mellitus patients. The level of education may only affect the perspective of the disease. Patients with a high level of education also have a high awareness of a disease³.

Work can affect knowledge. Reviewed from its type, jobs that often interact with other people are more exposed to information or those without any interaction with other people. Work is also related to the physical activity of people with diabetes mellitus. People who do physical activity will burn body fat. This body fat has the potential to increase blood sugar levels in the body if there is no burning¹⁶.

The longer the respondent suffers from the disease, the better the respondent responds to the disease, such as adhering to the treatment¹⁷. This is in accordance

with other studies, which state that the duration of suffering from diabetes mellitus affects knowledge of the disease¹⁸.

High levels of glucose in the blood, glucose metabolites or high levels of fatty acids in the blood can cause damage to the endothelial cell lining of the arteries¹⁹. Some of the vascular complications, including cardiovascular, cerebrovascular and peripheral vascular²⁰. This can be seen from the respondent's comorbidities, which include high blood pressure, cholesterol, heart disease and stroke. Diabetes mellitus can also reduce the body's immune system. Uncontrolled high blood sugar levels can also result in susceptibility to infection. This can be seen from the respondent's comorbidities related to infection, including the presence of respondents with a history of TBC²¹.

Knowledge Levels of Diabetes Mellitus Patients in the Management of Diabetes Mellitus Before and After Health Education Treatment

Table 2. Knowledge Levels of Diabetes Mellitus Patients in Diabetes Mellitus Management Before Health Education Treatment

Knowledge Level	Pre Test		PostTest	
	Quan-tity	Persen-tage	Quan-tity	Persen-tage
Good	38	76,0	41	82,0
Sufficient	9	18,0	7	14,0
Less	3	6,0	2	4,0
Total	50	100,0	50	100,0

In table 2. Knowledge Level of Diabetes Mellitus Patients in Management of Diabetes Mellitus Before Health Education Treatment is given the pre-test results at the level of knowledge before being given health education in the good category, namely 76%.

The results of the post test at the level of knowledge after being given health education were in the good category but increased from the time of the pre-test, namely 82%. The increase occurred by 6% (from 76% to 82%). This increase also shows the effectiveness of health education that has been provided to respondents.

The level of knowledge in the management of diabetes mellitus is related to the duration of suffering from the disease. Most respondents have suffered from diabetes mellitus for five years. This five year period allows respondents to get a lot of information about diabetes mellitus. This is in accordance with other studies, which stated that the duration of suffering from diabetes mellitus affects knowledge of the disease¹⁸.

Knowledge about diabetes mellitus is very important for DM patients, in order to avoid complications. Most of human knowledge is acquired through the eyes and ears²². An intervention is needed to increase knowledge about the disease, the management process or treatment therapy⁶. The intervention carried out in this study was by means of health education.

The evaluation in this study was seen from the post test scores that have been carried out. The category of

knowledge level in diabetes mellitus sufferers in diabetes

mellitus management before being given health education treatment is in good category. The researcher then provided an intervention in the form of health education to the respondents. As a result, the respondent's level of knowledge increases. This good knowledge is obtained from several factors. Factors that support this

include the length of time the respondent suffer from diabetes mellitus respondents who on average of five years. The increase in respondents' knowledge was then obtained after receiving health education. Respondents returned to get new knowledge about the disease.

Behavior in Diabetes Mellitus Patients in Diabetes Mellitus Management Before and After Health Education Treatment

Table 3. Behavior of Diabetes Mellitus Patients in Diabetes Mellitus Management before and after Health Education Treatment

Behavior	Pre Test		PostTest	
	Quantity	Persen-tage	Quantity	Persen-tage
Good	7	14,0	9	18,0
Sufficient	34	68,0	37	74,0
Less	9	18,0	4	8,0
Total	50	100,0	50	100,0

Table 3. Behavior in Diabetes Mellitus Patients in Diabetes Mellitus Management before and after being given Health Education Treatment, the pre-test result on behavior before being given health education is in the sufficient category, namely 68%. The results of the post-test on behavior after being given health education were in the sufficient category but increased from the initial test, namely 74%. This increase also shows the effectiveness of health education that has

been provided to respondents, even though it is in the sufficient category. The increase occurred by 6% (from 68% to 74%).

Behavior is influenced by knowledge. Knowledge is the basis for taking an action²². Health behavior is a person's response to stimuli related to illness and disease²³. The most important thing in health behavior is the problem of behavior formation and change. Behavior

change is the goal of health education or promotion to support other health programs²².

Knowledge has six levels which were included in the cognitive domain. These levels are knowing, understanding, application, analysis, synthesis and evaluation²². This is one of the factors of respondent behavior in the sufficient category. Behavior in the management of diabetes mellitus is in the moderate category. The researcher then provided an intervention

in the form of health education to the respondents. The results remain in the adequate category, but the value of the respondent's level of behavior increases. Another study stated that it took 5 weeks to carry out health education before there was a change in the respondent's lifestyle²⁴.

The Effect of Health Education on Knowledge Levels of Diabetes Mellitus Patients in Handling Diabetes Mellitus

Table 4. The Effect of Health Education on Knowledge Levels of Diabetes Mellitus Patients in Handling Diabetes Mellitus

Knowledge level	Mean	StandardDevia-tion	DifferenceMean	p-value
Pre	11,14	2,523	0,30	0,421
Post	11,44	2,196		

In table 4. Effect of Health Education on Knowledge Levels of Diabetes Mellitus Patients in Handling Diabetes Mellitus, the $p\text{-value} = 0.421 > 0.05$, this indicates that H_0 is accepted, meaning that there is no effect of health education on increasing knowledge of diabetes mellitus sufferers in handling diabetes mellitus. These results are obtained from the pre and post test scores of the respondents.

The results of this study are different from other studies, which show that health education has a significant effect on increasing knowledge about DM. This is influenced by one factor is the level of education. The dominant education level of respondents in other studies. The dominant education level of the respondents in this study was that they did not complete elementary school, even not elementary school. The distribution of the education level of the respondents in other studies was 37.9%. This 37.9% figure shows that the distribution of education levels is almost evenly divided into the classifications of elementary school, junior high school, senior high school and college.

The figure of 72% in this study shows that the distribution of education levels is dominant in those who do not complete primary school/do not attend school²⁵.

Health education affects the level of knowledge of respondents which can be influenced by several factors. This factor is the level of respondent's knowledge which was classified as less prior to the intervention as much as 56.6%. The value of the respondent's level of knowledge then improved after the intervention was carried out, namely 93.8%. The category of knowledge level in this study is divided into 3, namely, good, enough and less²⁶. This is different from the level of knowledge of the respondents carried out by the researcher. The level of knowledge of respondents before the intervention was in the good category, as well as after the intervention.

The Effect of Health Education on the Behavior of Diabetes Mellitus Patients in Handling Diabetes Mellitus

Table 5. The Effect of Health Education on the Behavior of Diabetes Mellitus Patients in Handling Diabetes Mellitus

Behavior	Mean	StandardDevia-tion	DifferenceMean	p-value
Pre	10,22	2,873	1,16	0,011
Post	11,38	2,276		

In table 5. The effect of health education on the behavior of diabetes mellitus sufferers in the treatment of diabetes mellitus, the p-value = 0.011 <0.05 this indicates that Ho is rejected.

It can be concluded that there is an effect of health education on the behavior of diabetes mellitus sufferers in handling diabetes mellitus. These results are obtained from the pre and post test scores of the respondents.

These results are obtained from the pre and post test scores of the respondents. There are five principles for managing diabetes mellitus in general. The five principles are education, diet, exercise (physical exercise), drug therapy and monitoring of blood sugar levels and preventing complications. The five principles are included in the form of diabetes mellitus patient behavior. Health education is a learning effort for people who are willing to take actions to maintain and improve their health, prevent disease, maintain existing health standards, maximize the function and role of patients during illness and help patients and families overcome health problems²².

The results of this study are in line with other studies, which state that there is an effect of providing health education on the level of dietary compliance in diabetes mellitus sufferers²⁷. A diabetes mellitus diet is highly recommended to maintain near normal blood glucose levels, achieve optimal serum lipid levels, and manage acute complications and improve overall health²⁸. Another study stated that there was an effect between physical activity on blood sugar levels in patients with diabetes mellitus¹⁶. Physical activity at least 30 minutes every day, both done in an effort to cure diabetes mellitus.

Sports such as swimming, cycling, jogging and brisk walking are the types of sports that are recommended for

sufferers of the disease²⁹.

Conclusions and Recommendations

There are still many people who do not realize the risk factors for disease to maintain blood sugar stability for people with diabetes mellitus. The community's need for health education is related to the factors that influence disease and the emergence of diabetes mellitus so that people have a healthy quality of life.

This research is expected to be able to contribute to the reading audience to be able to develop research with different methods and to provide related health education diabetes mellitus.

Acknowledgments: The author thanks to the community and parties involved. Ethical Permit: Medical Research Ethics Committee, Faculty of Medicine, Lambung Mangkurat University (299/KEPK-FKUNLAM/EC/VII/2019).

Funding: This research was supported by PNPB University at Lambung Mangkurat University 2019 (B985/UN8.1.17/KP/2019). No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

References

1. Perkeni. Consensus for the Management and Prevention of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in Indonesia in 2015. Jakarta: PB PERKENI; 2015.
2. Agustina R.M, Diani N & Agianto. Relationship between Knowledge and Patient Behavior on Management of Diabetes Mellitus in Banjarbaru, South Kalimantan. Nusantara Medical Science J.2019;4(1):14-18. <https://journal.unhas.ac.id/index>.

- php/jmednus/article/view/5955
- Fajeriani N, Diani N, Choiruna H.P. Education to Increase Knowledge about Foot Care for Diabetes Mellitus Patients in Cempaka Village. *Nusantara Medical Science J.* 2019;4(1):25-31.
 - Anindita M.W. Diani N. Hafifah I. Relationship between Self Efficacy and Compliance with Physical Exercise in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Patients. *Nusantara Medical Science J.* 2019;4(1):19-24.
 - Sumanto. *Developmental Psychology: Functions and Theory.* Yogyakarta: CAPS; 2014.
 - Tandra, H. *Everything You Need to Know About Diabetes.* Jakarta: Publisher PT. Gramedia Pustaka Utama; 2008.
 - Gusti & Erna. The Relationship between Age, Gender, Obesity and Hypertension Risk Factors with the Incidence of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in the Mataram Community Health Center Work Area. *Scientific Development Media.* 2014;8(1): 39-44.
 - Sueziani, B, Z. Knowledge of Diabetes Mellitus and Safe Practices During Ramadan Fasting Among Muslim Patients with Diabetes Mellitus in Singapore. *Singapore Medical J.* 2016. Singapore 169856.
 - Kusnanto, et al. The Relationship between Knowledge Level and Diabetes Self-Management with Stress Levels in Diabetes Mellitus Patients on a Diet. *Indonesian Nursing J.* 2019;22(1):31-42.
 - Alghadir, A, Hamzeh A, Einas AE, Alghwiri A. Diabetes Risk 10 Years Forecast in The Capital of Saudi Arabia: Canadian Diabetes Risk Assessment Questionnaire (CANRISK) perspective, *Biomedical Research,* 2014;25(1):88-96.
 - Nur, et al. Physical Activity Habits of Diabetes Mellitus Patients Against Blood Sugar Levels in Dr. Fauziah Bireuen. *SEL* 2016;3(2):41-48.
 - Sukmadinata, N. S. *Educational Research Methods.* Bandung: Youth Rosdakarya; 2009.
 - Sidiq, et al. The Old Relationship of Suffering from Diseases and Knowledge of Skin and Foot Care in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Patients in Mamplam Room, Dr. Zainoel Abidin Banda Aceh. 2015;VI(2).
 - Putri, et al. Diabetes Mellitus (DM) Booklet Method Increases Compliance with DM in Therapeutic Regimen Management; 2016.
 - Tucker, et al. *Patient Care Standards Collaborative Planning and Nursing Interventions Volume 1 Edition 7.* Jakarta: EGC. 2008.
 - Lakshnita, N. *Active Diabetes-Free Children: Simple Tips for Handling Diabetes Mellitus in Children.* Yogyakarta: Javalitera. 2012.
 - Notoadmodjo, S. *Public Health Sciences.* Jakarta: PT. Rineka Cipta. 2003.
 - Azwar, A. *Introduction to Health Administration Third Edition.* Tangerang: Binarupa Aksara Publisher. 2010.
 - Xue, et al. A Randomized Trial of a 5 Week, Manual Based, Self-Management Program for Hypertension Delivered in Cardiac Patient Club in Shanghai. *BMC Cardiovascular Disorder J.* 2008;8(10):1471-2261.
 - Haryono, et al. Health Education About Diet Against Diabetes Mellitus Patient Compliance. *Journal of Health Research,* 2018;7(2):91-96.
 - Dharmastuti, Amis P. and Dwi A.S. The Effect of Health Education on Hypoglycemia Prevention Efforts in Diabetes Mellitus Patients in the Intensive Room of Dr. Moewardi Surakarta in 2016. *Journal of Global Nursing.* 2017;2(1):1-61.
 - Susilaningsih, et al. The Effect of Health Education with Video Media on the Level of Diet Compliance in Diabetes Mellitus Patients at Puskesmas Gamping 1 Sleman Yogyakarta, 2017.
 - Sukardji, *Integrated Diabetes Management.* In Soegondo, S. Jakarta. FKUI, 2009.
 - Rusdi and Nurlaela I. *Watch out! You Can Die Fast Due to Hypertension and Diabetes.* Jogjakarta: Power Books (Ihdina). 2009.

