

To whom it may concern

Dr Dewi Anggraini has reviewed 1 submission in the journal *International Journal of Women's Health (journal Impact Factor: 2.773)* during 2021.

This contribution is greatly appreciated.

Regards

Angela Jones

General Manager, Dove Medical Press Ltd

Dove Medical Press (NZ) Ltd, 44 Corinthian Drive, Albany, Auckland, New Zealand

PO Box 300-008, Albany, Auckland, 0752, New Zealand

p +649 443 3060 f +649 443 3061 e info@dovepress.com

Live Chat https://www.dovepress.com/live_help.t

Twitter https://twitter.com/DovePress

 $\underline{\textit{www.dovepress.com}} \text{ - open access to scientific and medical research}$

About | Contact | Sustainability | Awards | Press Center | Testimonials | Blog | Favored Author Program | Permissions | Pre-Submission | Reprints | Logout |



Search Dove Press

Search
Advanced search

open access to scientific and medical research

Home Journals Why publish with us? Editorial Policies Author Information

Peer Review Guidelines Open Outlook COVID-19

Back to Peer Review » Outstanding Reviews

My Dovepress

Logged in as Dr Anggraini

Dashboard

Update My Details

Update My Password

Manage Email Alerts

Invoices (1)

Manuscript Status (1)

Favored Author Program

Peer Review

Invited Reviews (0)

Outstanding Reviews (1)

Completed

Reviews (2)

Peer-reviewer acknowledgements

(2)

Volunteer Reviewer

Peer Reviewer User Manual

Peer Review FAQ

Outstanding reviews

Invited Reviews (0)

Outstanding Reviews (1)

Completed Reviews

(2)

We appreciate due to the disruption caused by the COVID-19 virus that you may have difficulty in meeting the timeline for peer review. Please let us know if you need additional time to complete the review. Our systems will continue to send reminders.

Note: your peer-reviewer comments can only be submitted via the 'Agree to Review' option below. We can not accept your comments within the author's manuscript file(s).

You should consider the <u>COPE Ethical Guidelines for Peer Reviewers</u> before accepting to review a paper and throughout the review process

Journal: International Journal of Women's Health

Contact person: Mr Shiferaw

Title: Extent of received antenatal care components in

Ethiopia: a community-based panel study

Article type: Original Research

Status: ACCEPTED TO REVIEW

Due Date: 17/Jul/2021

Action: Review Evaluation Form

Peer-review comments can only be accepted online via our reviewer evaluation form.

We provide a structured peer review form which guides you through the important points for consideration when evaluating a manuscript. This helps our peer

justify their recommendation as to whether it should be accepted for publication.

 Your peer review should provide an objective critical evaluation on the technical aspects of the paper.

reviewers to write an informed review of the paper to

 Your report must contain a recommendation and a description of your reasons for that

Outstanding reviews

recommendation.

- If you believe the paper needs changes to be made before it is acceptable, please make suggestions on how to improve the paper.
- We cannot accept downloaded manuscript files that you have annotated or modified in any way.

Peer review guidelines

327750-ms.docx

Porpuse: this study aimed at identifying the extent of Abstract:

received antenatal care... View more

received, ANC contents, associated factors, Ethiopia **Keywords:**

July 03 2021 **Download** 20:48:11

> July 03 327750-fig-1.pdf **Download** 2021 20:50:23

> July 03 2021 327750-fig-2.pdf Download

20:50:43

If you are unable to accept this request, we would welcome recommendations of another expert reviewer (NB: you may NOT forward this invitation to anyone else, as any recommendations must come via Dove Medical Press). This gives us the ability to check and verify individual peer-reviewers.

About Dove Press

Open access peer-reviewed scientific and medical journals.

Learn more

Open Access

Files:



Dove Medical Press is a member of the OAI.

Learn more

Reprints

Bulk reprints for the pharmaceutical industry.

Learn more

Favored Authors

We offer real benefits to our authors, including fasttrack processing of papers.

Learn more

Promotional Article Monitoring

Register your specific details and specific drugs of interest and we will match the information you provide to articles from our extensive database and email PDF copies to you promptly.

Learn more

Social Media



<u>Contact Us</u> • <u>Privacy Policy</u> • <u>Associations & Partners</u> • <u>Testimonials</u> • <u>Terms & Conditions</u> • <u>Recommend this site</u> • <u>Top</u>

© Copyright 2021 • Dove Press Ltd • software development by maffey.com • Web Design by Adhesion

The opinions expressed in all articles published here are those of the specific author(s), and do not necessarily reflect the views of Dove Medical Press Ltd or any of its employees.

Dove Medical Press is part of Taylor & Francis Group, the Academic Publishing Division of Informa PLC Copyright 2017 Informa PLC. All rights reserved. This site is owned and operated by Informa PLC ("Informa") whose registered office is 5 Howick Place, London SW1P 1WG. Registered in England and Wales. Number 3099067. UK VAT Group: GB 365 4626 36



Manuscript ID number: 327750

Title of paper: Extent of received antenatal care components in Ethiopia: a community-based panel study

Reviewed by: Dr Anggraini on 26 July 2021

Title & Abstract

1. Do the title and abstract cover the main aspect of the work?

Yes, but they need to sharpen the conclusion and the practical implementation to reduce factors contributed to the low proportion of received ANC contents in Ethiopia.

Introduction

2. Does the introduction provide background and information relevant to the study?

Yes, the introduction provides background about the importance of ANC to monitor pregnancy and reduce neonatal mortality, stillbirths, and maternal mortality. The study also provides the information regarding the adequate use of ANC, the coverage level of ANC contents, and factors associated with the utilization of ANC.

Material and Methods

3. Are the methods clear and replicable? Do all the results presented match the methods described?

Yes, the methods are clear and replicable. However, the procedure/data analysis of sampling weight should be more specific. The presented results also match with the describing methods.

Results

4. If relevant are the results novel? Does the study provide an advance in the field? Is the data plausible?

The results are good but those can be improved or novel if the authors can further show on how to improve the low proportion of ANC coverage in terms of contents or quality in the next study.

Discussion

5. Do the findings described by the author correlate with the results? Are the findings relevant?

Yes, the findings correlate with the results and are compared with other relevant studies.

Conclusion

6. Do the conclusions correlate to the results found?

Yes, the conclusions correlate to the results.

Figures & Tables

7. If the author has provided figures and tables are the figures and tables clear and legible? Are the figures free from unnecessary modification?

The legend of Figure 1 needs to be more readable.

Do not need a label of Figure 1 on the top just the legend is more than enough.

The author can make Y axis on Figure 1 like it is in Figure 2, just to make it simple.

The legend of Figure 2 should be written as "Number of received ANC contents" and then do not need to put the label "Number of receive ANC contents" on the top. This makes confusion in reading Figure 2.

Please clear the gridlines on each figure to make it simple.

8. Does the paper raise any concerns?

The paper does not raise any concerns. The statistical analysis is appropriate to the research. The references are relevant to the study but need to be corrected and more consistent in the writing style. I do not have concerns regarding similarities to other articles published by the same authors.

Competing interest



9. Do any of the authors' competing interests raise concerns about the validity of the study i.e. have the authors' competing interests created a bias in the reporting of the results and conclusions?

No, they do not.

English editing

 $10. \ Do\ you\ think\ the\ manuscript\ requires\ English\ editing\ to\ correct\ the\ grammar\ or\ flow?$

Yes

Recommendations to the Editor

11. Recommendations to Editors

Satisfactory

12. Would you be willing to review a revision of this manuscript?

Yes

Additional comments

No additional comments

Confidential comments for Editor

_

Competing Interest Disclosure

none

Peer Reviewer Competing Interest Disclosure

-



Gmail

Q invitation to review

Compose

Inbox

1,952

Starred

Snoozed

Sent

Drafts 77

dewi.anggraini@unlam....

Meet

New meeting

My meetings

Hangouts



+

No recent chats Start a new one

Dove Medical Press invites you to review a Health Submission ID: 327750 External Inbox:

Ms Eileen Dixon <eileen@dovepress.com>

to me

Dear Dr Anggraini

We invite you to join our peer review community to complete a review of

Manuscript title: Extent of received antenatal care components in Ethiop

Article type: Original Research

Author: Mr Kasiye Shiferaw

Journal: International Journal of Women's Health (Journal impact factor <a href="https://www.dovepress.com/international-journal-of-womens-health-

Abstract: Porpuse: this study aimed at identifying the extent of received Methods: A nationally representative performance monitoring for action pregnant or recently postpartum women nested within 217 enumeration the semi-structured questionnaire. We dichotomized the received ANC c ANC contents and inadequate otherwise. A multilevel poison regression interval and a p-value of less than 0.05 was considered as a cut-off poin

①

(i)

(i)

CiteScore 2021

3.5

SJR 2021

0.646

SNIP 2021

1.319

Source details

International Journal of Women's Health

Open Access (i)

Scopus coverage years: from 2009 to Present

Publisher: Dove Medical Press

ISSN: 1179-1411

Subject area: (Nursing: Maternity and Midwifery) (Medicine: Obstetrics and Gynecology) (Medicine: Oncology)

Source type: Journal

CiteScore CiteScore rank & trend Scopus content coverage

Improved CiteScore methodology

CiteScore 2021 counts the citations received in 2018-2021 to articles, reviews, conference papers, book chapters and data papers published in 2018-2021, and divides this by the number of publications published in 2018-2021. Learn more >

CiteScore 2021

$$3.5 = \frac{1.366 \text{ Citations } 2018 - 2021}{392 \text{ Documents } 2018 - 2021}$$


Calculated on 05 May, 2022

CiteScoreTracker 2022 ①

$$3.0 = \frac{1.174 \text{ Citations to date}}{389 \text{ Documents to date}}$$

Last updated on 05 August, 2022 • Updated monthly

CiteScore rank 2021 ①



View CiteScore methodology > CiteScore FAQ > Add CiteScore to your site &

About Scopus

What is Scopus

Content coverage

Scopus blog

Scopus API

Privacy matters

Language

日本語版を表示する

查看简体中文版本

查看繁體中文版本

Просмотр версии на русском языке

Customer Service

Help

Tutorials

Contact us

ELSEVIER

Copyright © Elsevier B.V 对. All rights reserved. Scopus® is a registered trademark of Elsevier B.V.

We use cookies to help provide and enhance our service and tailor content. By continuing, you agree to the use of cookies \mathbb{Z} .

