

CERTIFICATION for the PEER REVIEW PROCESS &
EVALUATION of the PEER REVIEW PROCESS &
CERTIFICATION for NON EXISTENCE of ARTIFICIAL CITATIONS
and ANTI-PLAGIARISM CONTROL

Name: Laila Refiana Said

Institution: Lambung Mangkurat University

City: Banjarmasin

Country: Indonesia

Phone: +628115009644

Academic Email: Lrsaid@ulm.ac.id

I declare, I confirm, I certify and I sign that I received substantial, important, line by line peer review with several and substantial comments, important remarks and hints from, at least, 3 Reviewers and the Assistant Editor for my paper: ‘The Impact of Perceived Benefits of Corporate Social Responsibility Initiatives on Wetland Farming Communities in Indonesia’ with Authors: Laila Refiana Said, Hastin Umi Anisah, Muhammad Riza Firdaus, Rusniati Rusniati, and Muhammad Karunia Rachman.

I would like to thank all the reviewers for their thoughtful comments and efforts towards improving our manuscript. We revised the manuscript with special attention to the comments that we received from **Five (5)** reviewers that were experts, specialists in the area of my paper.

I declare, confirm, certify and sign that WSEAS has checked my paper for possible plagiarism by Turnitin and my paper was found without plagiarism or self-plagiarism by Turnitin. I also declare, confirm, certify and sign that also that no Associate-Editor, no Editor-in-Chief, no member of the WSEAS Secretariat forced me in this Journal to add references (citations) to any previous publications of the journal.

I also declare, confirm, certify and sign that I have made all the changes, modifications, additions, studies, corrections asked by the reviewers and I have fully complied with their instructions. I also understand that before the publication the 3 (or more than 3) reviewers will check my paper to see if all the changes, modifications, additions, studies, corrections etc have been done and I authorize the WSEAS to publish my paper or to reject my paper even in the 2nd round of peer review or to continue with an additional round of peer review.

I also declare, I confirm, I certify and I sign that I will not publish this paper or an important part of the paper in any other Journal (inside or outside WSEAS) Conference Proceedings (inside or outside WSEAS), Book (inside

or outside WSEAS), University Repository etc) without the written permission of the WSEAS.

I also declare, I confirm, I certify and I sign that this paper or an important part of the paper has not been published other Journal (inside or outside WSEAS) Conference Proceedings (inside or outside WSEAS), Book (inside or outside WSEAS), University Repository etc). In case of violation of the above terms, WSEAS can reject any unpublished paper or even retract any published paper.

Please, write additional comments below

We have received the results of peer-review from five reviewers. The reviews were very useful to improve our article and corrections were made accordingly. I would like to thank the action editors and the anonymous reviewers for their insightful advice throughout the review process.

Signature

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'R. A. A.', written over a horizontal line.

Date: December 31, 2021

Reviewer 1:

1. What is the scientific novelty of this study?

This study contributes to the social impact of CSR by focusing on both community and individual.

2. What is the originality of this study?

This study sheds light on the complex link between the community and the individual.

3. What is the scientific significance of this study?

Most research focuses on CSR's macro-level benefits, with less attention paid to the micro-level effects of CSR on individual behaviors and attitudes.

4. What is the essence of the research methodology?

Mix method using qualitative and quantitative approaches.

5. With what methodology did the researchers try to solve the problem

Quantitative by Structural Equation Modeling and qualitative by depth interview with farmers.

6. What is the essence of the mathematical model of this generator?

N/A (This is a Business and economy-related paper; hence it does not involve mathematical equations).

7. What is the novelty of The final measurement model?

The community resilience scale had three types of capital: social, environmental, and economic. The dimensions of community wellbeing were classified into seven broad domains (social, economic, environmental, physical, political, health, and place attachment), each of which was assumed to contribute to a more comprehensive measure of community wellbeing. The perceived competence was developed that include knowledge, skill, and expertise dimensions for this study (p.6).

8. What is the novelty of The structural model?

The perceived benefit of CSR initiatives did not influence perceived competence. This finding contradicts previous research in environmentally concerned hotels, indicating a correlation between two variables (p.8).

9. What is the originality of the Algorithm

N/A

10. What is the scientific novelty and originality of the triple profit theory and social psychology?

There were two theoretical frameworks used in this study: the triple bottom line perspective and the community psychology perspective. For the triple bottom line perspective, CSR emphasizes the importance of three dimensions of responsibility:

economic, environmental, and social, viewed as dimensions of stakeholder expectations. The current study focuses on the social responsibility part of CSR, especially in the face of natural disasters in wetlands.

By adapting psychological empowerment in the community psychology (social psychology) theoretical framework, we seek to investigate the impact of community wellbeing and resilience on the individual perceived competence of farmers as they are part of the wetland community.

11. What hypothesis did the researchers want to prove in this article?

H1: Perceived benefits of CSR initiatives positively affect community resilience.

H2: Perceived benefits of CSR initiatives positively affect community wellbeing.

H3: Community resilience positively affects community wellbeing.

H4: Community wellbeing positively affects perceived competence.

H5: Community resilience positively affects perceived competence.

H6: Perceived benefits of CSR initiatives positively affect perceived competence.

12. This work is done at a good level and has scientific significance.

We appreciate this comment. Thank you.

Does the paper contain direction for future research and recommendations?:

Yes it does. In section 5 Conclusion, Limitations and Future Research:

P. 8: The upcoming research should utilize a larger sample so that the characteristics of wetland farmers are better represented. Data from other wetlands in other countries should be obtained.

P. 9: Future research should employ a longitudinal approach to investigate a similar framework. Future research should incorporate data from other sources, such as interviews with companies and other stakeholders, to cross-check statements more objectively. In doing so, the more complex model that investigates the intervening effects could be investigated.

Reviewer 2:

This article about The Impact of Perceived Benefits of Corporate Social Responsibility Initiatives on Wetland Farming Communities in Indonesia. This study investigated the community's perception of CSR in wetland communities after flood disasters. Data were from 49 farmer groups in South Kalimantan Province, Indonesia. Article is well written. Author should check the journal format particular in table. Experimental data are acceptable.

Response: We thank you for the positive reviews.

Reviewer 3:

1. Shauki [4] found that the perception of CSR performance influences investor decisions to invest in companies. During the 1980s and 1990s, it began to be manifested in concrete actions against environmental protection, especially in developed countries, and the holding of various world-level meetings to discuss these issues [20]. The reference number can be arranged orderly.

Response: the reference number were automatically ordered based on alphabetical orders in the bibliography using Mendeley.

2. Community wellbeing has no significant effect on perceived competence. Community resilience has no significant effect on perceived .competence. The authors need to focus on the improvement of the community.

3. More Results & Feedback from the community can be added.

Responses to 2 and 3: The results and feedback from the farmers for community improvement can be found on section Discussion pp 7-8.

Reviewer 4:

Following are the comments:

1) Paper is good and it can be accepted after minor changes.

Thank you.

2) Explain more regarding the existing work.

The explanation regarding the existing work has been added in Sections 1 and 4.

3) Mathematical modeling is very weak so author improve it.

N/A (This is a Business and economy-related paper; hence it does not involve mathematical equations).

4) Many Spellings mistakes and remove grammatical errors.

Grammarly and a proofreader have checked and corrected the article.

5) Paper formatting is not perfect.

The article used a Template provided on the journal website

Reviewer 5:

This paper in the field of economy is well structured following the guidelines for writing a research article. Many structural models can be developed and data analysis can be done on these structural models so that we can find out the best model among the proposed models. This approach will be much more better rather

than comparing our model with the existing literature. New dataset can be created using real time data rather than using the already existing dataset for experimental analysis. This will help us to identify accurately whether our proposed model is better than the other existing models without any bias.

Resposn:

Prior to the paper submission, we had tested and compared several structural models. The model proposed in this paper was the one that had the best GoF compared to the alternate models. For paper conciseness, those initial studies were not included in paper writing.