

Rabiatul Adawiah <rabiatuladawiah@ulm.ac.id>

9 September 2021 10.50

Acceptance Letter

1 pesan

Luiz Felipe Viel Moreira <editor@revista-uem.uno> Kepada: Rabiatul Adawiah <rabiatuladawiah@ulm.ac.id>

Dear

Rabiatul Adawiah, Darmiyati Lambung Mangkurat University, Banjarmasin, Indonesia

Warm Greetings!

It' a great pleasure to inform you that, after the peer review process, your article entitle "**Development of Web-Based Self Assessment Instruments to Assess the Social Attitude of Students**" has been accepted for publication in DIALOGOS Volume 25 Number 2 of 2021, please make a payment for publication fee.

Thank you for submitting your work to this journal. We hope to receive it in the future too.

Best Regards,

--Luiz Felipe Viel Moreira Editorial Board DIALOGOS

LoA_DIALOGOS_14.pdf 423K



Rabiatul Adawiah <rabiatuladawiah@ulm.ac.id>

Revision Article for DIALOGOS

4 pesan

Rabiatul Adawiah <rabiatuladawiah@ulm.ac.id> Kepada: "Luiz Felipe Viel Moreira" <editor@revista-uem.uno> 18 Juni 2021 11.45

26 Juni 2021 10.49

Dear Editor of DIALOGOS

Thank you for the patience of the DIALOGOS Editorial Board, waiting for the revision of my article.

I have made improvements according to the reviewer's instructions.

Revised article attached.

Best Regards,

Rabiatul Adawiah Lambung Mangkurat University, Banjarmasin, Indonesia

Revision1_DIALOGOS.doc 472K

Luiz Felipe Viel Moreira <editor@revista-uem.uno> Kepada: Rabiatul Adawiah <rabiatuladawiah@ulm.ac.id>

Dear

Rabiatul Adawiah Lambung Mangkurat University, Banjarmasin, Indonesia

Based on Reviewers' considerations, the article has, at some point, increased. However, you have to improve on a few more points. Hopefully, you are willing to do it.

Please follow the following revision instructions:

REVIEWER 1

1. Abstract must be made in a solid, consisting of all essential things related to the written topic, not repeating the conclusions of the research you have done.

2. The discussion section must be deepened, the author must relate the topics discussed with previous references. In this section the discussion is still weak because the references cited do not support it.

- 3. Conclusions should be concise and clearly explain the core findings of the study.
- 4. References need to be added to the latest relevant journal articles in the last 5 years.

REVIEWER 2

- 1. The existing method does not describe in detail the research process carried out.
- 2. The conclusions do not describe the overall results.
- 3. The references must be current and in the form of a journal article
- 4. The author must explain what the limitations of this study are.

Articles that have been revised and please send it back via this email.

Best Regards,

Luiz Felipe Viel Moreira Editorial Board DIALOGOS Rabiatul Adawiah <rabiatuladawiah@ulm.ac.id> Kepada: "Luiz Felipe Viel Moreira" <editor@revista-uem.uno>

Dear Editor of DIALOGOS

Thank you for your response, I will be happy to revise the article according to these instructions.

Best Regards,

Rabiatul Adawiah Lambung Mangkurat University, Banjarmasin, Indonesia [Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Rabiatul Adawiah <rabiatuladawiah@ulm.ac.id> Kepada: "Luiz Felipe Viel Moreira" <editor@revista-uem.uno> 13 Agustus 2021 10.11

Dear Editor of DIALOGOS

Thank you for the patience of the DIALOGOS Editorial Board in waiting for the submission of my second article revision.

I have made improvements according to the instructions from the reviewers. I am ready to wait for the next process.

Revised Article Attached.

Best Regards,

Rabiatul Adawiah Lambung Mangkurat University, Banjarmasin, Indonesia

Revision2_DIALOGOS.doc 472K



Articles for the DIALOGOS

5 pesan

Rabiatul Adawiah <rabiatuladawiah@ulm.ac.id> 08.20 Kepada: editor@revista-uem.uno

Dear: Editor of DIALOGOS

I am a lecturer at Lambung Mangkurat University, Banjarmasin, Indonesia

I have completed a scientific article entitled "Development of Web-Based Self Assessment Instruments to Assess the Social Attitude of Students".

I hope this article can be published in the DIALOGOS, because there are interesting findings in the scientific article that can become new treasures in science.

I am willing to go through the review process following DIALOGOS standards.

Best Regards,

Rabiatul Adawiah Lambung Mangkurat University, Banjarmasin, Indonesia

Articles for DIALOGOS.doc 485K

Luiz Felipe Viel Moreira <editor@revista-uem.uno> Kepada: Rabiatul Adawiah <rabiatuladawiah@ulm.ac.id>

Dear Rabiatul Adawiah Lambung Mangkurat University, Banjarmasin, Indonesia

The DIALOGOS Editorial Board has received your article entitled" **Development of Web-Based Self Assessment Instruments to Assess the Social Attitude of Students**". We appreciate your interest in DIALOGOS.

Your article will be processed according to the publication standards at DIALOGOS. I beg you to be patient waiting for the notification from the DIALOGOS Editorial Board.

Always check your email, because the correspondence is only via email.

Best Regards,

Luiz Felipe Viel Moreira Editorial Board DIALOGOS [Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

 $https://mail.google.com/mail/u/6?ik=6d11d17013 \& view=pt \& search=all \& permthid=thread-a\%3Ar4557502723220948305 \& simpl=msg-a\%3Ar45525\dots 1/6$

4 Mei 2021

5 Mei 2021 10.10

Rabiatul Adawiah <rabiatuladawiah@ulm.ac.id> Kepada: "Luiz Felipe Viel Moreira" <editor@revista-uem.uno>

Dear: Editor of DIALOGOS

Thank you for your response.

I am happy to wait for the next stage.

Best Regards,

Rabiatul Adawiah

Lambung Mangkurat University, Banjarmasin, Indonesia [Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Luiz Felipe Viel Moreira <editor@revista-uem.uno> Kepada: Rabiatul Adawiah <rabiatuladawiah@ulm.ac.id>

Dear Rabiatul Adawiah Lambung Mangkurat University, Banjarmasin, Indonesia

The DIALOGOS Editorial Board has reviewed your article, the research topic is very interesting, but we decided that your article could not be accepted immediately. You must improve your article on several points.

I am sure you are willing to make this improvement because your scientific articles must meet DIALOGOS standards.

Please correct your article according to the instructions from the DIALOGOS Reviewers.

REVIEWER 1

1. This article is very actual and interesting, but the author needs to show the novelty of this research. I think the novelty claim is still not that strong

2. Literature review needs to be strengthened to discuss problems

3. The author must explain the method used in this study in detail until the data analysis

4. The results and discussion need to be compared with previous findings so that the novelty of this study is clearer

5. References need to be reproduced with the latest journals relevant to the research theme

REVIEWER 2

1. Show why this research is important or urgent to do in relation to the blankness of literature

2. Abstract is too long and wordy, the abstract must be concise and interesting that shows the problems, objectives, methods and novelty of the research results.

3. The author must map similar studies beforehand to show the novelty of this research

4. The discussion is not in depth, so deepen the discussion with the findings of previous researchers

5. References need to be added to the latest relevant journal articles in the last 5 years.

I hope you can make improvements as soon as possible, and send the revised article again via this email.

Best Regards,

Luiz Felipe Viel Moreira Editorial Board DIALOGOS [Kutipan teks disembunyikan] 11 Mei 2021 11.21

24 Mei 2021 10.21

Rabiatul Adawiah <rabiatuladawiah@ulm.ac.id> Kepada: "Luiz Felipe Viel Moreira" <editor@revista-uem.uno>

Dear: Editor of DIALOGOS

Thank you for your response.

I will immediately correct the article according to reviewers.

Best Regards,

Rabiatul Adawiah Lambung Mangkurat University, Banjarmasin, Indonesia [Kutipan teks disembunyikan]