

(tanpa subjek)  Kotak Masuk



Leila Ariyani Sofia

 18 Okt

My name is leila. I'm lecturer of Lambung Mangkurat University, Indonesia. I ...



gavriloaie ionel claudiu

ke saya

04:27 [Tampilkan detailnya](#)



Dear Dr. Leila Sofia,

We received your manuscript. We need some time for a preliminary analysis and then you will have an answer. Since I will be your editor, we will keep in touch from now on. Thank you very much!

With best regards,
Claudiu Gavrioloaie, PhD
editor-in-chief AACL Bioflux journal

On Sunday, October 21, 2018 10:25 AM, Mag Ioan Valentin
<zoobiomag2004@yahoo.com> wrote:

Petrescu-Mag I. V., PhD, Hab. Senior Lecturer & Senior Researcher (CSI) USAMV Cluj & Bioflux SRL & University of Oradea & University of Liege Tel: 0040 744470794 ; e-mail zoobiomag2004@yahoo.com Visit our journals: Aquaculture, Aquarium, Conservation & Legislation www.bioflux.com.ro/aac Advances in Environmental Sciences www.aes.bioflux.com.ro Human & Veterinary Medicine www.hvm.bioflux.com.ro Advances in Agriculture & Botany www.aab.bioflux.com.ro Animal Biology & Animal Husbandry www.abah.bioflux.com.ro Extreme Life, Biospeology & Astrobiology www.elba.bioflux.com.ro Porcine Research www.porc.bioflux.com.ro Rabbit Genetics



gavriloaie ionel claudiu

ke saya

Kemarin [Tampilkan detailnya](#)



Dear Dr. Leila Sofia,

The paper is still under the review process. I will ask the reviewers about its status.

Thank you!

Sincerely yours,
Claudiu Gavrioloaie

[Tampilkan kutipan teks](#)

Thank you for your
information.

Thank you very
much.

Thank you for your
reply.

the revision fpr the paper "The economic value of the resource utilization of wetlands: comparative study of beje fisheries in North Hulu Sungai Regency..." External Inbox x



gavriloaie ionel claudiu <ionelclaudiu@yahoo.com>
to me, firlanty80@gmail.com

Jan 18, 2019, 9:16 PM ★ ↶ ⋮

Dear Dr. Leila Sofia,

Here you have the revision for your manuscript. The subject is very interesting, the study was well conducted and then presented, the English is of high quality, the references section is extended and up to date...Overall, it is a high quality paper and we are glad to have it in our journal.

However, there are some comments to be addressed. For that, **work only in attached document and highlight all the changes you will do.**

Regarding the publication fee of 200 USD + bank charges, pay it by using only one of the variants below. After the payment, send me a scan copy of the bank document. Make sure you pay all the bank taxes so we will receive no less than 200 USD.

Thank you very much!

Cordially yours,
Claudiu Gavrioloaie

1st variant of payment:

Beneficiary: Bioflux SRL
City: Cluj-Napoca,
Country: Romania, European Union;
SWIFT CODE of the bank: BTRLRO22
Account (IBAN):
USD: RO68BTRL01302202L28614XX (Cluj-Napoca)
EURO: RO19BTRL01304202L28614XX (Cluj-Napoca)

Bank:
BANCA TRANSILVANIA

Important! When bank transfer is used to pay a publication fee, please pay attention to which modality of payment you chose! There are three options: Ben, Our, Us.


Use always "Us" option (meaning that all the bank transfer costs are in your concern/ authors support them and not the publisher). If you forgot to mention that and you let the bank to set "Ben" or "Our" options, we receive about 60 usd instead of 100 usd or about 140 usd instead of 200 usd. Such payments are not valid and you need to pay again for the rest of the sum and one more transfer charge.

2nd variant of payment:

via Paypal. If you can process such a payment we can start the online form. For this variant, please contact dr. Petrescu-Mag (zoobiomag2004@yahoo.com).

2 Attachments





The economic value of the resource utilization of wetlands: comparative study of *beje* fisheries in North Hulu Sungai Regency, South Kalimantan, Indonesia

Leila A. Sofia, Susi Nurlianti

Study Program of Fisheries Agribusiness, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, Lambung Mangkurat University, South Kalimantan, Indonesia. Corresponding author: L. A. Sofia, leila.ariyani@ulm.ac.id

Abstract. *Beje* fishery in wetlands is generally managed by fishermen only on the basis of their own capital and experience, so that land is cleared as far as possible without considering the financial feasibility aspects of the business and the conservation of wetlands. The aims of the study were to determine the volume and value of production, and the feasibility of *beje* fishery business based on the number of management units. The location of the study was determined purposively, namely Papuyu River Village, North Hulu Sungai Regency of South Kalimantan, and samples were taken by census of 20 fisherman *beje* households. The samples are grouped into 3 groups based on the number of managed *beje* units are small groups (1-5 units); middle group (6-10 units); and large groups (more than 10 units). Primary data were analyzed using descriptive analysis and business feasibility analysis through investment criteria approach. The results showed that the highest total *beje* production volume per unit is categorized as the moderate level with production reaching 100.91 kg year⁻¹ with the highest production value per unit was approximately Rp 1,244,090 year⁻¹. The middle management group has better land use, capital and operational efficiency than small and large groups.

Key Words: inland fisheries, wetlands, conservation, feasibility studies, management.

Introduction. Wetlands cover nearly 6% of the earth's surface, including swamp, fen, peat land or peat lands, either natural or artificial, permanent or transient, in the form of static or flowing freshwater, brackish or saline, including the area of sea water with receding depth not exceeding six meters (Stuip et al 2002). Wetland systems have benefited directly, or indirectly, to the world's population. A single wetland system may provide multiple types of ecosystem services depending on wetland conditions of type, location, condition, utilization, etc. (Whiteoak & Binney 2012). Wetlands play an important role in slowing down and storing flood waters (Leschine et al 1997), controlling pollution, contributing to local and national economies by producing resources and providing recreation (EPA 2006; Früh et al 2013; Das et al 2015).

Fisheries and aquaculture in the mainland has contributed more than 40% of the world production of finned fish were reported from at least 0.01% of the total volume of water on earth. This fishery provides food for billions and livelihoods for millions of the world's population (Lynch et al 2016). Traditional fishing is an important livelihood for most households (especially the poor) in wetland areas, both as a source of household protein as well as investment resources, business and cash income (Kasthala et al 2008). Similarly aquaculture in wetlands is able to provide fish production (nutrient supply) and high economic benefits for farmers (Chandra et al 2010; Olayo et al 2014).

However, state development and development activities threaten the inland fisheries, the government does not make it a priority and one that produces, inland fisheries is considered low and exploited on a large scale (Cooke et al 2016). Competition of wetland use in various interests has the potential to threaten the availability of land and fishery resources in it. The Ramsar data show that in 1994 about 84% of registered

Commented [indra1]: it is the same thing, why twice?

Commented [indra2]: it is a little bit confusing. Make it more clear (you can split it in several shorter sentences)

wetlands have been or are threatened by ecological changes, such as drainage for agriculture, settlement and regional development, pollution and hunting (Stuip et al 2002).

One of the many traditional fisheries systems developed in several areas of Indonesia's wetlands (such as Sumatra and Kalimantan) is *beje*. *Beje* fishery has been developed by the people of South Kalimantan from generation to generation, especially in the freshwater swamp area of North Hulu Sungai Regency. *Beje* is a pond in a deliberately created swampland and serves as a natural fish trap when migrating fish seek protection when the water depth is in critical condition, it can also be used as a place to nourish and raise fish in the dry season (Najiyati et al 2005; Bijaksana 2006; Herliwati & Rahman 2011; Sumantriyadi 2014). After the *beje* pond is prepared, then the fish entering the *beje* are allowed to grow naturally without any treatment. At the peak of the dry season the plains around *beje* dry, water and fish in *beje* isolated and trapped, then the fish can be harvested (Rupawan 2004). Types of fish that are harvested are generally black fish groups that have habitat in the swampy marsh, such as common snakehead (*Channa striata*), giant snakehead (*C. micropeltes*), climbing perch (*Anabas testudineus*), snakeskin gourami (*Trichogaster pectoralis*), three spot gourami (*T. trichopterus*) and other swamp fish (Burnawi 2009), and these fish belong to important economically valuable fish (Sofia 2017). Some fish species with very high demand, and the specific fish produced only from local wetlands will lead to very high prices in the market, thus providing a significant effect on fisherman's income (Deka et al 2001). This indicates that *beje* has the potential to be further developed, where changes in natural ecosystems are relatively small, even able to maintain local fish species, and support food security and income sources for local communities.

However, the number of units and the size of *beje* managed by local fishermen is based solely on their own capital and experience, so that many wetlands are opened as widely as possible without considering financial feasibility aspects. While Barbier et al (1997) states that for the purpose of wetland conservation, policy is required that does not neglect the loss or degradation of further wetlands through sustainable use and research to measure the value of wetlands. Hence, the objective of the present study was to determine the volume and value of production, as well as the feasibility of *beje* fishery business based on the number of management units.

Material and Method

Description of the study sites. North Hulu Sungai is one of South Kalimantan region with an area of wetlands to ±50,000 ha. Almost 98.82% of the marsh waters are periodically flooded (CBS North Hulu Sungai Regency 2014) and swamplands will experience drought for some time during the dry season. Drought conditions are used by local communities to trap fish that are trying to find a source of water by making a well dug in the swamp land. This research was conducted in Sungai Papuyu Village Babirik District which is one of *beje* fishery development area in North Hulu Sungai Regency in January-April 2017 (Figure 1). Locations are deliberately chosen based on the number of fisherman households working on *beje* and the variety of fisherman-run units. The *beje* fishery in the study location is managed by 20 fisherman households with total *beje* of 145 units. The number of *beje* managed by each fisherman household varies between 5 and 15 units.

Populasi and sampel. The population in this research is fisherman households who work on *beje* fishery with the number of members as many as 20 households. The members of the population are all sampled. The sample will be divided into three groups based on the number of ownership of *beje* units cultivated. Group I as a small unit is a household that seeks 1 to 5 units; group II as middle unit is households that work on 6 to 10 units; and group III as large unit is households that work on more than 10 units.

Commented [indra3]: actually the valid name is Trichopodus: <http://www.fishbase.org/Nomenclature/SynonymsList.php?ID=499&SynCode=8480&GenusName=Trichopodus&SpeciesName=pectoralis...tolong>

Same for T. trichopterus.

Commented [indra4]: this is not English




Figure 1. The location of *beje* at Babirik district, Hulu Sungai Utara, Indonesia.

Data analysis. The long-term business feasibility of *beje* fishery is determined using the investment criteria approach (Gittinger 1986), as follows:

a. Net Present Value (NPV):

$$NPV = \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{B_t - C_t}{(1 + i)^t}$$

where: B_t = total benefit in year- t ;
 C_t = total costs in year- t ;
 n = the economic life of the project;
 i = discount rate.

Decision criteria: $NPV > 0$ - profitable business to be developed further; $NPV = 0$ - business is on break even; $NPV < 0$ - unprofitable business to be further developed.

b. Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR):

$$BCR = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^n B_t}{\sum_{t=1}^n C_t}$$

Decision criteria: $BCR < 1$ - unprofitable business to run; $BCR > 1$ - profitable business to run.

c. Internal Rate of Return (IRR):

$$IRR = i' + \frac{NPV'}{NPV' - NPV} (i - i')$$

where: i_1 = the rate of discount rate that produces NPV_1 (the smallest positive);
 i_2 = the rate of discount rate that produces NPV_2 (the smallest negative).

Decision criteria: $IRR < \text{discount rate}$ - unprofitable business to run; $IRR > \text{discount rate}$ - profitable business to run.

Results. *Beje* fishery generally has cultivated a local fisherman range 5-10 years (65%), and 35% *beje* has cultivated over 10 years. *Beje* which is managed by fishermen has generally a rectangular shape with area based on ownership group is small group around 57.80-462.40 m²; the middle group ranges from 144.50 to 1,300.50 m²; and large groups ranged from 9,392.5 to 10,837.50 m² (Table 1). Total production of *beje* per household ranges from 338.64 to 920 kg year⁻¹. Fish produced generally consists of snakeskin gourami (*Trichopodus pectoralis*) as much as 103.57-345 kg; three spot gourami (*Trichopodus trichopterus*) as much as 147.5-355 kg; common snakehead (*Channa striata*) as much as 41.71-115.46 kg; and climbing perch (*Anabas testudineus*) as much as 45.86-110 kg. While production per unit *beje* ranged from 74 to 100.91 kg year⁻¹ (Table 2).

Commented [indra5]: not clear, please rephrase

Commented [indra6]: within the table you have different value: only 722.50

Table 1

Size of *beje* by unit and household

| Group | Size per unit (m ²) | | | Size per household (m ²) | | |
|--------|---------------------------------|----------|---------|--------------------------------------|----------|-----------|
| | Largest | Smallest | Average | Largest | Smallest | Average |
| Small | 462.40 | 57.80 | 310.68 | 2,312.00 | 231.20 | 1,338.69 |
| Middle | 1,300.50 | 144.50 | 459.77 | 8,670.00 | 1,011.50 | 3,586.23 |
| Large | 722.50 | - | 722.50 | 10,837.50 | 9,392.50 | 10,115.00 |

Table 2

Average *beje* production by type of fish and business group (in a year)

| Type of fish | Small | | Middle | | Large | |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| | Vol. unit ⁻¹ (kg) | Total (kg) | Vol. unit ⁻¹ (kg) | Total (kg) | Vol. unit ⁻¹ (kg) | Total (kg) |
| Snakeskin gourami | 23.71 | 103.57 | 28.18 | 211.82 | 25 | 345 |
| Three spot gourami | 29.57 | 147.5 | 45.00 | 333.18 | 27 | 355 |
| Common snakehead | 9.86 | 41.71 | 15.00 | 115.46 | 8 | 110 |
| Climbing perch | 10.86 | 45.86 | 12.73 | 96.36 | 8 | 110 |
| Total | 74.00 | 338.64 | 100.91 | 756.82 | 68 | 920 |

The production value of *beje* catch depends on the amount and type of fish produced, as well as the price of the fish. The higher the number and price of the fish caught, the greater the income the fishermen will get. Table 3 shows that snakeskin gourami has the highest production value because its total production is highest, although the selling price is still below the price of common snakehead which is around Rp 15,000-30,000 kg⁻¹. While the second highest production value is common snakehead with a value of Rp 1,131,140-2,875,000; and the selling price per kg reaches Rp 20,000-35,000.

Table 3

Average *beje* production value by type of fish and business group (in a year)

| Type of fish | Small | | Middle | | Large | |
|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| | Per unit (Rp 000) | Total (Rp 000) | Per unit (Rp 000) | Total (Rp 000) | Per unit (Rp 000) | Total (Rp 000) |
| Snakeskin gourami | 554.21 | 2,416.43 | 430.45 | 3,243.64 | 405 | 5,565 |
| Three spot gourami | 147.86 | 632.14 | 225.00 | 1,665.91 | 135 | 1,775 |
| Common snakehead | 272.00 | 1,131.14 | 329.55 | 2,481.82 | 205 | 2,875 |
| Climbing perch | 220.43 | 925.14 | 259.09 | 1,940.91 | 160 | 2,200 |
| Total | 1,194.50 | 5,104.85 | 1,244.09 | 9,332.28 | 905 | 12,415 |

Beje fishery business in each of the average management group requires investment capital of more than Rp 8 million. The highest total investment capital is large group Rp 19,760,000; and the lowest investment is the middle group only Rp 8,755,000. While the operational cost of *beje* in 5 years of highest management is small group Rp 21,577,000; and the lowest operational cost is the middle group Rp 15,428,000. The highest total

revenue and profits are large, while the lowest is the small group (Table 4). Table 4 shows the results of business feasibility analysis with the NPV criterion with a 9% factor discount indicating that in each group can generate profit, where the smallest profit in small group (Rp 3,782,000) and the biggest profit in large group (Rp 44,812,000). The result of NPV analysis with 12% discount factor also still give advantage to each management group. While the result of business feasibility analysis based on BCR criteria at 9% and 12% discount factor, the value of BCR in each group is above 1 which means that each group of management unit is feasible to be cultivated in long term. The lowest BCR value is in the small group and the highest is the large group. While the results of the analysis based on the IRR criteria indicate that the lowest IRR value is small group (16.04%) and the highest is the middle group (19.17%).

Table 4
Beje's business feasibility analysis by business group within 5 years of management

| <i>Business feasibility criteria</i> | <i>Small</i> | <i>Middle</i> | <i>Large</i> |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| Investment cost (Rp 000) | 9,918 | 8,755 | 19,760 |
| Total cost (Rp 000) | 21,577 | 15,428 | 15,917 |
| Total revenue (Rp 000) | 25,529 | 46,650 | 62,150 |
| Profit (Rp 000) | 3,952 | 31,222 | 46,233 |
| NPV 9% (Rp 000) | 3,782 | 30,280 | 44,812 |
| NPV 12% (Rp 000) | 2,171 | 21,348 | 31,387 |
| BCR 9% | 1.18 | 3.00 | 3.86 |
| BCR 12% | 1.13 | 2.74 | 3.34 |
| IRR (%) | 16.04 | 19.17 | 19.01 |

Discussion. *Beje* is a traditional fishery activity in the inland water swamp where fishing activities are continued with fish polyculture activities. Usually *beje* ponds that have been built by fishermen are left without any treatment until a number of fish from various types of swamp fish are trapped. *Beje* productivity is highly dependent on natural conditions and aquatic fertility, there is no special treatment in management to further encourage fish production, for example an increase in stocking density, feeding, or protection from predators. Therefore, the fish rearing period until harvesting generally takes some time, at least one year. The experience of fishermen shows that the age of *beje* is enough to determine the amount of fish production that can be produced. *Beje* which is old (more than 10 years) allows a lot of fish seeds embedded in it. Adult fish will be harvested immediately, while seeds or young fish are deliberately left and raised to be harvested in the next season.

The results of the study indicate that *beje* business with different size and number of management units can still provide income for fishermen who develop it. The result of business feasibility analysis based on cost and revenue in 5 years of management shows that the three management groups are able to generate profit with ratio between cost and revenue more than 1, so that the three management groups are feasible to be developed further. Similarly, the IRR analysis shows that the IRR of each management group is more than the interest rate for small business loans (12%). Therefore, if the business development uses loan funds with standard bank interest rates, then each level of management still provides benefits for the managers.

However, the highest level of management with productivity per unit was the middle group reaching 100.91 kg year⁻¹ (Table 2). Similarly, the production value per unit of *beje* shows that the economic value of middle group unit (6-10 units) is higher than the other group which is Rp 1,244,090 year⁻¹. While the capital needed for the supply of *beje* units in the middle group is the smallest (Rp 8,755,000). While in large groups (> 10 units) both volume and production value per unit is the lowest at only 68 kg year⁻¹ with production value of Rp 905,000 year⁻¹, but the required total capital is higher is Rp 19,760,000.

Thus, the middle management group has better land use efficiency, capital and operational costs than small and large groups. In line with the results of Sarkar et al

(2015) study, the best annual production level in a dredged pond type culture can be achieved with good management practices, and it comes from small and medium scale cultivation because it is economically more feasible than other scales. Ownership of waters that are not too extensive requires fish farmers to intensify their efforts so that they lead to high productivity (Bairagya 2011). Small-scale polyculture fisheries are feasible (Olawumi et al 2010) with a positive NPV and an IRR of 19-24% (Bigwa 2013). Efforts to increase the productivity of *beje* can be done by developing middle group management unit and more intensifying *beje* maintenance as a fishery cultivation business. Chandra et al (2010) indicate that semi-intensive aquaculture type ponds in floodplain areas are able to provide fish production and high economic benefits for farmers. In addition, in the cultivation of ponds found that the factors that positively affect the production is the extent of the pond area, fish seed, feed, labor and other costs (Tajerin 2007; Onumah & Acquah 2010; Olawumi et al 2010; Adewuyi et al 2010), fish size (Sikiru et al 2009), location and level of water circulation (World Bank 2006), and management capabilities (Ahmed 2007).

Conclusions. The highest total *beje* production volume per unit is the middle group with production reaching 100.91 kg yr⁻¹. The highest production value per unit is in the middle group of Rp 1,244,090 yr⁻¹. The middle management group has better land use, capital and operational efficiency than small and large groups. The results of the study suggest that to increase the productivity of *beje* fisheries can be done by developing *beje* business in the middle group, and supported the application of semi-intensive production technology.

Acknowledgements. The researcher would like to thank the Fisheries and Husbandry Office of North Hulu Sungai Regency and Fishery Field Extension Officer for information and field assistance for this research, as well as for fishing communities in Babirik swamp area for information and cooperation.

References

- Adewuyi, S. A. B. B. Phillip, I. A. Ayinde and D. Akerele. 2010. Analysis of profitability of fish farming in Ogun State, Nigeria. *J Hum Ecol*, 31(3):179-184.
- Ahmed, N. 2007. Economics of aquaculture feeding practices: Bangladesh. In: Economics of Aquaculture Feeding Practices in Selected Asian Countries, in M.R. Hasan (ed) FAO Fisheries Technical Paper 505, Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations, Rome, Italy. p.33-64.
- Bairagya, R. 2011. Analysis of profitability of fish farming in West Bengal, India – a study report. *International Journal of Current Research*. 3 (11): 204-214.
- Barbier, E. B., Acreman, M. C. and Knowler, D. 1997. Economic valuation of wetlands: A guide for policy makers and planners. Ramsar Convention Bureau, Gland, Switzerland. 138 pp.
- Bigwa, C. 2013. Feasibility of aquaculture in Cameroon: the case of the Noun division in the West region [final project]. Fisheries Training Programme. United Nations University. Pp. 56.
- Bijaksana U. 2006. Preliminary studies reproductive bio-eco snakehead in the swamp Bangkau South Kalimantan Province. National Symposium of Biotechnology in Aquaculture 2006. Department of Aquaculture Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences Bogor Agricultural University and Research Institute of Fisheries Freshwater Aquaculture Marine and Fisheries Research Agency. 5 Juli 2006. [in Indonesian]
- Burnawi. 2009. Identification of fish species in fishery sanctuary of Talan Lake, South Kalimantan. *BTL*. 7 (2): 43 – 46. [in Indonesian]
- CBS North Hulu Sungai Regency. 2014. Kabupaten Hulu Sungai Utara Dalam Angka 2014. Central Bureau of Statistics of North Hulu Sungai Regency. South Kalimantan. Indonesia. [in Indonesian]

Commented [indra7]: which one of the two authors?

Commented [indra8]: edit the references list according to the journal format. Use as a model any published paper on the journal's website;

in case of the journals, write the full names

- Chandra, K.J., D. Sarker, M.A. Khaleque and D.R. Das. 2010. Economic analysis of floodplain aquaculture at Daudkandi upazilla in Comilla. *J. Bangladesh Agril. Univ.* 8(2): 323-332.
- Cooke, S.J, Allison, E.H., Beard, T. D. Jr., Arlinghaus, R., Arthington, Devin A.H., Bartley, M., Cowx, I.G., Fuentevilla, C., Leonard, N.J., Lorenzen, K., Lynch, A. J., Nguyen, V. M., Youn, S.J., Taylor, W.W., and Welcomme, R. L. 2016. On the sustainability of inland fisheries: Finding a future for the forgotten. *Ambio.* 45: 753-764.
- Das, S., B. Behera, and A. Mishra. 2015. Determinan of household use of wetland resources in West Bengal, India. *Wetlands Ecol Manage.* 23: 803-816.
- Deka, T.K., M. Kakati, and M.M. Goswami. 2001. Diversity of wetland fish and its impact on the income of fishermen community of Assam. *Journal of the Indian Fisheries Association.* 28: 11-22.
- EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 2006. Economic benefits of wetlands. EPA843-F-06-004. Office of Water. United State. 4 pp.
- Früh, S., U. Gattenlöhner, M. Hammerl, T. Hartmann, S. Hörmann, H. Megerle, and F. Spaich. 2013. Economic value of lakes and wetlands (Summary). *Global Nature Fund – Unversity of Applied Forest sciences – Lake Constance Foundation.* German. Pp.13.
- Gittinger, J. Price., 1986. *Economic Analysis of Agricultural Projects.* Second Edition. EDI Series in Economic Development. University of Indonesia (UI-Press). Jakarta. 579 pp. [in Indonesian]
- Herliwati and M. Rahman. 2011. Characteristics of eco-biological *beje* fishery in swamp area of Lake Bangkau, South Kalimantan. *Limnotek.* 18(1): 26 – 37. [in Indonesian]
- Kasthala, G., Hepelwa, A., Hamiss, H., Kwayu, E., Emerton, L., Springate-Baginski, O., Allen, D., and W. Darwall. 2008. An integrated assessment of the biodiversity, livelihood and economic value of wetlands in Mtanza-Msona Village, Tanzania. Tanzania Country Office, International Union for Conservation of Nature, Dar es Salaam. 156 pp.
- Leschine, T.M., K.F. Wellman, and T.H. Green.1997. The economic value of wetlands : wetlands' role in flood protection in Western Washington. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). 68 pp.
- Lynch, A.J., S.J. Cooke, A. M. Deines, S.D. Bower, D.B. Bunnell, I.G. Cowx, V.M. Nguyen, J. Nohner, K. Phouthavong, B.Riley, M.W. Rogers, W.W. Taylor, W.Woelmer, S.J. Youn, and T.D. Beard, Jr. 2016. The social, economic, and environmental importance of inland fish and fisheries. *Environ. Rev.*24:115-121
- Najiyati, S., Muslihat, L and Suryadiputra, I.N.N. 2005. Guidelines for the management of peatlands for sustainable agriculture. *Climate Change, Forests and Peatlands Projects in Indonesia.* Wetlands International - Indonesia Programmed an Wildlife Habitat Canada. Bogor. Indonesia. 198 pp. [in Indonesian]
- Olaoye, J. O., Adegbite, D.A., Oluwalana, E.O., Vaughan, I.O., Odebiyi, C.O., and Adediji, A.P. 2014. Comparative evaluation of economic benefits of earthen fish ponds and concrete tanks in aquaculture enterprises in Oyo State, Nigeria. *Croatian Journal of Fisheries.* 72: 107 – 117.
- Olawumi, A.T., A.O. Dipeolu, and H. Bamiro. 2010. Economic analysis of homestead fish production in Ogun State Nigeria. *J. Hum Ecol.* 31 (1): 13 – 17.
- Onumah, E.E. and H.D. Acquah. 2010. Frontier analysis of aquaculture farms in the Southern Sector of Ghana. *World Applied Sciences J.* 9(7): 826-835.
- Rupawan. 2004. *Beje* as a production pond in the swamp land. *Research Center for Inland Water Fisheries Palembang.* Pp. 189 – 195. [in Indonesian].
- Sarkar, S., A. Kumar, D. Kumar, L.N. Sethi, M.M. Hazarika, and G. Das. 2015. Optimal size of fish pond for socio-economical development of Cachar (Assam). *International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology.* 8(2): 405 – 411.
- Sikiru, B.O., N.M. Omobolanle, B.J.O. Ayorinde and O.O. Adegoke. 2009. Improving clarias productivity towards achieving food security in Ijebu-Ode, Ogun State, Nigeria: A Socioeconomic Analysis. *J. Biological Research.* 3(1-2): 24-28.

- Sofia L. A., 2017. Fishermen's perception and participation in fisheries resources conservation of Batungap swamp in Tapin Regency, South Kalimantan, Indonesia. *AAFL Bioflux* 10(6):1618-1626.
- Stuip, M.A.M., Baker, C.J. and Oosterberg, W. 2002. *The Socio-economics of Wetlands*, Wetlands International and RIZA, The Netherlands. 40 pp.
- Sumantriyadi. 2014. Utilization of lebak swamp water resources for fisheries. *Jurnal Ilmu-ilmu Perikanan dan Budidaya Perairan*. 9(1): 59 – 65. [in Indonesian]
- Tajerin. 2007. Technical efficiency of cultivation of catfish enlargement in the pond (case study in Tulung Agung Regency, East Java Province). *Jurnal Ekonomi Pembangunan*. 12 (1): 37-48. [in Indonesian]
- Whiteoak, K and J. Binney. 2012. Final Report : Literature review of economic value of ecosystem service that wetlands provide. Masden Jacob Associates. Australia. 79 pp.
- World Bank. 2006. *Guidelines for Environmental Management of Aquaculture Investments in Vietnam*. 236 pp.

Received: 18 october 2018. Accepted: 26 December 2018. Published online: ... January 2019.

Authors:

Leila Ariyani Sofia, Study Program of Fisheries Agribusiness, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, Lambung Mangkurat University, Jl. A. Yani Km. 36 Banjarbaru, South Kalimantan, Indonesia, e-mail: leila.ariyani@ulm.ac.id

Susi Nurlianti, Graduate of Program of Fisheries Agribusiness, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, Lambung Mangkurat University


This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

How to cite this article:

Sofia L. A., Nurlianti S., 2019 The economic value of the resource utilization of wetlands: comparative study of *beje* fisheries in North Hulu Sungai Regency South Kalimantan, Indonesia. *AAFL Bioflux* 12(1):xxx-xxx.

Commented [indra9]: in the beginning of the paper you assigned to this author the same affiliation as for the first author. Also provide the e-mail address

OPEN ACCESS



Bioflux (publishing house)
54 Ceahlau Street,
Cluj-Napoca 400488,
Romania, European Union

Certificate/Letter of acceptance

This certificate shows that your manuscript entitled:
**The economic value of the resource utilization of wetlands:
comparative study of *beje* fisheries in North Hulu Sungai Regency,
South Kalimantan, Indonesia**

Authors:
Leila A. Sofia, Susi Nurlianti

was accepted for publication in vol 12, issue 1 (2019) of the scientific/academic journal:
Aquaculture, Aquarium, Conservation & Legislation–
International Journal of the Bioflux Society.

Thank you for publishing with us!

Cordially yours,

Editor-in-chief:
Claudiu Gavriloaie, PhD





Pada tanggal Sen, 21 Jan 2019 pukul 08.21 gavriloaie ionel claudiu <ionelclaudiu@yahoo.com> menulis:

Dear Dr. Leila Sofia,

I received the revised manuscript.

Do not forget to send me the scan of the money transfer document. Try to make the publication fee payment within the next 2-3 days. I will be out of the office starting with the next weekend and I want to have your paper published until then.

Thank you very much!

Sincerely yours,
Claudiu Gavrioloaie

On Monday, January 21, 2019 2:51 AM


Dear Claudiu Gavrioloaie

Dear Editor,

I herewith send you our paper revisi as your request entitled "**The economic value of the resource utilization of wetlands: comparative study of *beje* fisheries in North Hulu Sungai Regency, South Kalimantan, Indonesia**". I would greatly appreciate your help to publish it in AACL BIOFLUX.

Sincerely,

Dr. Leila Sofia



The economic value of the resource utilization of wetlands: comparative study of *beje* fisheries in North Hulu Sungai Regency, South Kalimantan, Indonesia

¹Leila A. Sofia, ²Susi Nurlianti

¹Study Program of Fisheries Agribusiness, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine, Lambung Mangkurat University, South Kalimantan, Indonesia; ²Graduate of Program of Fisheries Agribusiness, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine, Lambung Mangkurat University, South Kalimantan, Indonesia. Corresponding author: L. A. Sofia, leila.ariyani@ulm.ac.id

Abstract. *Beje* fishery in wetlands is generally managed by fishermen only on the basis of their own capital and experience, so that land is cleared as far as possible without considering the financial feasibility aspects of the business and the conservation of wetlands. The aims of the study were to determine the volume and value of production, and the feasibility of *beje* fishery business based on the number of management units. The location of the study was determined purposively, namely Papuyu River Village, North Hulu Sungai Regency of South Kalimantan, and samples were taken by census of 20 fisherman *beje* households. The samples are grouped into 3 groups based on the number of managed *beje* units are small groups (1-5 units); middle group (6-10 units); and large groups (more than 10 units). Primary data were analyzed using descriptive analysis and business feasibility analysis through investment criteria approach. The results showed that the highest total *beje* production volume per unit is categorized as the moderate level with production reaching 100.91 kg year⁻¹ with the highest production value per unit was approximately Rp 1,244,090 year⁻¹. The middle management group has better land use, capital and operational efficiency than small and large groups.

Key Words: inland fisheries, wetlands, conservation, feasibility studies, management.

Introduction. Wetlands cover nearly 6% of the earth's surface, including swamp, fen, peat lands or peat waters, either natural or artificial, permanent or transient, in the form of static or flowing freshwater, brackish or saline, including the area of sea water with receding depth not exceeding six meters (Stuip et al 2002). Wetland systems have benefited directly, or indirectly, to the world's population. A single wetland system may provide multiple types of ecosystem services depending on wetland conditions of type, location, condition, utilization, etc. (Whiteoak & Binney 2012). Wetlands play an important role in slowing down and storing flood waters (Leschine et al 1997), controlling pollution, contributing to local and national economies by producing resources and providing recreation (EPA 2006; Früh et al 2013; Das et al 2015).

Fisheries and aquaculture in the mainland has contributed more than 40% of the world production of finned fish were reported from at least 0.01% of the total volume of water on earth. This fishery provides food for billions and livelihoods for millions of the world's population (Lynch et al 2016). Traditional fishing is an important livelihood for most households (especially the poor) in wetland areas, both as a source of household protein as well as investment resources, business and cash income (Kasthala et al 2008). Similarly aquaculture in wetlands is able to provide fish production (nutrient supply) and high economic benefits for farmers (Chandra et al 2010; Olaoye et al 2014).

However, government policies in some countries still do not prioritize the use of wetlands for fisheries development, where the contribution of the economic value of the utilization of wetlands from fisheries is still considered lower than the contribution from the use of wetlands for other economic activities (Cooke et al 2016). Many wetland areas

are used massively for economic activities outside of fisheries, such as drainage for agriculture, settlement and development of areas, waste disposal sites, etc. that threaten the ecology of wetlands and the existence of fisheries resources. Ramsar data shows that in 1994 about 84% of registered wetlands had experienced or were threatened by ecological changes (Stuip et al 2002).

One of the many traditional fisheries systems developed in several areas of Indonesia's wetlands (such as Sumatra and Kalimantan) is *beje*. *Beje* fishery has been developed by the people of South Kalimantan from generation to generation, especially in the freshwater swamp area of North Hulu Sungai Regency. *Beje* is a pond in a deliberately created swampland and serves as a natural fish trap when migrating fish seek protection when the water depth is in critical condition, it can also be used as a place to nourish and raise fish in the dry season (Najiyati et al 2005; Bijaksana 2006; Herliwati & Rahman 2011; Sumantriyadi 2014). After the *beje* pond is prepared, then the fish entering the *beje* are allowed to grow naturally without any treatment. At the peak of the dry season the plains around *beje* dry, water and fish in *beje* isolated and trapped, then the fish can be harvested (Rupawan 2004). Types of fish that are harvested are generally black fish groups that have habitat in the swampy marsh, such as common snakehead (*Channa striata*), giant snakehead (*C. micropeltes*), climbing perch (*Anabas testudineus*), snakeskin gourami (*Trichopodus pectoralis*), three spot gourami (*T. trichopterus*) and other swamp fish (Burnawi 2009), and these fish belong to important economically valuable fish (Sofia 2017). Some fish species with very high demand, and the specific fish produced only from local wetlands will lead to very high prices in the market, thus providing a significant effect on fisherman's income (Deka et al 2001). This indicates that *beje* has the potential to be further developed, where changes in natural ecosystems are relatively small, even able to maintain local fish species, and support food security and income sources for local communities.

However, the number of units and the size of *beje* managed by local fishermen is based solely on their own capital and experience, so that many wetlands are opened as widely as possible without considering financial feasibility aspects. While Barbier et al (1997) states that for the purpose of wetland conservation, policy is required that does not neglect the loss or degradation of further wetlands through sustainable use and research to measure the value of wetlands. Hence, the objective of the present study was to determine the volume and value of production, as well as the feasibility of *beje* fishery business based on the number of management units.

Material and Method

Description of the study sites. North Hulu Sungai is one of South Kalimantan region with an area of wetlands to ±50,000 ha. Almost 98.82% of the marsh waters are periodically flooded (CBS North Hulu Sungai Regency 2014) and swamplands will experience drought for some time during the dry season. Drought conditions are used by local communities to trap fish that are trying to find a source of water by making a well dug in the swamp land. This research was conducted in Sungai Papuyu Village Babirik District which is one of *beje* fishery development area in North Hulu Sungai Regency in January-April 2017 (Figure 1). Locations are deliberately chosen based on the number of fisherman households working on *beje* and the variety of fisherman-run units. The *beje* fishery in the study location is managed by 20 fisherman households with total *beje* of 145 units. The number of *beje* managed by each fisherman household varies between 5 and 15 units.

Population and sample. The population in this research is fisherman households who work on *beje* fishery with the number of members as many as 20 households. The members of the population are all sampled. The sample will be divided into three groups based on the number of ownership of *beje* units cultivated. Group I as a small unit is a household that seeks 1 to 5 units; group II as middle unit is households that work on 6 to 10 units; and group III as large unit is households that work on more than 10 units.




Figure 1. The location of *beje* at Babirik district, Hulu Sungai Utara, Indonesia.

Data analysis. The long-term business feasibility of *beje* fishery is determined using the investment criteria approach (Gittinger 1986), as follows:

a. Net Present Value (NPV):

$$NPV = \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{B_t - C_t}{(1 + i)^t}$$

where: B_t = total benefit in year- t ;
 C_t = total costs in year- t ;
 n = the economic life of the project;
 i = discount rate.

Decision criteria: $NPV > 0$ - profitable business to be developed further; $NPV = 0$ - business is on break even; $NPV < 0$ - unprofitable business to be further developed.

b. Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR):

$$BCR = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^n B_t}{\sum_{t=1}^n C_t}$$

Decision criteria: $BCR < 1$ - unprofitable business to run; $BCR > 1$ - profitable business to run.

c. Internal Rate of Return (IRR):

$$IRR = i' + \frac{NPV'}{NPV' - NPV} (i - i')$$

where: i_1 = the rate of discount rate that produces NPV_1 (the smallest positive);
 i_2 = the rate of discount rate that produces NPV_2 (the smallest negative).

Decision criteria: $IRR < \text{discount rate}$ - unprofitable business to run; $IRR > \text{discount rate}$ - profitable business to run.

Results. *Beje* fisheries have long been worked on by local fishermen, of which 65% of fishermen have been working on it for 5-10 years and 35% of other fishermen have been working on it for more than 10 years. *Beje* which is managed by fishermen has generally a rectangular shape with area based on ownership group is small group around 57.80-462.40 m²; the middle group ranges from 144.50 to 1,300.50 m²; and the average pond size of a large group is 722.50 m² (Table 1). Total production of *beje* per household ranges from 338.64 to 920 kg year⁻¹. Fish produced generally consists of snakeskin gourami (*Trichopodus pectoralis*) as much as 103.57-345 kg; three spot gourami (*T. trichopterus*) as much as 147.5-355 kg; common snakehead (*Channa striata*) as much as 41.71-115.46 kg; and climbing perch (*Anabas testudineus*) as much as 45.86-110 kg. While production per unit *beje* ranged from 74 to 100.91 kg year⁻¹ (Table 2).

Table 1

Size of *beje* by unit and household

| Group | Size per unit (m ²) | | | Size per household (m ²) | | |
|--------|---------------------------------|----------|---------|--------------------------------------|----------|-----------|
| | Largest | Smallest | Average | Largest | Smallest | Average |
| Small | 462.40 | 57.80 | 310.68 | 2,312.00 | 231.20 | 1,338.69 |
| Middle | 1,300.50 | 144.50 | 459.77 | 8,670.00 | 1,011.50 | 3,586.23 |
| Large | - | - | 722.50 | 10,837.50 | 9,392.50 | 10,115.00 |

Table 2

Average *beje* production by type of fish and business group (in a year)

| Type of fish | Small | | Middle | | Large | |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| | Vol. unit ⁻¹ (kg) | Total (kg) | Vol. unit ⁻¹ (kg) | Total (kg) | Vol. unit ⁻¹ (kg) | Total (kg) |
| Snakeskin gourami | 23.71 | 103.57 | 28.18 | 211.82 | 25 | 345 |
| Three spot gourami | 29.57 | 147.5 | 45.00 | 333.18 | 27 | 355 |
| Common snakehead | 9.86 | 41.71 | 15.00 | 115.46 | 8 | 110 |
| Climbing perch | 10.86 | 45.86 | 12.73 | 96.36 | 8 | 110 |
| Total | 74.00 | 338.64 | 100.91 | 756.82 | 68 | 920 |

The production value of *beje* catch depends on the amount and type of fish produced, as well as the price of the fish. The higher the number and price of the fish caught, the greater the income the fishermen will get. Table 3 shows that snakeskin gourami has the highest production value because its total production is highest, although the selling price is still below the price of common snakehead which is around Rp 15,000-30,000 kg⁻¹. While the second highest production value is common snakehead with a value of Rp 1,131,140-2,875,000; and the selling price per kg reaches Rp 20,000-35,000.

Table 3

Average *beje* production value by type of fish and business group (in a year)

| Type of fish | Small | | Middle | | Large | |
|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| | Per unit (Rp 000) | Total (Rp 000) | Per unit (Rp 000) | Total (Rp 000) | Per unit (Rp 000) | Total (Rp 000) |
| Snakeskin gourami | 554.21 | 2,416.43 | 430.45 | 3,243.64 | 405 | 5,565 |
| Three spot gourami | 147.86 | 632.14 | 225.00 | 1,665.91 | 135 | 1,775 |
| Common snakehead | 272.00 | 1,131.14 | 329.55 | 2,481.82 | 205 | 2,875 |
| Climbing perch | 220.43 | 925.14 | 259.09 | 1,940.91 | 160 | 2,200 |
| Total | 1,194.50 | 5,104.85 | 1,244.09 | 9,332.28 | 905 | 12,415 |

Beje fishery business in each of the average management group requires investment capital of more than Rp 8 million. The highest total investment capital is large group Rp 19,760,000; and the lowest investment is the middle group only Rp 8,755,000. While the operational cost of *beje* in 5 years of highest management is small group Rp 21,577,000;

and the lowest operational cost is the middle group Rp 15,428,000. The highest total revenue and profits are large, while the lowest is the small group (Table 4). Table 4 shows the results of business feasibility analysis with the NPV criterion with a 9% factor discount indicating that in each group can generate profit, where the smallest profit in small group (Rp 3,782,000) and the biggest profit in large group (Rp 44,812,000). The result of NPV analysis with 12% discount factor also still give advantage to each management group. While the result of business feasibility analysis based on BCR criteria at 9% and 12% discount factor, the value of BCR in each group is above 1 which means that each group of management unit is feasible to be cultivated in long term. The lowest BCR value is in the small group and the highest is the large group. While the results of the analysis based on the IRR criteria indicate that the lowest IRR value is small group (16.04%) and the highest is the middle group (19.17%).

Table 4

Beje's business feasibility analysis by business group within 5 years of management

| <i>Business feasibility criteria</i> | <i>Small</i> | <i>Middle</i> | <i>Large</i> |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| Investment cost (Rp 000) | 9,918 | 8,755 | 19,760 |
| Total cost (Rp 000) | 21,577 | 15,428 | 15,917 |
| Total revenue (Rp 000) | 25,529 | 46,650 | 62,150 |
| Profit (Rp 000) | 3,952 | 31,222 | 46,233 |
| NPV 9% (Rp 000) | 3,782 | 30,280 | 44,812 |
| NPV 12% (Rp 000) | 2,171 | 21,348 | 31,387 |
| BCR 9% | 1.18 | 3.00 | 3.86 |
| BCR 12% | 1.13 | 2.74 | 3.34 |
| IRR (%) | 16.04 | 19.17 | 19.01 |

Discussion. *Beje* is a traditional fishery activity in the inland water swamp where fishing activities are continued with fish polyculture activities. Usually *beje* ponds that have been built by fishermen are left without any treatment until a number of fish from various types of swamp fish are trapped. *Beje* productivity is highly dependent on natural conditions and aquatic fertility, there is no special treatment in management to further encourage fish production, for example an increase in stocking density, feeding, or protection from predators. Therefore, the fish rearing period until harvesting generally takes some time, at least one year. The experience of fishermen shows that the age of *beje* is enough to determine the amount of fish production that can be produced. *Beje* which is old (more than 10 years) allows a lot of fish seeds embedded in it. Adult fish will be harvested immediately, while seeds or young fish are deliberately left and raised to be harvested in the next season.

The results of the study indicate that *beje* business with different size and number of management units can still provide income for fishermen who develop it. The result of business feasibility analysis based on cost and revenue in 5 years of management shows that the three management groups are able to generate profit with ratio between cost and revenue more than 1, so that the three management groups are feasible to be developed further. Similarly, the IRR analysis shows that the IRR of each management group is more than the interest rate for small business loans (12%). Therefore, if the business development uses loan funds with standard bank interest rates, then each level of management still provides benefits for the managers.

However, the highest level of management with productivity per unit was the middle group reaching 100.91 kg year⁻¹ (Table 2). Similarly, the production value per unit of *beje* shows that the economic value of middle group unit (6-10 units) is higher than the other group which is Rp 1,244,090 year⁻¹. While the capital needed for the supply of *beje* units in the middle group is the smallest (Rp 8,755,000). While in large groups (> 10 units) both volume and production value per unit is the lowest at only 68 kg year⁻¹ with production value of Rp 905,000 year⁻¹, but the required total capital is higher is Rp 19,760,000.

Thus, the middle management group has better land use efficiency, capital and operational costs than small and large groups. In line with the results of Sarkar et al (2015) study, the best annual production level in a dredged pond type culture can be achieved with good management practices, and it comes from small and medium scale cultivation because it is economically more feasible than other scales. Ownership of waters that are not too extensive requires fish farmers to intensify their efforts so that they lead to high productivity (Bairagya 2011). Small-scale polyculture fisheries are feasible (Olawumi et al 2010) with a positive NPV and an IRR of 19-24% (Bigwa 2013). Efforts to increase the productivity of *beje* can be done by developing middle group management unit and more intensifying *beje* maintenance as a fishery cultivation business. Chandra et al (2010) indicate that semi-intensive aquaculture type ponds in floodplain areas are able to provide fish production and high economic benefits for farmers. In addition, in the cultivation of ponds found that the factors that positively affect the production is the extent of the pond area, fish seed, feed, labor and other costs (Tajerin 2007; Onumah & Acquah 2010; Olawumi et al 2010; Adewuyi et al 2010), fish size (Sikiru et al 2009), location and level of water circulation (World Bank 2006), and management capabilities (Ahmed 2007).

Conclusions. The highest total *beje* production volume per unit is the middle group with production reaching 100.91 kg yr⁻¹. The highest production value per unit is in the middle group of Rp 1,244,090 yr⁻¹. The middle management group has better land use, capital and operational efficiency than small and large groups. The results of the study suggest that to increase the productivity of *beje* fisheries can be done by developing *beje* business in the middle group, and supported the application of semi-intensive production technology.

Acknowledgements. We thank to Prof.Dr.Muchlisin Z.A., S.Pi, M.Sc (Dean of Faculty of Marine and Fisheries Syiah Kuala University, Banda Aceh) and two anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments which have helped improve the quality of paper immensely. We are thankful to Fisheries and Husbandry Office of North Hulu Sungai Regency and Fishery Field Extension Officer for information and field assistance for this research, as well as for fishing communities in Babirik swamp area for information and cooperation.

References

- Adewuyi S. A., Phillip B. B., Ayinde I. A., Akerele D., 2010 Analysis of profitability of fish farming in Ogun State, Nigeria. *Journal of Human Ecology* 31(3):179-184.
- Ahmed N., 2007 Economics of aquaculture feeding practices: Bangladesh. In: Economics of aquaculture feeding practices in selected Asian countries. Hasan M.R. (ed), FAO Fisheries Technical Paper 505, Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations, Rome, Italy, pp.33-64.
- Bairagya R., 2011 Analysis of profitability of fish farming in West Bengal, India – a study report. *International Journal of Current Research* 3(11):204-214.
- Barbier E. B., Acreman M. C., Knowler D., 1997 Economic valuation of wetlands: A guide for policy makers and planners. Ramsar Convention Bureau, Gland, Switzerland, 138 pp.
- Bigwa C., 2013 Feasibility of aquaculture in Cameroon: the case of the noun division in the West region [final project]. Fisheries Training Programme, United Nations University, 56 pp.
- Bijaksana U., 2006 Preliminary studies reproductive bio-eco snakehead in the swamp Bangkau South Kalimantan Province. National Symposium of Biotechnology in Aquaculture 2006. Department of Aquaculture Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences Bogor Agricultural University and Research Institute of Fisheries Freshwater Aquaculture Marine and Fisheries Research Agency, 5 Juli 2006, 5 pp. [in Indonesian]

- Burnawi B., 2009 Identification of fish species in fishery sanctuary of Talan Lake, South Kalimantan. *Teknik Litkayasa Bulletin* 7(2):43–46. [in Indonesian]
- CBS (Central Bureau of Statistics) North Hulu Sungai Regency, 2014 North Hulu Sungai Regency in Figures 2014. CBS of North Hulu Sungai Regency, South Kalimantan, Indonesia, 493 pp. [in Indonesian]
- Chandra K.J., Sarker D., Khaleque M.A., Das D.R., 2010 Economic analysis of floodplain aquaculture at Daudkandi upazilla in Comilla. *Journal of the Bangladesh Agricultural University* 8(2):323–332.
- Cooke S.J., Allison E.H., Beard T. D. Jr., Arlinghaus R., Arthington, Devin A.H., Bartley M., Cowx I.G., Fuentesvilla C., Leonard N.J., Lorenzen K., Lynch A. J., Nguyen V. M., Youn S.J., Taylor W.W., Welcomme R. L., 2016 On the sustainability of inland fisheries: Finding a future for the forgotten. *Ambio* 45:753–764.
- Das S., Behera B., Mishra A., 2015 Determinants of household use of wetland resources in West Bengal, India. *Wetlands Ecology and Management* 23: 803–816.
- Deka T.K., Kakati M., Goswami M.M., 2001 Diversity of wetland fish and its impact on the income of fishermen community of Assam. *Journal of the Indian Fisheries Association* 28:11–22.
- EPA (Environmental Protection Agency), 2006 Economic benefits of wetlands. EPA843-F-06-004, Office of Water, United State, 4 pp.
- Früh S., Gattenlöhner U., Hammerl M., Hartmann T., Hörmann S., Megerle H., Spaich F., 2013 Economic value of lakes and wetlands (Summary). Global Nature Fund – University of Applied Forest sciences – Lake Constance Foundation, German, 13 pp.
- Gittinger J.P., 1986 Economic Analysis of Agricultural Projects (Second Edition). EDI Series in Economic Development, University of Indonesia (UI-Press), Jakarta, 579 pp. [in Indonesian]
- Herliwati, Rahman M., 2011 Characteristics of eco-biological *beje* fishery in swamp area of Lake Bangkau, South Kalimantan. *Limnotek* 18(1):26–37. [in Indonesian]
- Kasthala G., Hepelwa A., Hamiss H., Kwayu E., Emerton L., Springate-Baginski O., Allen D., Darwall W., 2008 An integrated assessment of the biodiversity, livelihood and economic value of wetlands in Mtanza-Msona Village, Tanzania. Tanzania Country Office, International Union for Conservation of Nature, Dar es Salaam. 156 pp.
- Leschine T.M., Wellman K.F., Green T.H., 1997 The economic value of wetlands : wetlands' role in flood protection in Western Washington. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), 68 pp.
- Lynch A.J., Cooke S.J., Deines A. M., Bower S.D., Bunnell D.B., Cowx I.G., Nguyen V.M., Nohner J., Phouthavong K., Riley B., Rogers M.W., Taylor W.W., Woelmer W., Youn S.J., Beard T.D.Jr., 2016 The social, economic, and environmental importance of inland fish and fisheries. *Environmental Reviews* 24:115–121.
- Najiyati S., Muslihat L., Suryadiputra I.N.N., 2005 Guidelines for the management of peatlands for sustainable agriculture. Climate Change, Forests and Peatlands Projects in Indonesia, Wetlands International - Indonesia Programmed an Wildlife Habitat Canada, Bogor, Indonesia, 198 pp. [in Indonesian]
- Olaoye J. O., Adegbite D.A., Oluwalana E.O., Vaughan I.O., Odebiyi C.O., Adediji A.P., 2014 Comparative evaluation of economic benefits of earthen fish ponds and concrete tanks in aquaculture enterprises in Oyo State, Nigeria. *Croatian Journal of Fisheries* 72:107–117.
- Olawumi A.T., Dipeolu A.O., Bamiro H., 2010 Economic analysis of homestead fish production in Ogun State Nigeria. *Journal of Human Ecology* 31(1):13–17.
- Onumah E.E., Acquah H.D., 2010 Frontier analysis of aquaculture farms in the Southern Sector of Ghana. *World Applied Sciences Journal* 9(7):826–835.
- Rupawan, 2004 *Beje* as a production pond in the swamp land. Research Center for Inland Water Fisheries Palembang, Indonesia, pp. 189–195. [in Indonesian].
- Sarkar S., Kumar A., Kumar D., Sethi L.N., Hazarika M.M., Das G., 2015 Optimal size of fish pond for socio-economical development of Cachar (Assam). *International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology* 8(2):405–411.

- Sikiru B.O., Omobolanle N.M., Ayorinde B.J.O., Adegoke O.O., 2009 Improving clarias productivity towards achieving food security in Ijebu-Ode, Ogun State, Nigeria: A Socioeconomic Analysis. *Advances in Biological Research* 3(1-2): 24-28.
- Sofia L. A., 2017 Fishermen's perception and participation in fisheries resources conservation of Batungap swamp in Tapin Regency, South Kalimantan, Indonesia. *AACL Bioflux* 10(6):1618-1626.
- Stuip M.A.M., Baker C.J., Oosterberg W., 2002 The Socio-economics of wetlands. Wetlands International and RIZA, The Netherlands, 40 pp.
- Sumantriyadi, 2014 Utilization of lebak swamp water resources for fisheries. *Jurnal Ilmu-ilmu Perikanan dan Budidaya Perairan* 9(1):59-65. [in Indonesian]
- Tajerin, 2007 Technical efficiency of cultivation of catfish enlargement in the pond (case study in Tulung Agung Regency, East Java Province). *Jurnal Ekonomi Pembangunan* 12(1):37-48. [in Indonesian]
- Whiteoak K., Binney J., 2012 Final Report : Literature review of economic value of ecosystem service that wetlands provide. Masden Jacob Associates, Australia, 79 pp.
- World Bank, 2006 Guidelines for environmental management of aquaculture investments in Vietnam, 236 pp.

Received: 18 october 2018. Accepted: 26 December 2018. Published online: ... January 2019.

Authors:

Leila Ariyani Sofia, Study Program of Fisheries Agribusiness, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine, Lambung Mangkurat University, Jl. A. Yani Km. 36 Banjarbaru, South Kalimantan, Indonesia, e-mail: leila.ariyani@ulm.ac.id

Susi Nurlianti, Graduate of Program of Fisheries Agribusiness, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine, Lambung Mangkurat University, Jl. A. Yani Km. 36 Banjarbaru, South Kalimantan, Indonesia, e-mail: susinurlianti066@gmail.com

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

How to cite this article:

Sofia L. A., Nurlianti S., 2019 The economic value of the resource utilization of wetlands: comparative study of *beje* fisheries in North Hulu Sungai Regency, South Kalimantan, Indonesia. *AACL Bioflux* 12(1):xxx-xxx.



Pada tanggal Sen, 21 Jan 2019 pukul 08.21 gavriloaie ionel claudiu <ionelclaudiu@yahoo.com> menulis:

Dear Dr. Leila Sofia,

I received the revised manuscript.

Do not forget to send me the scan of the money transfer document. Try to make the publication fee payment within the next 2-3 days. I will be out of the office starting with the next weekend and I want to have your paper published until then.

Thank you very much!

Sincerely yours,

Claudiu Gavrioloaie

On Monday, January 21, 2019 2:51 AM

Dear Claudiu Gavrioloaie

Dear Editor,

I herewith send you our paper revisi as your request entitled "**The economic value of the resource utilization of wetlands: comparative study of *beje* fisheries in North Hulu Sungai Regency, South Kalimantan, Indonesia**". I would greatly appreciate your help to publish it in AACL BIOFLUX.

Sincerely,

Dr. Leila Sofia

Search all conversations



Active



381 of 399 < >

On Wednesday, February 6, 2019 5:13 PM, gavriloaie ionel claudiu <ionelclaudiu@yahoo.com> wrote:

Dear Dr. Leila Sofia,

We are not able to publish any paper in an instance. After we receive the revised version from authors, we wait for the publication fee to reach the bank account. Meantime we also edit the final form of the paper.

But we always do this in the order we received the papers. Be sure that we already had 13 papers ahead of your paper. And, in some cases, I needed to send back few papers because the authors simply ignored many or most of the reviewers' comments. All these back and forth actions take a huge time.

So, we are still working on the final form. It will send to you within the next hours, hopefully.

Thank you very much!

With best regards,

Claudiu Gavriolaie

On Tuesday, February 5, 2019 2:57 PM,

Dear Claudiu

You remember that i submitted manuscript entitle "**The economic value of the resource utilization of wetlands: comparative study of beje fisheries in North Hulu Sungai Regency, South Kalimantan, Indonesia**", to be immediately publish. but there is no news until now. I would like the status of those articles and when they could be published. thank you

Sincerely

Dr. Leila



Search all conversations



Active



381 of 399

gavriloaie ionel claudiu <ionelclaudiu@yahoo.com>
to firlianty80@gmail.com, me


Feb 7, 2019, 1:10 AM

Dear Dr. Leila Sofia,

Here you have the almost final form of your paper. We did lots of corrections within the References section. Anyway, there are still 3 comments to be addressed. For that, work only in attached document and mark red the changes.

The title is already online, so, I have to publish the paper very shortly: <http://www.bioflux.com.ro/home/volume-12-1-2019/>.
Thank you!

Yours,
Claudiu Gavriolaie



The economic value of the resource utilization of wetlands: comparative study of *beje* fisheries in North Hulu, Sungai Regency, South Kalimantan, Indonesia

¹Leila A. Sofia, ²Susi Nurlianti

¹ Study Program of Fisheries Agribusiness, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine, Lambung Mangkurat University, South Kalimantan, Indonesia; ² Graduate of Program of Fisheries Agribusiness, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine, Lambung Mangkurat University, South Kalimantan, Indonesia. Corresponding author: L. A. Sofia, leila.ariyani@ulm.ac.id

Abstract. *Beje* fishery in wetlands is generally managed by fishermen only on the basis of their own capital and experience, so that land is cleared as far as possible without considering the financial feasibility aspects of the business and the conservation of wetlands. The aims of the study were to determine the volume and value of production, and the feasibility of *beje* fishery business based on the number of management units. The location of the study was determined purposively, namely Papuyu River Village, North Hulu Sungai Regency of South Kalimantan, and samples were taken by census of 20 fisherman *beje* households. The samples are grouped into 3 groups based on the number of managed *beje* units are small groups (1-5 units); middle group (6-10 units); and large groups (more than 10 units). Primary data were analyzed using descriptive analysis and business feasibility analysis through investment criteria approach. The results showed that the highest total *beje* production volume per unit is categorized as the moderate level with production reaching 100.91 kg year⁻¹ with the highest production value per unit was approximately Rp 1,244,090 year⁻¹. The middle management group has better land use, capital and operational efficiency than small and large groups.

Key Words: inland fisheries, wetlands, conservation, feasibility studies, management.

Introduction. Wetlands cover nearly 6% of the earth's surface, including swamp, fen, peat lands or peat waters, either natural or artificial, permanent or transient, in the form of static or flowing freshwater, brackish or saline, including the area of sea water with receding depth not exceeding six meters (Stuip et al 2002). Wetland systems have benefited directly, or indirectly, to the world's population. A single wetland system may provide multiple types of ecosystem services depending on wetland conditions of type, location, condition, utilization, etc. (Whiteoak & Binney 2012). Wetlands play an important role in slowing down and storing flood waters (Leschine et al 1997), controlling pollution, contributing to local and national economies by producing resources and providing recreation (EPA 2006; Früh et al 2013; Das et al 2015).

Fisheries and aquaculture in the mainland has contributed more than 40% of the world production of finned fish were reported from at least 0.01% of the total volume of water on earth. This fishery provides food for billions and livelihoods for millions of the world's population (Lynch et al 2016). Traditional fishing is an important livelihood for most households (especially the poor) in wetland areas, both as a source of household protein as well as investment resources, business and cash income (David et al 2008). Similarly aquaculture in wetlands is able to provide fish production (nutrient supply) and high economic benefits for farmers (Chandra et al 2010; Olaoye et al 2014).

However, government policies in some countries still do not prioritize the use of wetlands for fisheries development, where the contribution of the economic value of the utilization of wetlands from fisheries is still considered lower than the contribution from

the use of wetlands for other economic activities (Cooke et al 2016). Many wetland areas are used massively for economic activities outside of fisheries, such as drainage for agriculture, settlement and development of areas, waste disposal sites, etc. that threaten the ecology of wetlands and the existence of fisheries resources. Ramsar data shows that in 1994 about 84% of registered wetlands had experienced or were threatened by ecological changes (Stuip et al 2002).

One of the many traditional fisheries systems developed in several areas of Indonesia's wetlands (such as Sumatra and Kalimantan) is *beje*. *Beje* fishery has been developed by the people of South Kalimantan from generation to generation, especially in the freshwater swamp area of North Hulu Sungai Regency. *Beje* is a pond in a deliberately created swampland and serves as a natural fish trap when migrating fish seek protection when the water depth is in critical condition, it can also be used as a place to nourish and raise fish in the dry season (Najiyati et al 2005; Bijaksana 2006; Herliwati & Rahman 2011; Sumantriyadi 2014). After the *beje* pond is prepared, then the fish entering the *beje* are allowed to grow naturally without any treatment. At the peak of the dry season the plains around *beje* dry, water and fish in *beje* isolated and trapped, then the fish can be harvested (Rupawan 2004). Types of fish that are harvested are generally black fish groups that have habitat in the swampy marsh, such as common snakehead (*Channa striata*), giant snakehead (*Channa micropeltes*), climbing perch (*Anabas testudineus*), snakeskin gourami (*Trichopodus pectoralis*), three spot gourami (*Trichopodus trichopterus*) and other swamp fish (Burnawi 2009), and these fish belong to important economically valuable fish (Sofia 2017). Some fish species with very high demand, and the specific fish produced only from local wetlands will lead to very high prices in the market, thus providing a significant effect on fisherman's income (Deka et al 2001). This indicates that *beje* has the potential to be further developed, where changes in natural ecosystems are relatively small, even able to maintain local fish species, and support food security and income sources for local communities.

However, the number of units and the size of *beje* managed by local fishermen is based solely on their own capital and experience, so that many wetlands are opened as widely as possible without considering financial feasibility aspects. While Barbier et al (1997) states that for the purpose of wetland conservation, policy is required that does not neglect the loss or degradation of further wetlands through sustainable use and research to measure the value of wetlands. Hence, the objective of the present study was to determine the volume and value of production, as well as the feasibility of *beje* fishery business based on the number of management units.

Material and Method

Description of the study sites. North Hulu Sungai is one of South Kalimantan region with an area of wetlands to $\pm 50,000$ ha. Almost 98.82% of the marsh waters are periodically flooded (CBS North Hulu Sungai Regency 2014) and swamplands will experience drought for some time during the dry season. Drought conditions are used by local communities to trap fish that are trying to find a source of water by making a well dug in the swamp land. This research was conducted in Sungai Papuyu Village Babirik District which is one of *beje* fishery development area in North Hulu Sungai Regency in January-April 2017 (Figure 1). Locations are deliberately chosen based on the number of fisherman households working on *beje* and the variety of fisherman-run units. The *beje* fishery in the study location is managed by 20 fisherman households with total *beje* of 145 units. The number of *beje* managed by each fisherman household varies between 5 and 15 units.

Population and sample. The population in this research is fisherman households who work on *beje* fishery with the number of members as many as 20 households. The members of the population are all sampled. The sample will be divided into three groups based on the number of ownership of *beje* units cultivated. Group I as a small unit is a household that seeks 1 to 5 units; group II as middle unit is households that work on 6 to 10 units; and group III as large unit is households that work on more than 10 units.




Figure 1. The location of *beje* at Babirik district, Hulu Sungai Utara, Indonesia.

Data analysis. The long-term business feasibility of *beje* fishery is determined using the investment criteria approach (Gittinger 1986), as follows:

a. Net Present Value (NPV):

$$NPV = \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{B_t - C_t}{(1 + i)^t}$$

where: B_t = total benefit in year- t ;

C_t = total costs in year- t ;

n = the economic life of the project;

i = discount rate.

Decision criteria: $NPV > 0$ - profitable business to be developed further; $NPV = 0$ - business is on break even; $NPV < 0$ - unprofitable business to be further developed.

b. Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR):

$$BCR = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^n B_t}{\sum_{t=1}^n C_t}$$

Decision criteria: $BCR < 1$ - unprofitable business to run; $BCR > 1$ - profitable business to run.

c. Internal Rate of Return (IRR):

$$IRR = i' + \frac{NPV'}{NPV' - NPV} (i - i')$$

where: i_1 = the rate of discount rate that produces NPV_1 (the smallest positive);

i_2 = the rate of discount rate that produces NPV_2 (the smallest negative).

Decision criteria: $IRR < \text{discount rate}$ - unprofitable business to run; $IRR > \text{discount rate}$ - profitable business to run.

Results. *Beje* fisheries have long been worked on by local fishermen, of which 65% of fishermen have been working on it for 5-10 years and 35% of other fishermen have been working on it for more than 10 years. *Beje* which is managed by fishermen has generally a rectangular shape with area based on ownership group is small group around 57.80-462.40 m²; the middle group ranges from 144.50 to 1,300.50 m²; and the average pond size of a large group is 722.50 m² (Table 1). Total production of *beje* per household ranges from 338.64 to 920 kg year⁻¹. Fish produced generally consists of snakeskin gourami (*T. pectoralis*) as much as 103.57-345 kg; three spot gourami (*T. trichopterus*) as much as 147.5-355 kg; common snakehead (*C. striata*) as much as 41.71-115.46 kg; and climbing perch (*A. testudineus*) as much as 45.86-110 kg. While production per unit *beje* ranged from 74 to 100.91 kg year⁻¹ (Table 2).

Table 1

Size of *beje* by unit and household

| Group | Size per unit (m ²) | | | Size per household (m ²) | | |
|--------|---------------------------------|----------|---------|--------------------------------------|----------|-----------|
| | Largest | Smallest | Average | Largest | Smallest | Average |
| Small | 462.40 | 57.80 | 310.68 | 2,312.00 | 231.20 | 1,338.69 |
| Middle | 1,300.50 | 144.50 | 459.77 | 8,670.00 | 1,011.50 | 3,586.23 |
| Large | - | - | 722.50 | 10,837.50 | 9,392.50 | 10,115.00 |

Table 2

Average *beje* production by type of fish and business group (in a year)

| Type of fish | Small | | Middle | | Large | |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| | Vol. unit ⁻¹ (kg) | Total (kg) | Vol. unit ⁻¹ (kg) | Total (kg) | Vol. unit ⁻¹ (kg) | Total (kg) |
| Snakeskin gourami | 23.71 | 103.57 | 28.18 | 211.82 | 25 | 345 |
| Three spot gourami | 29.57 | 147.5 | 45.00 | 333.18 | 27 | 355 |
| Common snakehead | 9.86 | 41.71 | 15.00 | 115.46 | 8 | 110 |
| Climbing perch | 10.86 | 45.86 | 12.73 | 96.36 | 8 | 110 |
| Total | 74.00 | 338.64 | 100.91 | 756.82 | 68 | 920 |

The production value of *beje* catch depends on the amount and type of fish produced, as well as the price of the fish. The higher the number and price of the fish caught, the greater the income the fishermen will get. Table 3 shows that snakeskin gourami has the highest production value because its total production is highest, although the selling price is still below the price of common snakehead which is around Rp 15,000-30,000 kg⁻¹. While the second highest production value is common snakehead with a value of Rp 1,131,140-2,875,000; and the selling price per kg reaches Rp 20,000-35,000.

Table 3

Average *beje* production value by type of fish and business group (in a year)

| Type of fish | Small | | Middle | | Large | |
|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| | Per unit (Rp 000) | Total (Rp 000) | Per unit (Rp 000) | Total (Rp 000) | Per unit (Rp 000) | Total (Rp 000) |
| Snakeskin gourami | 554.21 | 2,416.43 | 430.45 | 3,243.64 | 405 | 5,565 |
| Three spot gourami | 147.86 | 632.14 | 225.00 | 1,665.91 | 135 | 1,775 |
| Common snakehead | 272.00 | 1,131.14 | 329.55 | 2,481.82 | 205 | 2,875 |
| Climbing perch | 220.43 | 925.14 | 259.09 | 1,940.91 | 160 | 2,200 |
| Total | 1,194.50 | 5,104.85 | 1,244.09 | 9,332.28 | 905 | 12,415 |

Beje fishery business in each of the average management group requires investment capital of more than Rp 8 million. The highest total investment capital is large group Rp 19,760,000; and the lowest investment is the middle group only Rp 8,755,000. While the operational cost of *beje* in 5 years of highest management is small group Rp 21,577,000; and the lowest operational cost is the middle group Rp 15,428,000. The highest total

revenue and profits are large, while the lowest is the small group (Table 4). Table 4 shows the results of business feasibility analysis with the NPV criterion with a 9% factor discount indicating that in each group can generate profit, where the smallest profit in small group (Rp 3,782,000) and the biggest profit in large group (Rp 44,812,000). The result of NPV analysis with 12% discount factor also still give advantage to each management group. While the result of business feasibility analysis based on BCR criteria at 9% and 12% discount factor, the value of BCR in each group is above 1 which means that each group of management unit is feasible to be cultivated in long term. The lowest BCR value is in the small group and the highest is the large group. While the results of the analysis based on the IRR criteria indicate that the lowest IRR value is small group (16.04%) and the highest is the middle group (19.17%).

Table 4
Beje's business feasibility analysis by business group within 5 years of management

| <i>Business feasibility criteria</i> | <i>Small</i> | <i>Middle</i> | <i>Large</i> |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| Investment cost (Rp 000) | 9,918 | 8,755 | 19,760 |
| Total cost (Rp 000) | 21,577 | 15,428 | 15,917 |
| Total revenue (Rp 000) | 25,529 | 46,650 | 62,150 |
| Profit (Rp 000) | 3,952 | 31,222 | 46,233 |
| NPV 9% (Rp 000) | 3,782 | 30,280 | 44,812 |
| NPV 12% (Rp 000) | 2,171 | 21,348 | 31,387 |
| BCR 9% | 1.18 | 3.00 | 3.86 |
| BCR 12% | 1.13 | 2.74 | 3.34 |
| IRR (%) | 16.04 | 19.17 | 19.01 |

Discussion. *Beje* is a traditional fishery activity in the inland water swamp where fishing activities are continued with fish polyculture activities. Usually *beje* ponds that have been built by fishermen are left without any treatment until a number of fish from various types of swamp fish are trapped. *Beje* productivity is highly dependent on natural conditions and aquatic fertility, there is no special treatment in management to further encourage fish production, for example an increase in stocking density, feeding, or protection from predators. Therefore, the fish rearing period until harvesting generally takes some time, at least one year. The experience of fishermen shows that the age of *beje* is enough to determine the amount of fish production that can be produced. *Beje* which is old (more than 10 years) allows a lot of fish seeds embedded in it. Adult fish will be harvested immediately, while seeds or young fish are deliberately left and raised to be harvested in the next season.

The results of the study indicate that *beje* business with different size and number of management units can still provide income for fishermen who develop it. The result of business feasibility analysis based on cost and revenue in 5 years of management shows that the three management groups are able to generate profit with ratio between cost and revenue more than 1, so that the three management groups are feasible to be developed further. Similarly, the IRR analysis shows that the IRR of each management group is more than the interest rate for small business loans (12%). Therefore, if the business development uses loan funds with standard bank interest rates, then each level of management still provides benefits for the managers.

However, the highest level of management with productivity per unit was the middle group reaching 100.91 kg year⁻¹ (Table 2). Similarly, the production value per unit of *beje* shows that the economic value of middle group unit (6-10 units) is higher than the other group which is Rp 1,244,090 year⁻¹. While the capital needed for the supply of *beje* units in the middle group is the smallest (Rp 8,755,000). While in large groups (> 10 units) both volume and production value per unit is the lowest at only 68 kg year⁻¹ with production value of Rp 905,000 year⁻¹, but the required total capital is higher is Rp 19,760,000.

Thus, the middle management group has better land use efficiency, capital and operational costs than small and large groups. In line with the results of Sarkar et al

(2015) study, the best annual production level in a dredged pond type culture can be achieved with good management practices, and it comes from small and medium scale cultivation because it is economically more feasible than other scales. Ownership of waters that are not too extensive requires fish farmers to intensify their efforts so that they lead to high productivity (Bairagya 2011). Small-scale polyculture fisheries are feasible (Olawumi et al 2010) with a positive NPV and an IRR of 19-24% (Bigwa 2013). Efforts to increase the productivity of *beje* can be done by developing middle group management unit and more intensifying *beje* maintenance as a fishery cultivation business. Chandra et al (2010) indicate that semi-intensive aquaculture type ponds in floodplain areas are able to provide fish production and high economic benefits for farmers. In addition, in the cultivation of ponds found that the factors that positively affect the production is the extent of the pond area, fish seed, feed, labor and other costs (Tajerin 2007; Onumah & Acquah 2010; Olawumi et al 2010; Adewuyi et al 2010), fish size (Sikiru et al 2009), location and level of water circulation (World Bank 2006), and management capabilities (Ahmed 2007).

Conclusions. The highest total *beje* production volume per unit is the middle group with production reaching 100.91 kg yr⁻¹. The highest production value per unit is in the middle group of Rp 1,244,090 yr⁻¹. The middle management group has better land use, capital and operational efficiency than small and large groups. The results of the study suggest that to increase the productivity of *beje* fisheries can be done by developing *beje* business in the middle group, and supported the application of semi-intensive production technology.

Acknowledgements. We thank to Prof. Dr. Muchlisin Z. A., S.Pi, M.Sc (Dean of Faculty of Marine and Fisheries Syiah Kuala University, Banda Aceh) and two anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments which have helped improve the quality of paper immensely. We are thankful to Fisheries and Husbandry Office of North Hulu Sungai Regency and Fishery Field Extension Officer for information and field assistance for this research, as well as for fishing communities in Babirik swamp area for information and cooperation.

References

- Adewuyi S. A., Phillip B. B., Ayinde I. A., Akerele D., 2010 Analysis of profitability of fish farming in Ogun State, Nigeria. *Journal of Human Ecology* 31(3):179-184.
- Ahmed N., 2007 Economics of aquaculture feeding practices: Bangladesh. In: Economics of aquaculture feeding practices in selected Asian countries. Hasan M. R. (ed), FAO Fisheries Technical Paper No. 505, Rome, pp. 33-64.
- Bairagya R., 2011 Analysis of profitability of fish farming in West Bengal, India - a study report. *International Journal of Current Research* 3(11):204-214.
- Barbier E. B., Acreman M., Knowler D., 1997 Economic valuation of wetlands: a guide for policy makers and planners. Ramsar Convention Bureau, Gland, Switzerland, 138 pp.
- Bigwa C., 2013 Feasibility of aquaculture in Cameroon: the case of the noun division in the West region [final project]. Fisheries Training Programme, United Nations University, 56 pp.
- Bijaksana U., 2006 [Preliminary studies reproductive bio-eco snakehead in the swamp Bangkai South Kalimantan Province]. National Symposium of Biotechnology in Aquaculture 2006, Department of Aquaculture Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences, Bogor Agricultural University and Research Institute of Fisheries Freshwater Aquaculture Marine and Fisheries Research Agency, 5 July 2006, 5 pp. [in Indonesian]
- Burnawi B., 2009 [Identification of fish species in fishery sanctuary of Talan Lake, South Kalimantan]. *Buletin Teknik Litkayasa* 7(2):43-46. [in Indonesian]

Commented [indra1]: check again this reference. I was not able to find it anywhere online

Commented [indra2]: check the English, it has no meaning

- CBS (Central Bureau of Statistics) North Hulu Sungai Regency, 2014 [North Hulu Sungai Regency in Figures 2014]. CBS of North Hulu Sungai Regency, South Kalimantan, Indonesia, 493 pp. [in Indonesian]
- Chandra K. J., Sarker D., Khaleque M. A., Das D. R., 2010 Economic analysis of floodplain aquaculture at Daudkandi upazilla in Comilla. *Journal of the Bangladesh Agricultural University* 8(2):323-332.
- Cooke S. J., Allison E. H., Beard Jr. T. D., Arlinghaus R., Arthington A. H., Bartley D. M., Cowx I. G., Fuentesvilla C., Leonard N. J., Lorenzen K., Lynch A. J., Nguyen V. M., Youn S. J., Taylor W. W., Welcomme R. L., 2016 On the sustainability of inland fisheries: finding a future for the forgotten. *Ambio* 45:753-764.
- Das S., Behera B., Mishra A., 2015 Determinants of household use of wetland resources in West Bengal, India. *Wetlands Ecology and Management* 23:803-816.
- David A., Darwall W. R. T., Emerton L., Hamiss H., Hepelwa A., Kasthala G., Kwayu E., McIvor A., Springate-Baginski O., 2008 An integrated assessment of the biodiversity, livelihood and economic value of wetlands in Mtanza-Msona village, Tanzania. Dar es Salaam, TZ: IUCN Tanzania Country Office, 128 pp.
- Deka T. K., Kakati M., Goswami M. M., 2001 Diversity of wetland fish and its impact on the income of fishermen community of Assam. *Journal of the Indian Fisheries Association* 28:11-22.
- EPA (Environmental Protection Agency), 2006 Economic benefits of wetlands. EPA843-F-06-004, Office of Water, United States of America, 4 pp.
- Früh S., Gattenlöhner U., Hammerl M., Hartmann T., Hörmann S., Megerle H., Spaich F., 2013 Economic value of lakes and wetlands (Summary). Global Nature Fund – University of Applied Forest sciences – Lake Constance Foundation, German, 13 pp.
- Gittinger J. P., 1986 [Economic analysis of agricultural projects]. Second edition, EDI Series in Economic Development, University of Indonesia (UI-Press), Jakarta, 579 pp. [in Indonesian]
- Herliwati, Rahman M., 2011 [Eco-biological characteristics of *beje* fishery in Bangkau swamp area of South Kalimantan]. *Limnotek* 18(1):26-37. [in Indonesian]
- Leschine T. M., Wellman K. F., Green T. H., 1997 The economic value of wetlands: wetlands' role in flood protection in Western Washington. Ecology Publication No. 97-100, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), 62 pp.
- Lynch A. J., Cooke S. J., Deines A. M., Bower S. D., Bunnell D. B., Cowx I. G., Nguyen V. M., Nohner J., Phouthavong K., Riley B., Rogers M. W., Taylor W. W., Woelmer W., Youn S. J., Beard Jr. T. D., 2016 The social, economic, and environmental importance of inland fish and fisheries. *Environmental Reviews* 24:115-121.
- Najiyati S., Muslihat L., Suryadiputra I. N. N., 2005 [Guidelines for the management of peatlands for sustainable agriculture]. Climate Change, Forests and Peatlands Projects in Indonesia, Wetlands International - Indonesia Programmed an Wildlife Habitat Canada, Bogor, Indonesia, 198 pp. [in Indonesian]
- Olaoye O. J., Adegbite D. A., Oluwalana E. O., Vaughan I. O., Odebisi C. O., Adediji A. P., 2014 Comparative evaluation of economic benefits of earthen fish ponds and concrete tanks in aquaculture enterprises in Oyo State, Nigeria. *Croatian Journal of Fisheries* 72:107-117.
- Olawumi A. T., Dipeolu A. O., Bamiro O. M., 2010 Economic analysis of homestead fish production in Ogun State Nigeria. *Journal of Human Ecology* 31(1):13-17.
- Onumah E. E., Acquah H. D., 2010 Frontier analysis of aquaculture farms in the Southern Sector of Ghana. *World Applied Sciences Journal* 9(7):826-835.
- Rupawan, 2004 [*Beje* as a production pond in the swamp land]. Research Center for Inland Water Fisheries Palembang, Indonesia, pp. 189-195. [in Indonesian].
- Sarkar S., Kumar A., Kumar D., Sethi L. N., Hazarika M. M., Das G., 2015 Optimal size of fish pond for socio-economical development of Cachar (Assam). *International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology* 8(2):405-411.
- Sikiru B. O., Omobolanle N. M., Ayorinde B. J. O., Adegoke O. O., 2009 Improving *Clarias* productivity towards achieving food security in Ijebu-Ode, Ogun State, Nigeria: a socioeconomic analysis. *Advances in Biological Research* 3(1-2):24-28.

- Sofia L. A., 2017 Fishermen's perception and participation in fisheries resources conservation of Batungap swamp in Tapin Regency, South Kalimantan, Indonesia. *AAFL Bioflux* 10(6):1618-1626.
- Stuip M. A. M., Baker C. J., Oosterberg W., 2002 The socio-economics of wetlands. Wetlands International and RIZA, The Netherlands, 34 pp.
- Sumantriyadi, 2014 [Utilization of Lebak swamp water resources for fisheries]. *Jurnal Ilmu-ilmu Perikanan dan Budidaya Perairan* 9(1):59-65. [in Indonesian]
- Tajerin, 2007 [Technical efficiency of cultivation of catfish enlargement in the pond (case study in Tulung Agung Regency, East Java Province)]. *Jurnal Ekonomi Pembangunan* 12(1):37-48. [in Indonesian]
- Whiteoak K., Binney J., 2012 Literature review of economic value of ecosystem service that wetlands provide. Masden Jacob Associates, Australia, 70 pp.
- World Bank, 2006 Guidelines for environmental management of aquaculture investments in Vietnam. 236 pp.

Commented [indra3]: check the English

Received: 18 october 2018. Accepted: 26 December 2018. Published online: 06 February 2019.

Authors:

Leila Ariyani Sofia, Study Program of Fisheries Agribusiness, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine, Lambung Mangkurat University, Jl. A. Yani Km. 36 Banjarbaru, South Kalimantan, Indonesia, e-mail: leila.ariyani@ulm.ac.id


Susi Nurlianti, Graduate of Program of Fisheries Agribusiness, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine, Lambung Mangkurat University, Jl. A. Yani Km. 36 Banjarbaru, South Kalimantan, Indonesia, e-mail: susinurlianti066@gmail.com

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

How to cite this article:

Sofia L. A., Nurlianti S., 2019 The economic value of the resource utilization of wetlands: comparative study of *beje* fisheries in North Hulu, Sungai Regency, South Kalimantan, Indonesia. *AAFL Bioflux* 12(1):143-150.

REVISI 7 Februari 2019



The economic value of the resource utilization of wetlands: comparative study of *beje* fisheries in North Hulu, Sungai Regency, South Kalimantan, Indonesia

¹Leila A. Sofia, ²Susi Nurlianti

¹ Study Program of Fisheries Agribusiness, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine, Lambung Mangkurat University, South Kalimantan, Indonesia; ² Graduate of Program of Fisheries Agribusiness, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine, Lambung Mangkurat University, South Kalimantan, Indonesia. Corresponding author: L. A. Sofia, leila.ariyani@ulm.ac.id

Abstract. *Beje* fishery in wetlands is generally managed by fishermen only on the basis of their own capital and experience, so that land is cleared as far as possible without considering the financial feasibility aspects of the business and the conservation of wetlands. The aims of the study were to determine the volume and value of production, and the feasibility of *beje* fishery business based on the number of management units. The location of the study was determined purposively, namely Papuyu River Village, North Hulu Sungai Regency of South Kalimantan, and samples were taken by census of 20 fisherman *beje* households. The samples are grouped into 3 groups based on the number of managed *beje* units are small groups (1-5 units); middle group (6-10 units); and large groups (more than 10 units). Primary data were analyzed using descriptive analysis and business feasibility analysis through investment criteria approach. The results showed that the highest total *beje* production volume per unit is categorized as the moderate level with production reaching 100.91 kg year⁻¹ with the highest production value per unit was approximately Rp 1,244,090 year⁻¹. The middle management group has better land use, capital and operational efficiency than small and large groups.

Key Words: inland fisheries, wetlands, conservation, feasibility studies, management.

Introduction. Wetlands cover nearly 6% of the earth's surface, including swamp, fen, peat lands or peat waters, either natural or artificial, permanent or transient, in the form of static or flowing freshwater, brackish or saline, including the area of sea water with receding depth not exceeding six meters (Stuip et al 2002). Wetland systems have benefited directly, or indirectly, to the world's population. A single wetland system may provide multiple types of ecosystem services depending on wetland conditions of type, location, condition, utilization, etc. (Whiteoak & Binney 2012). Wetlands play an important role in slowing down and storing flood waters (Leschine et al 1997), controlling pollution, contributing to local and national economies by producing resources and providing recreation (EPA 2006; Früh et al 2013; Das et al 2015).

Fisheries and aquaculture in the mainland has contributed more than 40% of the world production of finned fish were reported from at least 0.01% of the total volume of water on earth. This fishery provides food for billions and livelihoods for millions of the world's population (Lynch et al 2016). Traditional fishing is an important livelihood for most households (especially the poor) in wetland areas, both as a source of household protein as well as investment resources, business and cash income (David et al 2008). Similarly aquaculture in wetlands is able to provide fish production (nutrient supply) and high economic benefits for farmers (Chandra et al 2010; Olaoye et al 2014).

However, government policies in some countries still do not prioritize the use of wetlands for fisheries development, where the contribution of the economic value of the utilization of wetlands from fisheries is still considered lower than the contribution from the

use of wetlands for other economic activities (Cooke et al 2016). Many wetland areas are used massively for economic activities outside of fisheries, such as drainage for agriculture, settlement and development of areas, waste disposal sites, etc. that threaten the ecology of wetlands and the existence of fisheries resources. Ramsar data shows that in 1994 about 84% of registered wetlands had experienced or were threatened by ecological changes (Stuip et al 2002).

One of the many traditional fisheries systems developed in several areas of Indonesia's wetlands (such as Sumatra and Kalimantan) is *beje*. *Beje* fishery has been developed by the people of South Kalimantan from generation to generation, especially in the freshwater swamp area of North Hulu Sungai Regency. *Beje* is a pond in a deliberately created swampland and serves as a natural fish trap when migrating fish seek protection when the water depth is in critical condition, it can also be used as a place to nourish and raise fish in the dry season (Najiyati et al 2005; Bijaksana 2006; Herliwati & Rahman 2011; Sumantriyadi 2014). After the *beje* pond is prepared, then the fish entering the *beje* are allowed to grow naturally without any treatment. At the peak of the dry season the plains around *beje* dry, water and fish in *beje* isolated and trapped, then the fish can be harvested (Rupawan 2004). Types of fish that are harvested are generally black fish groups that have habitat in the swampy marsh, such as common snakehead (*Channa striata*), giant snakehead (*Channa micropeltes*), climbing perch (*Anabas testudineus*), snakeskin gourami (*Trichopodus pectoralis*), three spot gourami (*Trichopodus trichopterus*) and other swamp fish (Burnawi 2009), and these fish belong to important economically valuable fish (Sofia 2017). Some fish species with very high demand, and the specific fish produced only from local wetlands will lead to very high prices in the market, thus providing a significant effect on fisherman's income (Deka et al 2001). This indicates that *beje* has the potential to be further developed, where changes in natural ecosystems are relatively small, even able to maintain local fish species, and support food security and income sources for local communities.

However, the number of units and the size of *beje* managed by local fishermen is based solely on their own capital and experience, so that many wetlands are opened as widely as possible without considering financial feasibility aspects. While Barbier et al (1997) states that for the purpose of wetland conservation, policy is required that does not neglect the loss or degradation of further wetlands through sustainable use and research to measure the value of wetlands. Hence, the objective of the present study was to determine the volume and value of production, as well as the feasibility of *beje* fishery business based on the number of management units.

Material and Method

Description of the study sites. North Hulu Sungai is one of South Kalimantan region with an area of wetlands to $\pm 50,000$ ha. Almost 98.82% of the marsh waters are periodically flooded (CBS North Hulu Sungai Regency 2014) and swamplands will experience drought for some time during the dry season. Drought conditions are used by local communities to trap fish that are trying to find a source of water by making a well dug in the swamp land. This research was conducted in Sungai Papuyu Village Babirik District which is one of *beje* fishery development area in North Hulu Sungai Regency in January-April 2017 (Figure 1). Locations are deliberately chosen based on the number of fisherman households working on *beje* and the variety of fisherman-run units. The *beje* fishery in the study location is managed by 20 fisherman households with total *beje* of 145 units. The number of *beje* managed by each fisherman household varies between 5 and 15 units.

Population and sample. The population in this research is fisherman households who work on *beje* fishery with the number of members as many as 20 households. The members of the population are all sampled. The sample will be divided into three groups based on the number of ownership of *beje* units cultivated. Group I as a small unit is a household that seeks 1 to 5 units; group II as middle unit is households that work on 6 to 10 units; and group III as large unit is households that work on more than 10 units.




Figure 1. The location of *beje* at Babirik district, Hulu Sungai Utara, Indonesia.

Data analysis. The long-term business feasibility of *beje* fishery is determined using the investment criteria approach (Gittinger 1986), as follows:

a. Net Present Value (NPV):

$$NPV = \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{B_t - C_t}{(1 + i)^t}$$

where: B_t = total benefit in year- t ;

C_t = total costs in year- t ;

n = the economic life of the project;

i = discount rate.

Decision criteria: $NPV > 0$ - profitable business to be developed further; $NPV = 0$ - business is on break even; $NPV < 0$ - unprofitable business to be further developed.

b. Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR):

$$BCR = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^n B_t}{\sum_{t=1}^n C_t}$$

Decision criteria: $BCR < 1$ - unprofitable business to run; $BCR > 1$ - profitable business to run.

c. Internal Rate of Return (IRR):

$$IRR = i' + \frac{NPV'}{NPV' - NPV} (i - i')$$

where: i_1 = the rate of discount rate that produces NPV_1 (the smallest positive);

i_2 = the rate of discount rate that produces NPV_2 (the smallest negative).

Decision criteria: $IRR < \text{discount rate}$ - unprofitable business to run; $IRR > \text{discount rate}$ - profitable business to run.

Results. *Beje* fisheries have long been worked on by local fishermen, of which 65% of fishermen have been working on it for 5-10 years and 35% of other fishermen have been working on it for more than 10 years. *Beje* which is managed by fishermen has generally a rectangular shape with area based on ownership group is small group around 57.80-462.40 m²; the middle group ranges from 144.50 to 1,300.50 m²; and the average pond size of a large group is 722.50 m² (Table 1). Total production of *beje* per household ranges from 338.64 to 920 kg year⁻¹. Fish produced generally consists of snakeskin gourami (*T. pectoralis*) as much as 103.57-345 kg; three spot gourami (*T. trichopterus*) as much as 147.5-355 kg; common snakehead (*C. striata*) as much as 41.71-115.46 kg; and climbing perch (*A. testudineus*) as much as 45.86-110 kg. While production per unit *beje* ranged from 74 to 100.91 kg year⁻¹ (Table 2).

Table 1

Size of *beje* by unit and household

| Group | Size per unit (m ²) | | | Size per household (m ²) | | |
|--------|---------------------------------|----------|---------|--------------------------------------|----------|-----------|
| | Largest | Smallest | Average | Largest | Smallest | Average |
| Small | 462.40 | 57.80 | 310.68 | 2,312.00 | 231.20 | 1,338.69 |
| Middle | 1,300.50 | 144.50 | 459.77 | 8,670.00 | 1,011.50 | 3,586.23 |
| Large | - | - | 722.50 | 10,837.50 | 9,392.50 | 10,115.00 |

Table 2

Average *beje* production by type of fish and business group (in a year)

| Type of fish | Small | | Middle | | Large | |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| | Vol. unit ⁻¹ (kg) | Total (kg) | Vol. unit ⁻¹ (kg) | Total (kg) | Vol. unit ⁻¹ (kg) | Total (kg) |
| Snakeskin gourami | 23.71 | 103.57 | 28.18 | 211.82 | 25 | 345 |
| Three spot gourami | 29.57 | 147.5 | 45.00 | 333.18 | 27 | 355 |
| Common snakehead | 9.86 | 41.71 | 15.00 | 115.46 | 8 | 110 |
| Climbing perch | 10.86 | 45.86 | 12.73 | 96.36 | 8 | 110 |
| Total | 74.00 | 338.64 | 100.91 | 756.82 | 68 | 920 |

The production value of *beje* catch depends on the amount and type of fish produced, as well as the price of the fish. The higher the number and price of the fish caught, the greater the income the fishermen will get. Table 3 shows that snakeskin gourami has the highest production value because its total production is highest, although the selling price is still below the price of common snakehead which is around Rp 15,000-30,000 kg⁻¹. While the second highest production value is common snakehead with a value of Rp 1,131,140-2,875,000; and the selling price per kg reaches Rp 20,000-35,000.

Table 3

Average *beje* production value by type of fish and business group (in a year)

| Type of fish | Small | | Middle | | Large | |
|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| | Per unit (Rp 000) | Total (Rp 000) | Per unit (Rp 000) | Total (Rp 000) | Per unit (Rp 000) | Total (Rp 000) |
| Snakeskin gourami | 554.21 | 2,416.43 | 430.45 | 3,243.64 | 405 | 5,565 |
| Three spot gourami | 147.86 | 632.14 | 225.00 | 1,665.91 | 135 | 1,775 |
| Common snakehead | 272.00 | 1,131.14 | 329.55 | 2,481.82 | 205 | 2,875 |
| Climbing perch | 220.43 | 925.14 | 259.09 | 1,940.91 | 160 | 2,200 |
| Total | 1,194.50 | 5,104.85 | 1,244.09 | 9,332.28 | 905 | 12,415 |

Beje fishery business in each of the average management group requires investment capital of more than Rp 8 million. The highest total investment capital is large group Rp 19,760,000; and the lowest investment is the middle group only Rp 8,755,000. While the operational cost of *beje* in 5 years of highest management is small group Rp 21,577,000; and the lowest operational cost is the middle group Rp 15,428,000. The highest total

revenue and profits are large, while the lowest is the small group (Table 4). Table 4 shows the results of business feasibility analysis with the NPV criterion with a 9% factor discount indicating that in each group can generate profit, where the smallest profit in small group (Rp 3,782,000) and the biggest profit in large group (Rp 44,812,000). The result of NPV analysis with 12% discount factor also still give advantage to each management group. While the result of business feasibility analysis based on BCR criteria at 9% and 12% discount factor, the value of BCR in each group is above 1 which means that each group of management unit is feasible to be cultivated in long term. The lowest BCR value is in the small group and the highest is the large group. While the results of the analysis based on the IRR criteria indicate that the lowest IRR value is small group (16.04%) and the highest is the middle group (19.17%).

Table 4
Beje's business feasibility analysis by business group within 5 years of management

| <i>Business feasibility criteria</i> | <i>Small</i> | <i>Middle</i> | <i>Large</i> |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| Investment cost (Rp 000) | 9,918 | 8,755 | 19,760 |
| Total cost (Rp 000) | 21,577 | 15,428 | 15,917 |
| Total revenue (Rp 000) | 25,529 | 46,650 | 62,150 |
| Profit (Rp 000) | 3,952 | 31,222 | 46,233 |
| NPV 9% (Rp 000) | 3,782 | 30,280 | 44,812 |
| NPV 12% (Rp 000) | 2,171 | 21,348 | 31,387 |
| BCR 9% | 1.18 | 3.00 | 3.86 |
| BCR 12% | 1.13 | 2.74 | 3.34 |
| IRR (%) | 16.04 | 19.17 | 19.01 |

Discussion. *Beje* is a traditional fishery activity in the inland water swamp where fishing activities are continued with fish polyculture activities. Usually *beje* ponds that have been built by fishermen are left without any treatment until a number of fish from various types of swamp fish are trapped. *Beje* productivity is highly dependent on natural conditions and aquatic fertility, there is no special treatment in management to further encourage fish production, for example an increase in stocking density, feeding, or protection from predators. Therefore, the fish rearing period until harvesting generally takes some time, at least one year. The experience of fishermen shows that the age of *beje* is enough to determine the amount of fish production that can be produced. *Beje* which is old (more than 10 years) allows a lot of fish seeds embedded in it. Adult fish will be harvested immediately, while seeds or young fish are deliberately left and raised to be harvested in the next season.

The results of the study indicate that *beje* business with different size and number of management units can still provide income for fishermen who develop it. The result of business feasibility analysis based on cost and revenue in 5 years of management shows that the three management groups are able to generate profit with ratio between cost and revenue more than 1, so that the three management groups are feasible to be developed further. Similarly, the IRR analysis shows that the IRR of each management group is more than the interest rate for small business loans (12%). Therefore, if the business development uses loan funds with standard bank interest rates, then each level of management still provides benefits for the managers.

However, the highest level of management with productivity per unit was the middle group reaching 100.91 kg year⁻¹ (Table 2). Similarly, the production value per unit of *beje* shows that the economic value of middle group unit (6-10 units) is higher than the other group which is Rp 1,244,090 year⁻¹. While the capital needed for the supply of *beje* units in the middle group is the smallest (Rp 8,755,000). While in large groups (> 10 units) both volume and production value per unit is the lowest at only 68 kg year⁻¹ with production value of Rp 905,000 year⁻¹, but the required total capital is higher is Rp 19,760,000.

Thus, the middle management group has better land use efficiency, capital and operational costs than small and large groups. In line with the results of Sarkar et al (2015) study, the best annual production level in a dredged pond type culture can be achieved

with good management practices, and it comes from small and medium scale cultivation because it is economically more feasible than other scales. Ownership of waters that are not too extensive requires fish farmers to intensify their efforts so that they lead to high productivity (Bairagya 2011). Small-scale polyculture fisheries are feasible (Olawumi et al 2010) with a positive NPV and an IRR of 19-24% (Bigwa 2013). Efforts to increase the productivity of *beje* can be done by developing middle group management unit and more intensifying *beje* maintenance as a fishery cultivation business. Chandra et al (2010) indicate that semi-intensive aquaculture type ponds in floodplain areas are able to provide fish production and high economic benefits for farmers. In addition, in the cultivation of ponds found that the factors that positively affect the production is the extent of the pond area, fish seed, feed, labor and other costs (Tajerin 2007; Onumah & Acquah 2010; Olawumi et al 2010; Adewuyi et al 2010), fish size (Sikiru et al 2009), location and level of water circulation (World Bank 2006), and management capabilities (Ahmed 2007).

Conclusions. The highest total *beje* production volume per unit is the middle group with production reaching 100.91 kg yr⁻¹. The highest production value per unit is in the middle group of Rp 1,244,090 yr⁻¹. The middle management group has better land use, capital and operational efficiency than small and large groups. The results of the study suggest that to increase the productivity of *beje* fisheries can be done by developing *beje* business in the middle group, and supported the application of semi-intensive production technology.

Acknowledgements. We thank to Prof. Dr. Muchlisin Z. A., S.Pi, M.Sc (Dean of Faculty of Marine and Fisheries Syiah Kuala University, Banda Aceh) and two anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments which have helped improve the quality of paper immensely. We are thankful to Fisheries and Husbandry Office of North Hulu Sungai Regency and Fishery Field Extension Officer for information and field assistance for this research, as well as for fishing communities in Babirik swamp area for information and cooperation.

References

- Adewuyi S. A., Phillip B. B., Ayinde I. A., Akerele D., 2010 Analysis of profitability of fish farming in Ogun State, Nigeria. *Journal of Human Ecology* 31(3):179-184.
- Ahmed N., 2007 Economics of aquaculture feeding practices: Bangladesh. In: Economics of aquaculture feeding practices in selected Asian countries. Hasan M. R. (ed), FAO Fisheries Technical Paper No. 505, Rome, pp. 33-64.
- Bairagya R., 2011 Analysis of profitability of fish farming in West Bengal, India – a study report. *International Journal of Current Research* 3(11):204-214. <http://doi.org/10.24941/ijcr>
- Barbier E. B., Acreman M., Knowler D., 1997 Economic valuation of wetlands: a guide for policy makers and planners. Ramsar Convention Bureau, Gland, Switzerland, 138 pp.
- Bigwa C., 2013 Feasibility of aquaculture in Cameroon: the case of the noun division in the West region [final project]. Fisheries Training Programme, United Nations University, 56 pp.
- Bijaksana U., 2006 [A preliminary study of bio-eco reproduction of snakehead in Bangkau swamp, South Kalimantan Province]. National Symposium of Biotechnology in Aquaculture 2006, Department of Aquaculture Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences, Bogor Agricultural University and Research Institute of Fisheries Freshwater Aquaculture Marine and Fisheries Research Agency, 5 July 2006, 5 pp. [in Indonesian]
- Burnawi B., 2009 [Identification of fish species in fishery sanctuary of Talan Lake, South Kalimantan]. *Buletin Teknik Litkayasa* 7(2):43-46. [in Indonesian]
- CBS (Central Bureau of Statistics) North Hulu Sungai Regency, 2014 [North Hulu Sungai Regency in Figures 2014]. CBS of North Hulu Sungai Regency, South Kalimantan, Indonesia, 493 pp. [in Indonesian]

Commented [A1]: Server in maintenance

- Chandra K. J., Sarker D., Khaleque M. A., Das D. R., 2010 Economic analysis of floodplain aquaculture at Daudkandi upazilla in Comilla. *Journal of the Bangladesh Agricultural University* 8(2):323-332.
- Cooke S. J., Allison E. H., Beard Jr. T. D., Arlinghaus R., Arthington A. H., Bartley D. M., Cowx I. G., Fuentesvilla C., Leonard N. J., Lorenzen K., Lynch A. J., Nguyen V. M., Youn S. J., Taylor W. W., Welcomme R. L., 2016 On the sustainability of inland fisheries: finding a future for the forgotten. *Ambio* 45:753-764.
- Das S., Behera B., Mishra A., 2015 Determinants of household use of wetland resources in West Bengal, India. *Wetlands Ecology and Management* 23:803-816.
- David A., Darwall W. R. T., Emerton L., Hamiss H., Hepelwa A., Kasthala G., Kwayu E., McIvor A., Springate-Baginski O., 2008 An integrated assessment of the biodiversity, livelihood and economic value of wetlands in Mtanza-Msona village, Tanzania. Dar es Salaam, TZ: IUCN Tanzania Country Office, 128 pp.
- Deka T. K., Kakati M., Goswami M. M., 2001 Diversity of wetland fish and its impact on the income of fishermen community of Assam. *Journal of the Indian Fisheries Association* 28:11-22.
- EPA (Environmental Protection Agency), 2006 Economic benefits of wetlands. EPA843-F-06-004, Office of Water, United States of America, 4 pp.
- Früh S., Gattenlöhner U., Hammerl M., Hartmann T., Hörmann S., Megerle H., Spaich F., 2013 Economic value of lakes and wetlands (Summary). Global Nature Fund – University of Applied Forest sciences – Lake Constance Foundation, German, 13 pp.
- Gittinger J. P., 1986 [Economic analysis of agricultural projects]. Second edition, EDI Series in Economic Development, University of Indonesia (UI-Press), Jakarta, 579 pp. [in Indonesian]
- Herliwati, Rahman M., 2011 [Eco-biological characteristics of *beje* fishery in Bangkau swamp area of South Kalimantan]. *Limnotek* 18(1):26-37. [in Indonesian]
- Leschine T. M., Wellman K. F., Green T. H., 1997 The economic value of wetlands: wetlands' role in flood protection in Western Washington. Ecology Publication No. 97-100, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), 62 pp.
- Lynch A. J., Cooke S. J., Deines A. M., Bower S. D., Bunnell D. B., Cowx I. G., Nguyen V. M., Nohner J., Phouthavong K., Riley B., Rogers M. W., Taylor W. W., Woelmer W., Youn S. J., Beard Jr. T. D., 2016 The social, economic, and environmental importance of inland fish and fisheries. *Environmental Reviews* 24:115-121.
- Najiyati S., Muslihat L., Suryadiputra I. N. N., 2005 [Guidelines for the management of peatlands for sustainable agriculture]. Climate Change, Forests and Peatlands Projects in Indonesia, Wetlands International - Indonesia Programmed an Wildlife Habitat Canada, Bogor, Indonesia, 198 pp. [in Indonesian]
- Olaoye O. J., Adegbite D. A., Oluwalana E. O., Vaughan I. O., Odebiyi C. O., Adediji A. P., 2014 Comparative evaluation of economic benefits of earthen fish ponds and concrete tanks in aquaculture enterprises in Oyo State, Nigeria. *Croatian Journal of Fisheries* 72:107-117.
- Olawumi A. T., Dipeolu A. O., Bamiro O. M., 2010 Economic analysis of homestead fish production in Ogun State Nigeria. *Journal of Human Ecology* 31(1):13-17.
- Onumah E. E., Acquah H. D., 2010 Frontier analysis of aquaculture farms in the Southern Sector of Ghana. *World Applied Sciences Journal* 9(7):826-835.
- Rupawan, 2004 [*Beje* as a production pond in the swamp land]. Research Center for Inland Water Fisheries Palembang, Indonesia, pp. 189-195. [in Indonesian].
- Sarkar S., Kumar A., Kumar D., Sethi L. N., Hazarika M. M., Das G., 2015 Optimal size of fish pond for socio-economical development of Cachar (Assam). *International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology* 8(2):405-411.
- Sikiru B. O., Omobolanle N. M., Ayorinde B. J. O., Adegoke O. O., 2009 Improving *Clarias* productivity towards achieving food security in Ijebu-Ode, Ogun State, Nigeria: a socioeconomic analysis. *Advances in Biological Research* 3(1-2):24-28.
- Sofia L. A., 2017 Fishermen's perception and participation in fisheries resources conservation of Batungap swamp in Tapin Regency, South Kalimantan, Indonesia. *AAFL Bioflux* 10(6):1618-1626.

- Stuip M. A. M., Baker C. J., Oosterberg W., 2002 The socio-economics of wetlands. Wetlands International and RIZA, The Netherlands, 34 pp.
- Sumantriyadi, 2014 [Utilization of Lebak swamp water resources for fisheries]. *Jurnal Ilmu-ilmu Perikanan dan Budidaya Perairan* 9(1):59-65. [in Indonesian]
- Tajerin, 2007 [Technical efficiency of catfish growth out culture in pond (case study in Tulung Agung Regency, East Java Province)]. *Jurnal Ekonomi Pembangunan* 12(1):37-48. [in Indonesian]
- Whiteoak K., Binney J., 2012 Literature review of economic value of ecosystem service that wetlands provide. Masden Jacob Associates, Australia, 70 pp.
- World Bank, 2006 Guidelines for environmental management of aquaculture investments in Vietnam. 236 pp.

Received: 18 october 2018. Accepted: 26 December 2018. Published online: 06 February 2019.

Authors:

Leila Ariyani Sofia, Study Program of Fisheries Agribusiness, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine, Lambung Mangkurat University, Jl. A. Yani Km. 36 Banjarbaru, South Kalimantan, Indonesia, e-mail: leila.ariyani@ulm.ac.id

Susi Nurlianti, Graduate of Program of Fisheries Agribusiness, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine, Lambung Mangkurat University, Jl. A. Yani Km. 36 Banjarbaru, South Kalimantan, Indonesia, e-mail: susinurlianti066@gmail.com

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

How to cite this article:

Sofia L. A., Nurlianti S., 2019 The economic value of the resource utilization of wetlands: comparative study of *beje* fisheries in North Hulu, Sungai Regency, South Kalimantan, Indonesia. *AAFL Bioflux* 12(1):143-150.