

JURNAL

ISSN 2656-8042 (print) | ISSN 2656-8040 (online)

BIOEDUKATIKA



UNIVERSITAS AHMAD DAHLAN

Volume 5-22

Vol. 5, No. 1 2018

Editorial Team

Editor in Chief

Siti Zubaidah, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia

Managing Editor

Much. Fuad Saifuddin, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Indonesia

Editorial Board

Ika Maryani, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Indonesia

Setiyo Prajoko, (International Publication), Universitas Tidar, Indonesia

Rizhal Hendi Ristanto, (International Publication), Universitas Negeri Jakarta, Indonesia

Rio Christy Handziko, (Publication in Scopus), Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta, Indonesia

R. Ahmad Zaky El Islami, Universitas Sultan Ageng Tirtayasa, Indonesia

Dwi Setyawan, Universitas Muhammadiyah Malang, Indonesia

Sigit Sujatmika, Universitas Sarjanawiyata Tamansiswa, Indonesia

Anip Dwi Saputro, Universitas Muhammadiyah Ponorogo, Indonesia

Hani Irawati, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Indonesia

Yahya Hanafi, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Indonesia

Destri Ratna Ma'rifah, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Indonesia

Nani Aprilia, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Indonesia

Assistant editor

Galuh Alif Fahmi Rizki, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Indonesia

JURNAL BIOEDUKATIKA

ISSN 2338-6630 (Print)

ISSN 2541-5646 (Online)

Published by Universitas Ahmad Dahlan

Table of Contents

Articles

Lesson study for learning community: A way of collegial participation of teachers and lecturers	PDF
<i>Dwi Setyawan, Tutut Indria Permana, Roimil Latifa</i>	1-10
Student's naturalist intelligence in studying high plant botanicals by inquiry model	PDF
<i>Dharmono Dharmono, Atika Rahmawati</i>	11-17
Improving student problem-solving skill and cognitive learning outcome through the implementation of problem-based learning	PDF
<i>Rusdi Hasan, Marheny Lukitasari, Vemy Juniarti, Irwandi Irwandi</i>	18-26
Pengembangan modul discovery learning struktur tumbuhan dengan memanfaatkan potensi lokal umbul tatar untuk meningkatkan regulasi diri dan sikap kepedulian lingkungan siswa SMA	PDF
<i>Dwi Hartanti, Sajidan Sajidan, Baskoro Adi Prayitno</i>	27-38
Respons guru terhadap pengembangan bahan ajar quipper school pada materi perubahan lingkungan untuk meningkatkan manajemen pembelajaran guru	PDF
<i>Miftahul Khairani, Slamet Suyanto</i>	39-46
Validitas buku ilmiah populer penyu untuk siswa SMA kawasan pesisir	PDF
<i>Irwandi Irwandi, Atiek Winarti, Muhammad Zaini</i>	47-58
Profil keterampilan berpikir kritis mahasiswa dalam perkuliahan zoologi	PDF
<i>Yakobus Bustami, Endang Suarsini, Ibrohim Ibrohim</i>	59-66



Student's natural intelligence in studying high plant botanicals by inquiry model



Dharmono^{1,*}, Atika Rahmawati²

Biology Education Department, Postgraduate, Universitas Lambung Mangkurat, Indonesia

¹ dhar66@ulm.ac.id *, ² atikarahma65@gmail.com

* Corresponding author

ARTICLE INFO

Article history

Received June 24 2018
Revised August 1 2018
Accepted Mey 28 2019

Key word:

High plant botany
Inquiry model
Student's natural intelligence

ABSTRACT

Natural intelligence was the expertise in recognizing and classifying various species of flora and fauna from an individual environment. The principle of learning of Plant Botany in higher education was the application of the process of observing, measuring, testing, estimating, analyzing, comparing, classifying, experimenting and making conclusions by applying the principle of learning by doing. Therefore natural intelligence was very necessary. This study aims to determine the natural intelligence of students in the High Plant Botany course. This study uses a quantitative descriptive approach with the Action Research model Inquiry method. The study was conducted on 40 students of high plant botany Biology Education Subjects PMPA Department FKIP Lambung Mangkurat University with 12 practicums as many times as measurements (1st practicum Cycadopsida Class, 6 Class Magnoliopsida practicum and 12 Liliopsida Class labs). The character of natural intelligence was measured using student worksheets which include; 1) Sensitivity to plants, 2) Expertise in differentiating members of plant species, 3) Ability to recognize the existence of plant species, and 4) Ability to map relationships between several plant species. Data were analyzed descriptively. The results of the study showed that there was a tendency to decrease the value of students' natural intelligence in high plant botany courses from repeated observations made. Based on the categories in a row with a high category (grades 75.6-90.5) as many as 21.3% of students, medium category (grades 60.6-75.5) as many as 76.6% students, and low categories (grades 40, 6-60.5) as many as 3.1% of students.



This is an open access article under the CC-BY-SA license.



Introduction

Gardner (2000) suggests a theory, that the minimum intelligence possessed by a person includes eight different intellectual abilities called the multiple intelligences theory. The eight bits of intelligence

consists of: linguistik intelligence, logical mathematical intelligence, spatial intelligence, bodily-kinesthetic intelligence, musical intelligence, interpersonal intelligence, intrapersonal intelligence, and natural intelligence.

Gardner further explained that natural intelligence is the ability to recognize, see differences, classify, and categorize what he saw or met in nature or in his environment. Being naturally intelligent can help humans in the past to recognize patterns and changes around their environment to survive. This intelligence is located in the part of the brain responsible for recognizing patterns, forming subtle relationships, especially in areas of the brain that can capture the perception of sensors that are accurate, such as the separation and classification of certain objects.

Some researchers report on the role of naturalist intelligence in relation to success in the world of education. Hanafin (2014) states that naturalist intelligence can make students more interested and more motivated in conducting practical activities. Derakhshan & Faribi (2015) stated that naturalist intelligence can improve the ability to improvise language both in speaking and writing. Mustafa, Jado, & Onoz (2014) who reported, that, naturalist intelligence students can improve student learning outcomes in learning light.

Some jobs that require natural intelligence are biologists or environmental conservation experts. This was also stated by Sreenidhi & Helena (2017) who stated that naturalist intelligence is the basic thing for studying plants and animals. This is evidenced by the results of a study by Walukou, Jahidin, & Makukulau (2016) on the contribution of naturalist intelligence to produce biology learning achievement of class X high school students with a high enough category.

Natural intelligence is the expertise in recognizing and classifying various species of flora and fauna from an individual environment. The point is the ability of humans to recognize plants, animals, and other parts of the universe. This intelligence is right to help in understanding biology lessons easily. Natural intelligence includes; 1) Sensitivity to an organism, 2) Expertise to differentiate members of species, 3) Ability to recognize the existence of a species, and 4) Ability to map relationships between several species (Armstrong, 2017).

Chatib (2014), explains Biology is a subject that needs the ability to recognize, differentiate, and classify flora and fauna. In learning, the right intelligence to

facilitate students in understanding biology well is by honing natural intelligence. Suherman (2012), that students with high natural intelligence have higher average ability to solve environmental problems compared to students who have low natural intelligence.

Researches on naturalist intelligence have so far been carried out both in early childhood education and elementary school up to college. As done by Juniarti (2015) about increasing natural intelligence through field visit methods in early childhood. Walukou et al. (2016) about the contribution of natural intelligence to biology learning achievement in class X high school students. Sari (2012) about differences in the natural intelligence of Biology students based on participation in study groups. Lismaya & Widiantie (2017) about the application of plant morphology learning through Outdoor Activities can improve students' natural intelligence.

The principle of learning Botany or Plant Taxonomy in higher education is the application of IPA processes (observing, measuring, testing, estimating, analyzing, comparing, classifying, experimenting and making conclusions) by applying several principles of student-centered learning, namely: learning by doing (learning with real experience), developing social skills, problem-solving, curiosity, and imagination and encouraging students to continue learning. One of the principles of learning can be done by the Inquiry method. Therefore natural intelligence is very necessary.

The reality on the ground of the learning principles of High Plant Botany has not been effective, because the material that is considered less attractive to students, abstract and seems to be memorized a lot, for example regarding plant systems, plant species and scientific names of plants, so that student interest for learning to be reduced which impacts on student learning outcomes (cognitive) that are less than optimal. As reported by Dharmono (2016), that learning the Plant Taxonomy gets a response from students: 1) boring (80%) because the material is raw and does not develop, 2) is not attractive (75%) because most material is memorized, 3) difficult understood (95%) because the terms used are mostly in Latin, and 4) the methods used are monotonous, namely lectures and classical practicums (80%).

Several studies to explore the character of students in learning high plant botany have been carried out, including Sriyati (2011) who applied formative assessment to explore and increase caring for plants, realize the greatness of the Creator, learn to manage time, be more creative, innovative, disciplined, thorough, and manage yourself. Dharmono, Muslimin, & Prabowo (2015) developed a key dichotomy in the form of "Coded Fan" to improve student learning outcomes in high plant botany courses. Dharmono (2016) develops Habits of Mind learning strategies to improve student learning outcomes in high plant botany courses. The results of these studies indicate that various efforts have been made to improve the ability of students to study high plant botany.

This study aims to determine the natural intelligence of students, especially in the High Plant Botany course as a reference for increasing student mastery in learning high plant botany.

Method

This study uses a quantitative descriptive approach. The quantitative approach used in this study is to use the Action Research method Inquiry learning model. This research was conducted on high plant botany Biology Education participants in the PMIPA Department, FKIP, Lambung Mangkurat University, Banjarmasin, with 40 students. The character of natural intelligence is measured using criteria adapted from Armstrong (2017) which using student worksheets include; 1) Sensitivity to an organism, 2) Expertise to differentiate members of species, 3) Ability to recognize the existence of a species, and 4) Ability to map relationships between several species. Learning activities are carried out using the Inquiry syntax, namely; Orientasi, formulating problems, formulating hypotheses, collecting data, testing hypotheses, and formulating conclusions. The research data was taken from the results of students' ability to carry out practical activities in learning using inquiry methods at the stage of observation of plants 3 times the measurement of 12 practicums carried out (1st practicum Cycadopsida Class, 6 Class Magnoliopsida practicum and 12 Liliopsida Class labs). Data analysis used descriptively on the results of average student scores made on

the graph and categorized based on criteria adapted from Sugiyono (2016) which included; 1) a score of 90,6-100 is very high, 2) a score of 75,6-90,5 is high, 3) a score of 60,6-75,5 is medium, 4) 40,6-60,5 is low, and 5) a score of 0-40.5 is very low.

Results and Discussion

Data obtained from practicum results data using the Inquiry approach to the natural intelligence of students in high plant botany courses taken three times repetition can be seen in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Average natural intelligence trends

Based on Figure 1, it can be seen that the average value of students in the first to second meetings increases, but the decline seems to be from the third meeting to the fourth meeting. This was allegedly caused by the boredom of students in learning high plant botany. This is thought to be caused by a lack of curiosity of students about the plants observed. Such conditions indicate that the natural intelligence possessed by students in learning high plant botany has not grown well. As explained by Dharmono et al. (2015), that one of the causes of high plant botany courses is less attractive to students, because the learning is monotonous which results in students becoming bored. This is also reported by Maskour, Alami, & Agrraki (2016), that studying Plant Taxonomy is something that is difficult for students to do. The impact of the still low natural intelligence will lead to efforts to explore and increase caring for plants, realize the greatness of the Creator, learn to manage time, be more creative, innovative, disciplined, thorough, and self-managing students will also be low. As reported by Dolati & Tahriri (2017), that natural

intelligence has a strong enough relationship to emotional, motivation and student learning outcomes.

While the average data on natural intelligence results from 40 students in high plant botany courses were taken 3 times the measurement of practical activities (1st practicum Cycadopsida Class, practicum 6 Magnoliopsida Class, and practicum 12 Liliopsida Class) can be seen in Table 1.

Table 1. Percentage of Average Natural Intelligence of Students at high plant botany Courses

Indicator	Result (%)				
	VH	H	M	L	VL
Sensitivity to plants	0,0	30,0	67,5	2,5	0,0
The expertise differentiates members of plant species	0,0	37,5	60,0	2,5	0,0
The ability to recognize the existence of plant species	0,0	7,5	90,0	2,5	0,0
The ability to map the relationships between several plant species	0,0	30,0	67,5	2,5	0,0
	0,0	21,3	75,6	3,1	0,0

Information:

VH: Very High, H: High, M: Medium, L: Low, VL: Very Low

Based on Table 1 above, it can be seen that the effort to find out the natural intelligence of students in high plant botany courses on the 4 indicators of natural intelligence measured, has not found the value of students who reached the very high category (score > 90.5), but still dominated by students in the medium category (score 60.6-75.5) with an average of 75.5% or medium category. Meanwhile, reaching a high category only 21.3% of students. This shows that students in high plant botany courses do not have and develop their natural intelligence to study the subject, so the learning outcomes are not maximal. As reported by Suherman (2012), that students with high natural intelligence have higher average ability to solve environmental problems compared to students who have low natural intelligence.

Based on plant sensitivity indicators, the medium category reached 67.5% and the high category was only 30.0%. Meanwhile the indicator of expertise to differentiate members of plant species also shows that the ability of high plant botany student participants has not been able to optimally differentiate between species of one plant and other plants. This is thought to be caused by the ability of high plant botany participants to observe and record data carefully on the observed morphological characteristics of plants that have not been optimal so that their learning outcomes have not achieved maximum results. This was also reported by Suherman (2012), that the higher the students' natural intelligence, the higher their learning achievement. The results of this study reinforce the opinion of Gardner (2000), that the natural intelligence possessed by students provides opportunities and encourages students' curiosity to recognize patterns and phenomena found in nature and the environment.

Indicators mapping the relationship between several species of plants are also still dominated by students with the value of the medium category (score 60.6-75.5) as much as 67.5% of students, indicating that students' ability to classify plant species observed is also not optimal. This is certainly related to the indicator of sensitivity to plants so that the accuracy of observations can be carried out optimally, so it is certain to differentiate between plant species from one another to the maximum. This is also reported by Wardhani, Rondonuwu, & Sudarmi (2015), that critical observations will produce the ability to recognize, classify an object in nature. As stated by Fleetham (2006), that a person's potential to think and understand nature must be done with his ability to recognize and classify plants and animals and other aspects of their environment.

The indicators of recognizing the existence of plant species are also still dominated by students with a medium category score (score 60.6-75.5) as much as 90% of students, indicating the ability of students to understand the benefits of plants observed is also not optimal. This is due to the low awareness of students about the surrounding plants. Generally, students who are in touch with the surrounding natural environment will be more concerned with the phenomena that exist

in their environment. According to Yalmanci & Gözümlü (2013), someone who has natural intelligence always thinks of natural references. This can be seen from its ability to see relationships and patterns in the natural world and interact with natural processes.

Some efforts to improve student learning outcomes have been carried out by several researchers including among them Gupta, Kandru, & Singh (2015) who develop active learning techniques in studying botany can improve learning outcomes but are still dominated by values with a medium category. Goldberg & Ingram (2011) which uses the concept map model and problem-solving in botany learning are also still dominated by values with the medium category.

Other researchers who made learning innovations to improve the naturalist intelligence of students, including those conducted by Lismaya & Widiantie (2017) apply plant morphology learning through Outdoor Activities to improve student naturalist intelligence. Ayesha & Khurshid (2013) who seek to improve student naturalist intelligence through the application of Study Skill. Mojares (2015) who applied oral communication to improve students' naturalist intelligence. Hajhashemi, Caltabiano, Anderson, & Tabibzadeh (2018) reported, that student naturalist intelligence can be improved by using videos in learning.

Based on the description above, it shows that efforts to improve students' natural intelligence in learning high plant botany need to find a solution so that students are truly capable of maximally recognizing, differentiating, and classifying flora as a form to increase caring for plants, realizing the greatness of the Creator, learning to manage time, be more creative, innovative, disciplined, thorough, and manage themselves.

Conclusion

Students' natural intelligence in learning high plant botany students tend to decline from each measurement with no value which reaches a very high category, but it is still dominated by the moderate category with an average of 75.5% of students on 4 indicators of natural intelligence measured. Meanwhile, the highest category was only 21.3%. This shows that students in high plant botany

courses do not have and develop their natural intelligence to study the subject, so the learning outcomes are not maximal. Therefore it is necessary to find a solution so that students are truly capable of maximally recognizing, distinguishing, and classifying flora as a form to increase care for plants, realize the greatness of the Creator, learning to manage time, be more creative, innovative, disciplined, thorough, and manage themselves.

Acknowledgment

The researcher expressed his gratitude and appreciation to the Dean of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education at Lambung Mangkurat University (ULM) who gave research licensing, the Head of LPPM ULM who had provided research funding, the Head of Biology Education Study Program FKIP ULM who had provided opportunities to conduct research on his students, as well as all parties involved in conducting this research. Hopefully, the results of this study can benefit the world of education and improve the quality of Indonesian human resources.

References

- Armstrong, T. (2017). *Multiple intelligences in the classroom* (4th ed.). Alexandria: ASCD. Google Books
- Ayesha, B., & Khurshid, F. (2013). The relationship of multiple intelligence and effective study skills with academic achievement among university students. *Global Journal of Human Social Science Linguistics & Education*, 13(1), 21-32.
- Chatib, M. (2014). *Gurunya manusia: Menjadikan semua anak spesial dan semua anak juara*. Bandung: Mizan - Kaifa. Google Books
- Derakhshan, A., & Faribi, M. (2015). Multiple intelligences: Language learning and teaching. *International Journal of English Linguistics*, 5(4), 63-72. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijel.v5n4p63>
- Dharmono, D. (2016). Efisiensi implementasi kunci dikotomi berbentuk kipas berkode sebagai media pembelajaran botani tumbuhan tinggi. In *Prosiding Seminar Nasional tahun 2016 Pascasarjana Universitas Negeri*

- Surabaya (hal. 7). Surabaya: Unesa Press.
- Dharmono, D., Muslimin, I., & Prabowo, P. (2015). Development of the key dichotomy an encoded as a media learning higher botany planstitle. In *Proceeding of International Seminar on Science Education Yogyakarta State University*. Yogyakarta: UNY Press.
- Dolati, Z., & Tahriri, A. (2017). EFL teachers' multiple intelligences and their classroom practice. *SAGE Open*, 7(3), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244017722582>
- Fleetham, M. (2006). *Multiple intelligences in practice: Enhancing self-esteem and learning in the classroom*. Stafford: Network Continuum Education. Google Books
- Gardner, H. E. (2000). *Intelligence reframed: Multiple intelligences for the 21st century*. New York: Basic Books. Google Books
- Goldberg, N. A., & Ingram, K. W. (2011). Improving student engagement in a lower-division botany course. *Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 11(2), 76-90. Retrieved from <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ932147>
- Gupta, S., Kandru, A., & Singh, S. (2015). Active learning technique for botany education in campus environment using open source web GIS application. In *Proceedings of National Conference on Open Source GIS: Opportunities and Challenges*. Varanasi: Department of Civil Engineering, IIT (BHU). Retrieved from <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/286848427>
- Hajhashemi, K., Caltabiano, N., Anderson, N., & Tabibzadeh, S. A. (2018). Multiple intelligences, motivations and learning experience regarding video-assisted subjects in a rural university. *International Journal of Instruction*, 11(1), 167-182. Retrieved from <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1165195>
- Hanafin, J. (2014). Multiple intelligences theory, action research, and teacher professional development: The Irish MI project. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, 39(4), 126-141. <https://doi.org/10.14221/ajte.2014v39n4.8>
- Juniarti, Y. (2015). Peningkatan kecerdasan naturalis melalui metode kunjungan lapangan (field trip). *Jurnal Pendidikan Usia Dini*, 9(2), 267-284. Retrieved from <http://journal.unj.ac.id/unj/index.php/jpud/article/view/3505>
- Lismaya, L., & Widiantie, R. (2017). Penerapan pembelajaran morfologi tumbuhan melalui outdoor activities untuk meningkatkan multiple intellegencies mahasiswa. *Quagga: Jurnal Pendidikan dan Biologi*, 9(01), 17-24. Retrieved from <https://journal.uniku.ac.id/index.php/quagga/article/view/514>
- Maskour, L., Alami, A., & Agrraki, M. (2016). Study of some learning difficulties in plant classification among university students. In *The Eurasia Proceedings of Educational & Social Sciences (EPESS)* (Vol. 5, hal. 294-297). Bodrum: ISRES Publishing. Retrieved from <https://dergipark.org.tr/download/article-file/384190>
- Mojares, J. G. (2015). Multiple intelligences (MI) of associate in hotel and restaurant management students & its implication to the teaching of oral communication. *Asia Pacific Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, 3(4), 46-51. Retrieved from <http://www.apjmr.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/10/APJMR-2015-3.4.2.07.pdf>
- Mustafa, S. A. Y., Jado, S. M. A., & Onoz, S. M. (2014). Types of multiple intelligences among undergraduate students at Yarmouk University in light of Gardner's theory. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 4(6), 140-153. Retrieved from http://www.ijhssnet.com/view.php?u=https://www.ijhssnet.com/journals/Vol_4_No_6_April_2014/14.pdf
- Sari, E. (2012). Perbedaan kecerdasan natural mahasiswa biologi berdasarkan keikutsertaan dalam kelompok studi. *Biosfer: Jurnal Biologi dan Pendidikan Biologi*, 2(1), 12-19.

- Sreenidhi, S. K., & Helena, T. C. (2017). Multiple intelligence assessment based on Howard Gardner's research. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, 7(4), 203-213. Retrieved from <http://www.ijsrp.org/research-paper-0417.php?rp=P646339>
- Sriyati. (2011). Menggali dan meningkatkan habits of mind mahasiswa pada materi biodiversity melalui asesment formatif. In *Join Concerence UPI-UiTM* (hal. 4). Bandung: UPI.
- Sugiyono. (2016). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R&D*. Bandung: CV Alfabeta.
- Suherman. (2012). Pengaruh pembelajaran berbasis masalah (PBL) dan kecerdasan natural siswa terhadap kemampuan memecahkan masalah lingkungan. *Jurnal Penelitian*, 13(1), 23-30.
- Walukou, M., Jahidin, A., & Makukulau. (2016). *Kontribusi kecerdasan natural, kecerdasan interpersonal, dan keterampilan metakognitif terhadap prestasi belajar biologi*. Universitas Halu Oleo.
- Wardhani, G. K., Rondonuwu, F. S., & Sudarmi, M. (2015). Metode pembelajaran fisika berdasarkan teori multiple intelegence pada materi perpindahan kalor. *Radiasi: Jurnal Berkala Pendidikan Fisika*, 6(1), 42-48. Retrieved from <http://ejournal.umpwr.ac.id/index.php/radiasi/article/view/2024/1911>
- Yalmanci, S. G., & Gözümlü, A. I. C. (2013). The effects of multiple intelligence theory based teaching on student's achievement and retention of knowledge (example of the enzymes subject). *International Journal on New Trends in Education and Their Implications*, 4(3), 27-36. Retrieved from <http://www.ijonte.org/FileUpload/ks63207/File/complete.pdf#page=33>